



HAL
open science

Diomedes as a Source for Pliny's Dubio Sermo: Some Editorial Problems

Alessandro Garcea

► **To cite this version:**

Alessandro Garcea. Diomedes as a Source for Pliny's Dubio Sermo: Some Editorial Problems. *Rationes Rerum – Rivista di filologia e storia*, 2019, Latin Grammarians Forum 2018-2019, 14, pp.53-71. halshs-02938712

HAL Id: halshs-02938712

<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-02938712>

Submitted on 15 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Rationes Rerum

Rivista di filologia e storia

14.

Rationes Rerum

Rivista di filologia e storia

Direzione

Leopoldo Gamberale (Sapienza Università di Roma) – Filologia

Eugenio Lanzillotta (Università di Roma Tor Vergata) – Storia

Comitato di direzione

Maria Accame (Sapienza Università di Roma); Cinzia Bearzot (Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Milano); Maria Grazia Bonanno (Università di Roma Tor Vergata); José María Candau Morón (Universidad de Sevilla); Carmen Codoñer Merino (Universidad de Salamanca); Federica Cordano (Università Statale di Milano); Virgilio Costa (Università di Roma Tor Vergata); Carlo Vittorio Di Giovine (Università della Basilicata); Massimo Di Marco (Sapienza Università di Roma); Werner Eck (Universität Köln); Michael Erler (Universität Würzburg); Maria Rosaria Falivene (Università di Roma Tor Vergata); Stephen Halliwell (University of St. Andrews); Robert A. Kaster (Princeton University); Dominique Lenfant (Université de Strasbourg); Thomas R. Martin (College of the Holy Cross, Worcester MA); Attilio Mastino (Università di Sassari); Alfredo Mario Morelli (Università di Cassino); † Federicomaria Muccioli (Università di Bologna); Emore Paoli (Università di Roma Tor Vergata); Marina Passalacqua (Sapienza Università di Roma); Guido Schepens (Katholieke Universiteit, Leuven); Alfredo Valvo (Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Brescia)

Comitato di redazione

Virgilio Costa (coordinatore, Università di Roma Tor Vergata); Stefania Adiletta (Università di Roma Tor Vergata); Antonella Amico (Università di Roma Tor Vergata); Monica Berti (Universität Leipzig); Alessandro Campus (Università di Roma Tor Vergata); Ester Cerbo (Università di Roma Tor Vergata); Valeria Foderà (Università di Roma Tor Vergata); Alessandra Inglese (Università di Roma Tor Vergata); Giuseppe La Bua (Sapienza Università di Roma); Salvatore Monda (Università del Molise); Luca Paretti (Sapienza Università di Roma); Iliara Sforza (Università di Roma Tor Vergata)

Blind Peer Review. — Tutti i contributi inviati a «Rationes Rerum» sono sottoposti a revisione, secondo la formula del doppio anonimato, da parte di due esperti italiani o stranieri, di cui almeno uno esterno alla Direzione, al Comitato di direzione e al Comitato di redazione della rivista. L'elenco dei revisori viene pubblicato ogni tre anni.

Rationes Rerum

Rivista di filologia e storia

14.

Luglio - Dicembre 2019

Edizioni TORED s.r.l.

La stampa del volume usufruisce di un contributo
del Dipartimento di Studi Umanistici
dell'Università degli Studi di Roma "Tor Vergata"

Autorizzazione del Tribunale di Tivoli n. 3/15 del 28/9/2015
Direttore responsabile: Leopoldo Gamberale
Responsabile grafica e stampa: Massimo Pascucci

* * *

Informazioni ed abbonamenti:

Edizioni TORED s.r.l.
Vicolo Prassede, 29 - 00019 Tivoli (Roma)
www.edizionitored.it
info@edizionitored.it

I pagamenti possono essere effettuati tramite versamento a favore di
TORED srl - Banca Carim Spa - Filiale di Tivoli 106
IBAN: IT 26 U 06285 39455 CC1060075493
oppure online tramite carta di credito

Le Edizioni TORED s.r.l. garantiscono agli abbonati la massima riservatezza dei dati
forniti e la facoltà di chiederne la rettifica o la cancellazione. Tali informazioni non
saranno in alcuna forma comunicate a soggetti terzi e verranno utilizzate solo a fini
gestionali e per segnalare agli abbonati eventuali nuove pubblicazioni della casa editrice.

* * *

Stampato in Italia ~ Printed in Italy

ISBN 978-88-99846-48-0 ~ ISSN 2284-2497

Proprietà riservata ~ All rights reserved
© Copyright 2013 by Edizioni TORED s.r.l.

Sono vietati la riproduzione, la traduzione e l'adattamento, anche parziali, per
qualsiasi uso e con qualsiasi mezzo effettuati, senza la preventiva autorizzazione scritta
delle Edizioni TORED s.r.l. Ogni abuso sarà perseguito secondo la legge.

SOMMARIO

LATIN GRAMMARIANS FORUM 2018-2019

a cura di Anna Chahoud,
Michela Rosellini, Elena Spangenberg Yanes

ANNA CHAHOUD, <i>Presentazione</i>	pag.	9
ANDREA BRAMANTI, <i>Le ragioni di un'edizione sinottica: il rapporto tra il secondo libro delle Artes di Sacerdote e i Catholica Probi</i>	»	13
MARIO DE NONNO, <i>Note critiche al testo dei Grammatici Latini. 1: L'Ars grammatica di Diomede</i>	»	33
ALESSANDRO GARCEA, <i>Diomedes as a Source for Pliny's Dubius sermo: Some Editorial Problems</i>	»	53
STEFANO POLETTI, <i>La semantica di ruo nell'esegesi virgiliana antica. Note critiche a Serv. Georg. 2, 308 ed Aen. 2, 250</i>	»	73
MICHELA ROSELLINI, <i>Nomina generis dubii in Servio</i>	»	93
TOMMASO MARI, <i>Corruzione testuale in un'opera sulla corruzione linguistica: il De barbarismis et metaplasmis di Consenzio</i>	»	113
TOMMASO MARI, <i>Foni e terminologia fonetica nel De barbarismis et metaplasmis di Consenzio: il caso della i</i>	»	127
ANNA ZAGO, <i>The (New) Prologue to Pompeius' Commentum</i>	»	141
ELENA SPANGENBERG YANES, <i>Non-Mechanical Omissions: an Insight into the Early Stages of Priscian's Transmission</i>	»	193
ADAM GITNER, <i>Imagining an Archetype of the Idiomatica generum</i> ...	»	221

CLAUDIO GIAMMONA, <i>Un'Orthographia visigotica? Osservazioni sulla tradizione manoscritta dell'Orthographia Bernensis I</i>	» 239
MICHELA ROSELLINI - ELENA SPANGENBERG YANES, <i>Per un Thesaurus Dubii Sermonis digitale</i>	» 259
<i>Bibliografia generale</i>	» 291
<i>Abstracts</i>	» 329
<i>Istruzioni per gli autori</i>	» 335

ALESSANDRO GARCEA

DIOMEDES AS A SOURCE
FOR PLINY'S *DUBIUS SERMO*:
SOME EDITORIAL PROBLEMS

Probably encouraged by the lively contemporary debate about grammatical and rhetorical subjects, and reassured by the fact that this kind of research would not upset Nero¹, from 60 to 68 AD Pliny the Elder collected a large number of linguistic observations on the passages he read with a view to writing his *Naturalis historia*². He decided to organise his notes according to a plan – which is impossible to reconstruct owing to the current state of the work, comprising about 130 fragments – and to publish them in eight books under the title *Dubius sermo*. The adjective *dubius* carries for the first time a technical value with respect to normative uncertainty, stemming from frequent cases of diachronic or diastratic oscillations in the language of the *auctores* as well as in *consuetudo*³. The importance of this work in the history of ancient grammar is far greater than its intrinsic value, for it provided a link between the republican age and the late Latin period, making it possible for authors who no longer had access to works of the genre *de Latinitate* to familiarize themselves with the state of early views on the Latin language

¹ See PLIN. *Epist.* 3, 3, 5. This article draws on research for the forthcoming edition of Pliny's *Dubius sermo* by Alessandro Garcea and Valeria Lomanto. The author wishes to thank Valeria Lomanto for her helpful advice and Jonathan Hunt for the final English version of this chapter.

² On Pliny the Elder's much discussed editorial method, described by PLIN. *Epist.* 3, 5, 10-17, see NAAS, *Le projet encyclopédique*, p. 136.

³ See DE NONNO, *Vetustas e antiquitas*, p. 236 e *ThLL* V.1 2110, 26-36 (JACOBSON) e 41-50 (*pace* DELLA CASA, *Il dubius sermo*, pp. 14-15).

and, as far as the corpus of linguistic attestations is concerned, to literary texts not studied in school and writings of a technical nature. The readers of the *Dubius sermo* known to us were Quintilian, Gellius, Caper and maybe Julius Romanus, the latter of whom was probably the last person to read the work in its entirety⁴. Both Caper and Romanus are the origin of the main source available to us for the reconstruction of Pliny's text, namely Charisius, whose grammar is based on a stratification so complex as to require particular caution. No less complex, in that – as we shall see – he belongs to the same tradition, is a minor witness of the *Dubius sermo*, Diomedes, who quotes only four fragments, two of which, concerning conjunctions, will be omitted from the present discussion, as they do not present any significant problems of an editorial nature⁵. Of greater interest, from this point of view, are the fragments concerning the morphology of the verb.

In his discussion of verbal forms derived from homonymous lemmas which *ex una positione, diuersos sortiuntur declinationes ordines et sensus* (*GL* I 372, 22-23), and which sometimes have an anomalous inflection (374, 2 *inusitatam... declinationem*), Diomedes analyses a series of cases concerning verbs *quae R littera finiuntur* (*GL* I 374, 23 - 377, 20). Only the last of them, which should probably be interpreted as a *differentia* between *meditari* and *meletare*, is explicitly attributed to Pliny (*Dub. Serm.* fr. 110 Della Casa = 105 Mazzarino¹ = 107 Mazzarino² = 37, 17-19 Beck = XC Lersch):

*'meditor' et 'meleto' <different>, et putat Plinius 'meditantem' esse secum cogitantem, 'meletantem' cum uoce discentem*⁶. (*GL* I 377, 18-20)

The verbs *meditari* and *meletare* (an adaptation of *μελετᾶν* found only in the grammarians and lexicographers), according to Diomedes,

⁴ BECK, *C. Plinii Secundi*, pp. XX-XXII; on Romanus: FRÖHDE, *De C. Iulio Romano*, pp. 617-632.

⁵ See PLIN. *Dub. Serm.* fr. 115 D.C. = 115 M.¹ = 117 M.² = 41, 17-22 B. = CII-CIII L. *ap.* CHAR. 291, 15-23 B.; fr. 116 D.C. = 116 M.¹ = 118 M.² = 41, 23-25 B. = CIV L. *ap.* DIOM. *GL* I 416, 17-19. Only LERSCH, *Die Sprachphilosophie*, p. 201 fr. CIII, notes that Diomedes (*GL* I 416, 27-31) gives a parallel version of the first fragment on conjunctions laid down by Charisius.

⁶ *meditor et meleto <different> et putat Plinius suppl. et em. Keil: meditor et meleto [melito A] ut putat plenius ABM: meditor et meleto <different> et putat Plinius Della Casa.*

indicate in the first case the act of reflecting and in the second case that of doing exercises in diction, although an anonymous *De differentiis* (*GL Suppl.* 289, 11-12) seems to attribute a more general character to the distinction, with the formula *meditamur animo, melitamur corpore*. The relationship between the two terms is accepted by Priscian (*Ars GL* II 385, 13-15 and 567, 12-16), and confirmed – according to Marius Victorinus (*GL* VI 26, 1-5 = 4, 109-110 Mariotti) and Servius (*Ecl.* 1, 2) – by the not infrequent interchange between the voiced dental and the lateral. Since the connection between *meditari* and *mederi* was no longer noticed because of the semantic specialization of the latter verb, the iterative came to be linked by folk etymology with *μελετᾶν*⁷.

The fragment from Pliny thus involves one of the usual *differentiae* of the *Dubius sermo*, which tend to correlate morphological divergences with distinct meanings. However, since this extract comes at the end of a long list of similar cases, it is reasonable to ask ourselves whether the previous passages, too, can be attributed to the same source. The editors Mazzarino and Della Casa are both of the opinion that a lot of Plinian material is preserved in the text, though it is not possible to identify it exactly, owing to the compositional technique of creating a sort of mosaic of data from various sources and observations of varying nature and reliability.

Before this section, Diomedes (*GL* I 368, 2-11) quotes another extract from the *Dubius sermo* (fr. 119 D.C. = 108 M.¹ = 110 M.² = 34, 14-21 B. = LXXXVIII L.), about the forms derived from the two stems of the perfect of *parco*, the reduplicated *peperci* and the sigmatic *parsi*, the latter being preferred by the *ueteres*⁸:

'fallo fefelli', 'cado cecidi', 'caedo cecidi', 'parco peperci'; sed et 'parsi'
legimus, ut Terentius
*'egone uitam parsi perdere?'*⁹

⁷ See ERNOUT - MEILLET 1985⁴, p. 393 s.v. *meditor*; LEUMANN, *Laut- und Formenlehre*, § 417.B.2.b.

⁸ See also SERV. *Aen.* 1, 144. Festus (p. 280, 20-23 Lindsay) notes that Cato had used *parsi non peperci* in a speech (*orat.* fr. 90 Sblendorio Cugusi). The early authors' predilection for *parsi* seems to have been motivated by the derivative *parsimonia*, which the *Ars Bernensis* (*GL Suppl.* VIII 98, 28), too, links with the sigmatic perfect.

⁹ TER. *Hec.* 282.

item Plautus

*'labori ego hominum parsissem libens'*¹⁰.
sic enim melius ueteres 'parsis' declinant. nam 'parsimoniam', non 'parci-
moniam' dicimus. uolunt autem quidam grammatici differre, ut 'parsis'
semel quid factum significet, 'peperci' autem et semel et saepius. facit autem
*participium futurum 'parsurus', ut ait Varro in Laterensi*¹¹. *sed Plinio*
displicet. (GL I 368, 2-11)

Here too we find a *differentia*, which takes the form of an aspectual distinction between a punctual perfective action (*parsis*) and a punctual action of a potentially iterative nature (*peperci*)¹². Finally, at the end of the passage Diomedes mentions Pliny's disapproval of *parsurus*. Priscian discusses this participle in Book 10 of his *Ars* (GL II 511, 23 - 512, 3), judging it to be regular, on the grounds that the perfect ends in *-si*, and on the basis of examples comprising a longer quotation of the same extract from Varro (*Log. 67 Bolisani parsurus pecuniis bene partis*) and a quotation from Livy (26, 13, 16 *nedum eos Capuae parsuros credam*). Diomedes does not mention the reason for Pliny's disapproval of *parsurus*; he is unlikely to have preferred *parciturus*, which is attested only in late authors¹³; however, the parallel with Priscian, who in his discussion of the same questions mentions Caper, his direct source, several times, suggests that Caper was the intermediate source between the *Dubius sermo* and the late grammatical analysis represented by Diomedes and Priscian¹⁴.

¹⁰ PLAUT. *Pseud.* 5.

¹¹ VARRO *Log. 67 Bolisani*.

¹² See also *Diff. Front.* GL VII 522, 1 = 390, 25 Barwick; *Diff.* 75, 6 Beck. For semantic distinctions see NON. p. 370, 25-33 Mercier = 590 Lindsay; SACERD. GL VI 433, 2-5, and DON. *Ter. Hec.* 282.

¹³ See *ThLL* X.1 329, 11-27 (KORTEWEG). However, if the link made by NEUE - WAGENER, *Formenlehre*, p. 528, between the supine *parciturum* and the archaic perfect *parcui* – documented only in Naevius (*Carm.* fr. 69 = fr. XXVII Marmorale) – is accepted, it may be supposed that *parciturum* and the forms derived from it were used in the spoken language.

¹⁴ See CAPER fr. 21 G. Keil *ap.* PRISC. *Ars* GL II 490, 2 - 491, 2 (*delitum*); fr. 16 K. *ap.* PRISC. *Ars* GL II 499, 17 - 500, 11 (*sapui, sapiui, sapii*); fr. 19 K. *ap.* PRISC. *Ars* GL II 508, 2 - 509, 7 (*conquexi*); fr. 20 K. *ap.* PRISC. *Ars* GL II 509, 13 - 510, 3 (*ici*); fr. 22 K. *ap.* PRISC. *Ars* GL II 512, 22 - 513, 18 (*nactum*); fr. 17 K. *ap.* PRISC. *Ars* GL

To return to the structure of Diomedes's chapter, the editor Beck¹⁵ assigns to the *Dubius sermo* almost the whole of the text, as well as all the examples between the two passages where Pliny is mentioned by name, adding after the second of these passages a further section which ends with another *differentia*, between *luxurio* and *luxurior*; the latter form is exemplified by a line from the poet Cornelius Severus (*Carm. fr.* 12 *ap.* Diom. *GL* I 378, 3 *luxuriantur opes atque otia longa grauantur*), who, as we shall see, was known to Pliny:

- 368, 3-11 *parco*: perf. *peperci* / *parsi* (fut. part. *parsurus*)
- 368, 24-28 *uendo*: pass. *ueneo* / *ueneor*
- 369, 15-24 *necto*: perf. *nexui* / *nexi*
- 371, 18-21 *sancio*: perf. *sancii* / *sanxi*
- 374, 13-22 *sino*: perf. *siui* / *sii*; *excellere* / *excellere*
- 375, 16 - 378, 6: see below

Since this long section contains many examples from authors not studied in school, the possibility that it includes material deriving from Pliny certainly cannot be ruled out; however, it seems more prudent to follow the editor Mazzarino in including only the passage 374, 23 - 377, 20, beginning with the words *dicam nunc et de his quae R littera finiuntur*. If we exclude unremarkable comments on *falsus* as the participle of *fallere* and on the semantic difference between *conperior* and *conperio*, which is completely isolated in the grammatical tradition, the other observations made here are part of a morphological or semantic discussion of perfect participles. The analysis concentrates in particular on the *dubium* embodied in alternative participles of the same verb, the choice between which is usually motivated on the morphological rather than the semantic level: *absconditus/absconsus*, *altus/alitus*, *fictus/fixus*, *nixus/nisus*, *ostentus/ostensus*. Lastly, there is a discussion of participles of distinct verbs which are thought to be derived from a single lemma: *deletus* from *delere* and *delitus* from *delinere*, both having a perfect *deleui*, *insertus* and *insitus* from two homonymous verbs, *insero*.

II 524, 1-14 (*repunxi, repupugi*); fr. 23 K. *ap.* PRISC. *Ars GL* II 530, 1-23 (*situm*); fr. 18 K. *ap.* PRISC. *Ars GL* II 534, 20 - 535, 6 (*laccessi*).

¹⁵ See BECK, *C. Plinii Secundi*, pp. 34-38.

dicam nunc et de his quae R littera finiuntur. 'nitor niteris', perfecto 'nisus sum'; sed ueteres inmutantes 'nixus' declinant, ut Vergilius

'conixus ab aggere dexter'¹⁶,

item

'adnixus uiribus hastam'¹⁷,

item

'obnixus latis umeris'¹⁸,

<item>¹⁹

'adnixus acuto

detrudunt'²⁰.

melius autem dicimus 'nisus' et 'nisa' a 'nitendo'. 'enixa' enim appellatio est et ad partum refertur, cum dicimus: 'geminos enixa est', ut apud Vergilium

'triginta capitum fetus enixa'²¹:

ut sit 'enisa' uiribus conata, 'enixa' in genua.

'alor aleris altus sum': Sallustius 'Arpini altus'²². melius autem est dicere uitandae ambiguitatis gratia 'alitus'. nam et 'alimenta' dicuntur.

(374, 23 - 375, 16)

In this first section the *differentiae* indicated correspond to the method we noted earlier in Pliny, but they provide no clues to their source, though there is a significant allusion to the phenomenon of archaism, in the form of a generic reference to the *ueteres*. With regard to the verb *niti*, the analogical and later form of the perfect participle, *nisus*, is preferred to *nixus*, a product of the attachment of the original, internal velar to the suffix *-so-*, which is potentially ambiguous because of the specialization of the feminine *(e)nixa* with regard to childbirth²³. The chapter on *uerba in quibus dubia perfecta ostenduntur* in the *Ars Malsachani* preserves a

¹⁶ VERG. *Aen.* 9, 769.

¹⁷ VERG. *Aen.* 9, 744.

¹⁸ VERG. *Aen.* 9, 725.

¹⁹ <item> *suppl. Keil*, but the text is badly transmitted: see below.

²⁰ VERG. *Aen.* 1, 144-145.

²¹ VERG. *Aen.* 3, 39; 8, 44.

²² SALL. *Iug.* 63, 3 (*altus* ω: *alitus* D³ rec.).

²³ See FEST. p. 182, 23-25 L.

probable extract from a longer, fuller version of this passage; in fact, after the same three examples of *co-*, *ad-*, *ob-nixus* from *Aeneis* 9 (equally presented in the reverse order: 769, 744, and 725), it adds: *Accius 'illum defendere nixi sunt'. melius autem dicimus 'nisis nisa nisum' a 'nitendo'* (249, 20-21 Löfstedt)²⁴. This undoubtedly proves that the present state of Diomedes' text does not reflect the original source in its entirety, which can still crop up in grammatical texts written much later and relying on a *plenior* Diomedes. The etymological participle of *alere*, *altus*, creates ambiguity because of the specialization which that form has undergone in the sense of 'high', and by antithesis 'deep', a development linked to the verb's original meaning, 'to bring up'²⁵; so the reconstructed participle *alitus*, which had been in use since the early empire²⁶, is preferred to it, since it lacks any adjectival function and is connected with words such as *alimenta*, which belong to the semantic field of nutrition which *alere* has entered. The example from Sallust is also quoted by Priscian in Book 10 of his *Ars*, where it appears in a more complete form and with a significant qualification: *Sallustius in Iugurthino: 'et omnem aetatem Arpini altus'; in quibusdam autem codicibus etiam 'alitus' inuenitur* (GL II 527, 23 - 528, 1).

In the next part of Diomedes' discussion there are some rarer examples which conform more closely to the diachronic category of *uetustas*:

*'sallior' frequens uidetur et tritum ut perfecto tempore 'salsus sum' dicamus. sed ueteres ambiguitatem appellationis uitantes et analogiam sequentes 'sallitus sum' dixerunt a positione 'sallo' non 'sallio'*²⁷, *ut Sallustius Historiarum quarto ait, 'reliqua cadauera ad diuturnitatem usus sallere'*²⁸; *Varro ad Ciceronem quinto: 'ut seruarent sallere'*²⁹; *idem Antiquitatum*

²⁴ MARIOTTI, *Accio*, reads this as the second hemistich of a hexameter (*illūm dēfēndēre nixī {sunt}*) from Accius' *Annales*; Dangel attributes this quotation the same œuvre (fr. 5 p. 264), but retains *sunt* as the beginning of a new hexameter, despite the difficult *enjambement* with postposed *sunt*.

²⁵ See MOUSSY, *Alo alesco*.

²⁶ See LEUMANN, *Laut- und Formenlehre*, § 448.II.A.

²⁷ Against the emendation by JEEP, *Priscianus III*, p. 503, <*salsus sum*> *a positione*, see DAHLMANN, *Cornelius Severus*, p. 121 note 172.

²⁸ SALL. *Hist.* fg. 3 (!), 87 Maurenbrecher.

*humanarum quinto decimo 'mortuos sallant'*³⁰; *Seuerus *** 'distractos atque sallitos'*³¹; *et Fabianus Causarum tertio 'cum caro sallita diuturnior'*³²; *'sallita' ut 'munita'. procul dubio 'sallio' dici debebit, cuius frequens perfectum uidetur 'sallitus sum' potius quam 'salsus'.* (375, 16-25)

Two verbs meaning 'to salt' have been formed from *sal*: *sal(l)o salsus sal(l)ere e sal(l)io sal(l)itus sal(l)ire*. The former, as is indicated by the participle, presupposes a present tense **sal-d-o*; the latter is a denominative in *-ye/o-*³³. Diomedes begins by studying the normal form *salsus*, which had probably been considered ambiguous because of its adjectival use in the sense of 'witty, humorous', and because it did not conform to the laws of analogy. In accordance to those laws, the *ueteres* had manifested a preference on the one hand for the participle *sallitus* (analogous to *munitus*), and on the other hand to the verb *sallere* in forms derived from the stem of the *infectum*, thus avoiding the possibility of confusion with *salire*. The contemporary usage should therefore have adopted *sallio - sallitus sum*, rather than *salsus sum*.

The sources of the quotations in this passage include learned works: in addition to Sallust's *Historiae* we find Varro's *De lingua Latina* and the same author's *Antiquitates rerum humanarum*, which is also one of the sources of the geographical section of the *Naturalis historia* (Books 3-6); there are also extracts from authors of the early imperial period who were not on the school syllabus: the scientific work of Papirius Fabianus, whose *Causae naturales* are the basis of Book 2 of the *Naturalis historia*, and perhaps a line from the poet Cornelius Severus, a friend of Ovid, a further line from whom (*Carm. fr.* 8 in *FPL*⁴) is quoted by Pliny in another textually problematic fragment of the *Dubius sermo*³⁴. The text of these quo-

²⁹ VARRO *Ling.* 5, 110.

³⁰ VARRO *Ant. hum.* 15 fr. 2 Mirsch.

³¹ CORN. SEV. *Carm. fr. dub.* 15 in *FPL*⁴.

³² PAPIRIUS FABIANUS *PhRF* fr. 12.

³³ See PRISC. *Ars GL* II 546, 9 *sallio sallitum facit, sallo salsum*; LEUMANN, *Laut- und Formenlehre*, §§ 198 e 218.a; MEISER, *Laut- und Formenlehre*, §§ 82.1, 86.2 e 149.2; WEISS, *Outline*, p. 172.

³⁴ PLIN. *Dub. serm.* fr. 3 D.C. = 10 M.¹ = 9 M.² = 73, 18-19 + 76, 23-25 B. = XIX

tations contains several anomalies: the direct tradition of Diomedes (ABM, 5) places the words *Sallustius ~ usus sallere* after the quotation from Fabianus, among the examples of *sallitus*, but Keil rightly reordered the passage so as to preserve the coherence of the quotations. A more complete extract from Sallust's *Historiae* is given by Priscian: *parte consumpta reliqua cadauerum ad diuturnitatem usus sallere* (*Ars GL* II 546, 12-13). This is one of the many examples that the grammarian gives at the end of Book 10 of his *Ars* to support *auctoritate ueterum* the forms of supines and perfect participles (543, 20 - 547, 14), in the wider context of the *praeteritum perfectum quartae coniugationis* (538, 23 - 543, 19). In short, Priscian's discussion is structured not according to the diachronic evolution with respect to analogical theory, as in Diomedes, but on the basis of two mutually exclusive options:

- a) *sallio* (produces *sallitum*), see Sisenna *FRHist* n. 26 fr. 142 *sallien-dorum*
- b) *sallo* (produces *salsum*), see Sall. *Hist.* fr. 3, 87 Maurenbrecher *sallere*; Lucil. 317 Marx = 8, 16 Charpin *sallere*

- a) *sallio* consequently produces *salliturus*, see Novius *Atell.* 117
- b) *sallo* consequently produces *salsurus*, see Mumm. *Atell.* 5

- a) *sallitus*: Corn. Sev.
- b) *salsus* (no example given)

Despite the differences in presentation, it is clear, both from the subjects discussed and from the authors cited as examples, that the corresponding sections in Diomedes and Priscian are closely related. However, as was mentioned earlier, Priscian gives a more complete and accurate version of the quotations: in the case of Sallust, this concerns both the indication of which book of the *Historiae* the quotation came from and the text itself, especially if we consider the presence of the genitive *cadauerum*, noted by Maurenbrecher, in the parallel description of Calagurris' siege by Valerius Maximus 7, 6 ext. 3 *armata iuuentus (...)* *infelices cadauerum reliquias sallire non dubitauit*.

As far as Cornelius Severus is concerned, Priscian (*Ars GL* II 546, 21 - 547, 1 *Cornelius Seuerus in VIII de statu suo*: ‘*ad quem salliti pumiliones afferebantur*’ [*Carm. fr. dub.* 16 in *FPL*⁴]) gives a very different version of the line from that quoted by Diomedes. As a result, Keil³⁵ suggested a lacuna where the text of Cornelius Severus and the name of the author to whom the phrase *distractos atque sallitos* was attributed had been lost. This neat solution seems preferable to the many, often fanciful hypotheses proposed by the editors of poetic and rhetorical texts³⁶:

- J. Roverius³⁷, followed by J.C. Wernsdorf³⁸, suggested that *Seuerus* be corrected to *Serenus*, which he took to be a reference to Aulus Septimius Serenus, one of the *poetae nouelli* of the late second century AD, who is often cited by Diomedes simply as *Serenus*³⁹.
- A. Weichert⁴⁰, followed by H. Meyer⁴¹, suggested that it was a reference to Cassius Severus, an orator of the Augustean period, though he is never cited simply as *Seuerus*⁴².
- J. Becker⁴³ defended the attribution to the poet Cornelius Severus, observing that both *ad quem salliti* with *atque sallitos*, and *de statu suo* with *distractos*, found respectively in Priscian and Diomedes, were parallel versions of the same corrupt original. This could therefore be reconstructed as two incomplete hexameters:

Seuerus in VIII [sc. *rerum Romanarum*]
 – *distractos atque salitos pumiliones*
aspernabantur

³⁵ H. KEIL, *GL* I 375 *app. crit.*

³⁶ Distinctly reviewed by DAHLMANN, *Cornelius Severus*, pp. 119-126.

³⁷ *ap.* BURMAN, *Anthologia*, I, p. 14.

³⁸ WERNSDORF, *Poetae Latini*, II, p. 291 *ad fr.* 28, but Cornelius Severus in ID., *Poetae Latini*, IV, p. 227 *ad fr.* VIII.

³⁹ See *GL* I 511, 18; 513, 10; 514, 6; 517, 26 and 34; 518, 5 and 9.

⁴⁰ WEICHERT, *Lucii Varii*, p. 206.

⁴¹ MEYER, *Oratorum Romanorum*, p. 551.

⁴² *GL* I 371, 19 *Cassius Seuerus*; 373, 19-20 *Accius (?) Cassius*.

⁴³ BECKER, *Beiträge*.

or

Seuerus in VIII [sc. *rerum Romanarum*]

— — — — — *distractos atque salitos*

pumiliones aspernantur — — —

L. Jeep⁴⁴ suggested that they might have been part of a single hexameter:

distracti atque mihi salliti pumiliones

whereas H. Dahlmann⁴⁵ thought that it was a reference to dwarf chicken preserved in salt and sold as food, and that it could be reconstructed, for example, as follows⁴⁶:

(*ad quem*) *distracti atque saliti pumiliones*
afferebantur

'*abscondor absconsus*' et '*absconditus*'; *melius* '*absconditus*', *quia simplex* '*condor conditus*', *item* '<*abscondor*>⁴⁷ *absconditus*'.

'*deleor delitus*' et '*deletus*': *Cicero ad filium* '*ceris deletis*'⁴⁸, *Varro in Praetorina* '*delitae litterae*'⁴⁹, *Caluus alibi ad uxorem* '*prima epistula uidetur in uia delita*'⁵⁰. (*GL* I 375, 25 - 376, 2)

Of the two forms, the original *absconditus* and the allomorph *absconsus*, coined from the secondary perfect *abscondi*, which had supplanted *abscondidi*⁵¹, Diomedes expresses a preference for *absconditus*,

⁴⁴ JEEP, *Priscianus III*, p. 503.

⁴⁵ DAHLMANN, *Cornelius Severus*, p. 124.

⁴⁶ COURTNEY, *The Fragmentary Latin Poets*, p. 328, and HOLLIS, *Fragments*, p. 367, think that Severus' quotation comes from a prose work; BLÄNSDORF, *Fragmenta*, p. 295, classes it among Severus' *dubia*.

⁴⁷ As STAGNI, *Absconditus*, p. 496 note 10, remarks, «i paralleli medievali (...) e la stessa citazione di Guido [sc. de Grana] suggerirebbero di espungere *item absconditus*, invece di integrare con Keil».

⁴⁸ CIC. *Epist.* fr. 8, 3 Shackleton Bailey.

⁴⁹ VARRO *Men.* fr. *falsum* X Astbury.

⁵⁰ CALV. *ELM* II.1 n. 187 fr. *inc.* 3.

⁵¹ See LEUMANN, *Laut- und Formenlehre*, §§ 433.A.4. ZUS.; 448.i.A.2. ZUS.

on the basis of the analogy with the participle of *condere*⁵². Then the grammarian examines the participles *deletus* from *delere* and *delitus* from *delinere*, which he considers to be derived from the same stem. Sharing the same perfect tense, *deleui*, these verbs can overlap when, as in the quoted examples, *delinere* has the secondary meaning ‘to delete’. The passages in question are taken from Cicero’s correspondence with his son, one of Varro’s Menippean satires and the works of Calvus; since the latter quotation does not seem to have any metrical rhythm, editors have supposed that it comes from a letter written by the poet to his wife⁵³. However that may be, the use of late republican epistolary texts and the reliance on Varro’s *usus*, rather than theory⁵⁴, corresponds exactly to the practice of the examples quoted in Pliny’s *Dubius sermo*.

An important parallel with Diomedes’ observations is again provided by Priscian, who in Book 9 of his *Ars* discusses the formation of perfects and the associated supines in verbs with a stem in *-ē-*, and more precisely in verbs ending in *-leō*. Unlike Diomedes, Priscian (*GL* II 490, 8-20) explicitly distinguishes between *dēlēre* and *dēlinere*, citing the authority of Probus (fr. 104 Aistermann = 36* Velaza) and Caper (fr. 21 G. Keil), and the *usus* of the *auctores*, who also give examples of the non-compound verb and the deverbial noun (Verg. *Georg.* 4, 99 *lita*; Acc. *Trag.* 219 = 50 Dangel *delitor*). To illustrate the participle *delitus*, Priscian cites a letter from Cicero to Calvus (*Epist.* fr. 9, 1 Shackleton Bailey)⁵⁵ containing the expression *litterae delitae* (*GL* II 490, 12 *Cicero epistularum ad Caluum primo: ‘tuli moleste quod litterae delitae mihi a te redditae sunt’*), and a passage from the *Verrines* containing the participle *interlitus* (*Verr.* 2, 2, 104). A comparison between the quotation which Diomedes attributes to Varro – before the quotation from Calvus, indicated by an enigmatic *alibi* which lacks any antecedent –

⁵² *absconsus* is also censured by Pseudo-Caper (*Orth.* *GL* VII 94, 16-17), the *Scholia Danielis* (*Georg.* 1, 135), and Bede (*Orth.* *GL* VII 264, 8 = 109 Jones). See also SVET. *Diff.* 307, 12-15 Roth and *Diff.* 28, 1 Beck. Only Isidore *Diff.* 1, 9 (= 1, 169 Codoñer) justifies the formal distinction with a semantic difference.

⁵³ See RIESE, *Catullus*, p. 755 note 15, who suggests reading *Caluus lib. I ad uxorem*, and TEUFFEL - KROLL - SKUTSCH 1910⁶-1916⁶, I, p. 520.

⁵⁴ See DE NONNO, *Forme e modi*, pp. 133-138.

⁵⁵ See KARBAUM, *De origine exemplorum*, pp. 9 and 12.

and the more complete extract provided by Priscian suggests that the text of Diomedes should be corrected, in line with a hypothesis formulated by Keil⁵⁶. Cicero now becomes the subject, so that he is identified as the author of all three quotations, the third of which is consequently an unknown fragment of the correspondence between Cicero and Terentia, a possibility which only Christina Weysenhoff has previously considered⁵⁷:

<i>Cicero ad filium 'ceris deletis'</i>	<i>Cicero ad filium 'ceris delitis'</i>
<i>Varro in Praetorina 'delitae litterae'</i>	<i>item ad Caluus 'delitae litterae'</i>
<i>Caluus alibi ad uxorem 'prima epistula uidetur in uia delita'</i>	<i>alibi ad uxorem 'prima epistula uidetur in uia delita'</i>

Concerning the quotation from Varro, since no Menippean satire titled *Praetorina* is attested anywhere else, van Putschén (*Grammaticae Latinae*, I, p. 372) suggested the reading *Praetoriana*, also found in the *codex Schoppii*, and Oehler (*M. Terentii Varronis*, pp. 66, 131, 133) hazarded the theory that this might be an alternative title of the *Flaxtabula(e) Περὶ ἐπαρχιῶν*, probably «The Corrupt Laws. On the Government of the Provinces». Given its tentative nature, this suggestion – like Riese's *Plautocyne* (*M. Terenti Varronis*, p. 199 *app. crit.*) – has not been accepted by any editor⁵⁸. A passage from Charisius (*GL* I 105, 5 = 133, 25-26 B. = Varro *Men. fr. falsum* III Astbury *scriptulum, quod nunc uulgo sine I dicunt, Varro in Plutotoryne* [*plautorino* N: Πλουτοτορύνη corr. Ritschl, *Quaestiones*, p. 178] *dixit*) prompted Keil to propose, after the series of extracts from Cicero's letters, a different text: *Varro in Plutotoryne*, supposed to be a reference to lost attestation of *delitus* in Varro. Astbury, on the other hand, takes the title to be a corruption of the original Περὶ χαρακτήρων, a work of which Charisius (*GL* I 189, 25-26 = 246, 3-4 Barwick = fr. 9 Astbury) and perhaps Nonius (p. 96 L. = p. 68, 20-21 M. = fr. 11 Astbury) supply the only two other known fragments.

⁵⁶ H. KEIL, *GL* I 376 *app. crit.*

⁵⁷ CUGUSI, *Epistolographi*, *ELM* II.2 n. 187 p. 479, considers this hypothesis «praeter necessitatem», but see, *contra*, MÜNZER, *Licinius*, p. 433; WEYSSENHOFF, *Ciceronis epistularum*, p. 39, fr. XV.1 *ad Terentiam*.

⁵⁸ See CÈBE, *Varron Satires*, V, p. 830.

'ostendor ostentus', quoniam sit 'tendor tentus'. nam 'ostentatus' est frequens: P. Rutilius De uita sua 'uni una ostentata est'⁵⁹; *** idem Pro L. Caesetio ad populum ex hoc deriuauit participium, 'quod ego me spero ostenturum'⁶⁰, non 'ostensurum' neque 'tensurum'. melius 'ostenturus' dicimus, quoniam ex temporibus perfectis passiuus futura participia actiua deriuantur, uelut 'amatus sum amaturus'. et Libius item Pro Figulo 'ostenta promissione'⁶¹, Varro Rerum rusticarum primo 'locus optimus uino sit et ostentus soli'⁶², non 'ostensus' nec 'tensus'. sed quia cacemphaton uidetur, deorum uehiculum 'tensam' dixerunt, ne uerbum turpe sonaret in sacris. (GL I 376, 2-11)

Of the two participles of *ostendere*, *ostentus* is more frequent in early authors and originates from the attachment of the suffix *-to-* to the root **ten-*; *ostensus*, by contrast, is formed by the attachment of the suffix to the dental stem of the *infectum*, with the change to a simple labio-dental fricative after the nasal (*d-t > t-t > s-s > s*)⁶³. Despite his preference for the original form of the participle, Diomedes mentions the frequent use of the intensive *ostentare* and notes that in order to avoid cacophony (the text is uncertain: *non ostensus nec tensus sed quia* Keil: *non tentus nec ostensus (ostentus B) et quia ABM, codex Schoppii*); *tensa* was the name of the litter on which the attributes of the gods were carried in religious ceremonies. This is reported by Festus (p. 500, 2-4 Lindsay) who cites the antiquarian of the Augustan period Sennius Capito (*GRFF* 13).

The passage contains several textual problems. The phrase *quoniam ex temporibus—amaturus*, which clearly refers to the future participle, was moved after *ostenturus* by Keil, who found it placed after *est frequens* in the manuscript tradition of Diomedes. As for the examples that are given, after the extract from Rutilius Rufus, which includes the earliest known use of *ostentare* in prose⁶⁴, the Parisian manuscripts (**A** and **B**) of Diomedes fol-

⁵⁹ RUT. RUF. *HRR* I² 15 = *AR* III 9 = *FRH* n. 21 fr. 9.

⁶⁰ CATO *ORF*⁴ n. 8 fr. 209 = 188 Sblendorio Cugusi.

⁶¹ LABIENUS *FOR* I n. 20 fr. 29.

⁶² VARRO *Rust.* 1, 25, 1 (soli *cod. Varr., Keil: olei codd. Diomedis*).

⁶³ See LEUMANN, *Laut- und Formenlehre*, § 198.a; WEISS, *Outline*, p. 442 note 63.

⁶⁴ See *FRHI*, p. 29 note 86.

lowed by Keil have *idem* (item **A**) *pro l. cesutio*; the Munich manuscript (**M**) has a lacuna of three or four letters between *idem* and *sutio*; the manuscript used by Caspar Schoppe⁶⁵ had *item pro l. caerutio*, which led van Putschen (*Grammaticae Latinae*, I, p. 372) to adopt the reading *item pro Lucio Caerucio*. Help in identifying the author of the quotation is provided by a passage from Book 10 of Priscian's *Ars* (*GL* II 520, 23 - 521, 1) where *quod ego me spero ostenturum* is attributed to *Cato pro Lucio Caesare*. The correct title of Cato's speech is *Pro L. Caesetio*, and this is the form adopted by Malcovati (ed.⁴: 85 *app. crit.*) and Sblendorio (fr. 187-188). If we accept this reconstruction, it is clear that there is a lacuna either after *idem*, causing the loss of the second quotation from Rutilius Rufus and the name *Cato* (thus Sblendorio ed.), or, more likely, before *idem*, in place of the first quotation from Cato. Lastly, a more complex emendation concerns the author of the speech *Pro Figulo*: the manuscripts of Diomedes have *praerutilius* (**A**), *p***rutilius* (**B**), *prutilius* (**M**) *de*, corrected to *et Liuius* (**ς**) or *et Libius* (Keil), but Keil (*GL* I 376 *app. crit.*) also suggests *et L{i}<a>bi<en>us*, accepted by Balbo (*FOR* I n. 20 fr. 29)⁶⁶.

*'expergisor experrectus' et 'expergor expergitus', 'expergefio expergefactus'.
sed 'expergitus' dicitur qui satiatus somno sponte euigilat, unde et Lucilius
ait {ego}*

*'e somno pueros cum mane expergitus clamo'*⁶⁷;
*'experrectus' autem a quiete impeditus, unde Sallustius 'interdum somno
experrectus arreptis armis tumultum facere'*⁶⁸. *'expergefactus' porro est qui
per alium somno excitatur. (GL I 376, 11-17)*

The intransitive verb *expergisci* 'to wake up' and the two causatives *expergere* and *expergefacerere* 'to rouse (someone else) from sleep' form a

⁶⁵ See SCHOPPE, *Suspectarum lectionum*, p. 180.

⁶⁶ On Labienus' *oratio pro Figulo contra heredes Vrbinae* see already MEYER, *Oratorum Romanorum*, pp. 495 and 530.

⁶⁷ {ego} *del.* Keil. LUCIL. 143 Marx = 3, 23 Charpin (— — — — — — — — — — ergo Marx, Charpin :: *clamas codd. Prisc. Ars GL II 513, 1*).

⁶⁸ SALL. *Iug.* 72, 2 (*experrectus fortasse ex 71, 5 Nabdalsa paulo post experrectus: excitus plerr. codd., edd. : exercitus P¹N : excitatus A²*).

semantically related group⁶⁹. Diomedes makes a distinction between the meanings of the corresponding participles *expergitus*, *experrectus* (also used as the participle of *expergisci* because of the folk etymology that links this verb with *pergere*) and *expergefactus*: the first is used of someone who wakes up spontaneously, the second of someone whose sleep is interrupted, the third of someone who is woken up by someone else. This threefold distinction is simplified by Paul the Deacon (p. 70, 12-13 Lindsay), who contrasts the spontaneous awakening denoted by *experrectus* with the induced awakening indicated by *expergitus* or the more common *expergefactus*. A different opinion seems to emerge from the commentary on Virgil's use of the phrase *excitior somno* (*Aen.* 2, 302) by Tiberius Claudius Donatus, who argues that this expression was chosen because *expergefactus sum* is appropriate to someone who has slept long enough or is in a calm psychological state (*aut ex satietate somni ... aut de tranquillitate animi ac securitate mentis*).

These *differentiae* were not reflected in the ancient grammarians. Priscian, in Book 10 of his *Ars* (*GL* II 512, 24 - 513, 6), notes simply that *expergisor* forms the supine *experrectum*, while early authors also used the form *expergitus*, as is shown by the line of Lucilius also quoted by Diomedes, whereas Caecilius (*Com.* 95b-97 in *CRF*³) has *experrectus*. Priscian continues his list with this note: '*nancisor*' *etiam* '*natum*' *facit absque N, ut Probo* [fr. 108 Aistermann = *38 Velaza] *et Capro* [fr. 22 G. Keil] *et Pollioni* [*GRFF* 7] *et Plinio* [111 D.C. = 108 M.²] *placet* (*GL* II 513, 7-8). This suggests that in this case too, in addition to the passages which are specifically ascribed to these sources, both Diomedes and Priscian used a considerable amount of material deriving from Caper, who, in turn, drew on Pliny's *Dubius sermo*, where there was also a reference to the authority of Asinius Pollio and to Probus.

'fatigor fatigatus sum' facit, non 'fessus', et longe falluntur qui opinantur ex eo quod 'fatigat' 'fessus' deriuari: nam 'fessus' appellatio est. differt autem fatigatus a fesso, ut Verrius ait⁷⁰, quod fatigatus, cum quis per alium

⁶⁹ See KELLER, *Latin expergisor; contra*, on the historical relations between these forms, see *ThL* V.2 1651, 60 (DURSTELER).

⁷⁰ Verrius Flaccus *GRFF* 23.

*laborare compellitur, ut lassatus, fessus uero, cum quis labore deficitur, <ut> lassus*⁷¹. (GL I 376, 17-21)

After ruling out any connection between *fatigare* and *fessus*⁷², which he considers to be not a participle but a *nomen*, Diomedes reproduces the *differentia* indicated by Verrius Flaccus between *fatigatus*, which, like *lassatus*, denotes someone who is forced by someone else to make an effort, and *fessus*, which, like *lassus*, denotes someone who is exhausted⁷³. The mention of Verrius Flaccus is significant, for this author, as well as being known to Caper, is one of the sources of Pliny's *Naturalis historia*, especially of Books 28-32 for information on the therapeutic use of animal substances.

The next few *dubia* in the text are given in a more concise form, and accompanied by examples from standard authors (Cicero and Virgil). Then, before the final quotation from Pliny, without expressing a preference for either form, Diomedes discusses the two participles of *figere*: *fictus*, where the suffix *-to-* is attached to the velar of the stem, and *fixus*, where the suffix *-so-*, usually associated with stems ending in a dental, is used, by analogy, with the sigmatic perfect⁷⁴.

*'figor' ambigue declinatur apud ueteres tempore perfecto. reperimus enim 'fictus' et 'fixus': Scaurus De uita sua 'sagittis – inquit – confictus'*⁷⁵, *Varro ad Ciceronem tertio 'fixum'*⁷⁶, *et Cicero Academicorum tertio*⁷⁷ ****'malcho in opera adfixa', et Vergilius 'si mihi non animo fixum'*⁷⁸. (GL I 377, 11-15).

⁷¹ <ut> suppl. Keil.

⁷² See BRENDER, *Zu lat. fessus*, pp. 46-49; KIRK, *Adfatim*.

⁷³ See, with different opinions, SERV. *Aen.* 8, 232; ISID. *Orig.* 10, 101; *Diff.* 1, 241 = 1, 401 Codoñer; *Diff.* 57, 16 Beck.

⁷⁴ See LEUMANN, *Laut- und Formenlehre*, § 448.i.A.1.a; WEISS, *Outline*, p. 438.

⁷⁵ AEMILIUS SCAURUS *HRR* I² 5 = *AR* iii 5 = *FRH* n. 18 fr. 6.

⁷⁶ VARRO *GRFF* 7 = fr. 3 Goetz - Schoell.

⁷⁷ CIC. *Ac.* 2, 27 *stabile fixum ratum esse debeat*; see also fr. *prooem.* lib. 3, p. 25, 11 Plasberg *fixus*.

⁷⁸ VERG. *Aen.* 4, 15.

In addition to its resumption of the practice of quoting rare examples, this passage presents some textual problems. The quotation from Aemilius Scaurus contains the earliest recorded example of the perfect participle of *figo* (*fictus* rather than *fixus*, which is a more recent form built on the perfect *fixi*)⁷⁹. The citation of two works bearing the title *De uita sua*, one by the above-mentioned Rutilius Rufus⁸⁰, the other by Aemilius Scaurus⁸¹, both authors who were criticised by Cicero for their style, again recalls a characteristic feature of the *Dubius sermo*⁸². Diomedes then cites two extracts from Book 3 of Varro's *De lingua Latina* and from 'Book 3' of Cicero's *Academica priora*, the latter designation, as in Nonius⁸³, being in fact a reference to the *Lucullus*. Both texts are supposed to illustrate the form *fixus*: however, since in the *Lucullus* we find the expression *stabile fixum ratum esse debeat* (*Ac.* 2, 27), whereas no passage seems to correspond to *malcho* [read *malleo*⁸⁴?] *in opera adfixa*, one suspects that Diomedes may have inverted the quotations, attributing to Varro a passage that actually occurred in the *Lucullus*, and ascribing to Cicero an extract from Book 3 of the *De lingua Latina*; alternatively we will have to suppose that the corrupt form *malcho* formed part of another quotation, or that it indicated the author's name, as was supposed by Keil⁸⁵, who placed a lacuna between *tertio* and *malcho*.

What conclusions can be drawn from this analysis? The editing of grammatical fragments is a difficult task, whose very rigor is its greatest limitation; for while on the methodological level it is correct to include only extracts explicitly attributed to a fragmentary author (in our case Pliny), eschewing imaginative hypotheses about the authorship of passages where that author is not mentioned, it is clear that the wider context of a quotation

⁷⁹ See LEBEK, *Verba Prisca*, p. 226; LEUMANN, *Laut- und Formenlehre*, § 448 i.A. I; *FRHI* p. 29.

⁸⁰ See CIC. *Brut.* 113-114.

⁸¹ See CIC. *Brut.* 110-112.

⁸² Cfr. NEUMANN, *De Plinii libris*, pp. 30-31; BECK, *Zu den Quellen*, p. 512; PETER, *Historicorum Romanorum*, p. CCLIII.

⁸³ NON. p. 117, 7-9 and pp. 139, 31 - 140, 1 M. = p. 168 and p. 203 L.

⁸⁴ REID, *Academica*, p. 165 ad *Ac.* 2 fr. 17.

⁸⁵ H. KEIL, *GL* I 377 *app. crit.*: «Clitomachi nomen subesse suspicabatur Halmius».

from a named author may conceal original material mixed up with other heterogenous data. In the present case this seems to be proved by the quotations from non-literary authors who are explicitly used as sources of the *Naturalis historia* or of other works not studied in school which have been preserved almost exclusively thanks to the examples quoted in the *Dubius sermo*. A second problem which the editor faces is that of superimposed textual layers containing a mixture of direct and indirect sources. The parallels between the passages from Diomedes and those from Priscian, who explicitly draws on Caper, have shown that Caper was probably the intermediary through whom the learned grammar of the first and second centuries AD was transmitted to late Latin scholars; this is undoubtedly true of Pliny's *Dubius sermo* and Verrius Flaccus, and it may also be true of Probus, though in his case there might have been an independent tradition. However, the nature of this transmission is further complicated by other possible intermediaries; the fact that Priscian mentions Caper and scholars cited by him (such as Pliny), and that he provides more accurate and complete versions of the literary examples that they quote, whereas Diomedes refers only to Pliny and often gives problematic texts of the same literary examples, suggests that Diomedes' knowledge of Caper's work may have been indirect, and that he may have been quoting from compilations or summaries. However, his text is frequently badly transmitted and further research must be done to collate other manuscripts and to enlarge the documentary basis for a new edition of this grammarian⁸⁶.

⁸⁶ For a possible *Diomedes plenior* see above, pp. 58-59. JEEP (esp. *Priscianus III*) demonstrated that Diomedes' and Priscian's main source was Flavius Caper, whose work, in its turn, gave them access to many extracts from Probus. BARWICK (*Remmius Palaemon*, pp. 138-139 note 1, see also DAMMER, *Diomedes*, pp. 159-160 note 362) postulated an intermediary source between Caper and Diomedes. Three medieval treatises derived from Diomedes (*Malsachani ars*, anonymus *Ad Cuimnanum*, and *De uerbo* cod. PARIS, Bibliothèque nationale de France, Latin 7491) show independent traces of an ancient *Urquelle* used by him through a *Mittelquelle* dated to approximately 700 AD: after having formulated this hypothesis, TAEGER (*Multiplex*, esp. pp. 62-64 and 72-73) attributed these materials to Probus' *De inaequalitate consuetudinis* or *De dubiis perfectis*, without rousing out Pliny's *Dubius sermo*. More recently, STAGNI (*Absconditus*) emphasizes Probus' dependence from Pliny, Caper being a possible source for the first, and also, by way of a consequence, for the second one.

BIBLIOGRAFIA GENERALE

ABBOTT, *Vulgar Latin*

F.F. ABBOTT, *Vulgar Latin in the Ars Consentii de Barbarismis*, «CPh» 4, 1909, pp. 233-247.

ADAMS, *Bilingualism*

J.N. ADAMS, *Bilingualism and the Latin Language*, Cambridge 2003.

ADAMS, *The Regional Diversification*

J.N. ADAMS, *The Regional Diversification of Latin, 200 BC-AD 600*, Cambridge 2007.

ADAMS, *Social Variation*

J.N. ADAMS, *Social Variation and the Latin Language*, Cambridge 2013.

ALLEN, *Vox Graeca*

W.S. ALLEN, *Vox Graeca: A Guide to the Pronunciation of Classical Greek*, Cambridge 1968.

ALLEN, *Vox Latina*

W.S. ALLEN, *Vox Latina: A Guide to the Pronunciation of Classical Latin*, Cambridge 1978² [1965¹].

AMMANNATI, *Lectio falsa*

G. AMMANNATI, *Lectio falsa et emendatio. Congetture alle Metamorfosi di Apuleio e considerazioni sulla fisionomia filologica del Laur. 68.2 (F)*, «MD» 79, 2017, pp. 227-239.

ANDRÉS SANZ, *Liber differentiarum*

M.A. ANDRÉS SANZ (ed.), *Isidori Hispalensis Episcopi Liber differentiarum II*, Turnhout 2006.

ARCHIBALD, *Whose Line*

E.P. ARCHIBALD, *Whose Line is it Anyway? Dialogue with Donatus in Late Antique and Early Medieval Schools*, «Journal of Medieval Latin» 23, 2013, pp. 185-199.

ARÉVALO, *Isidori III*

F. ARÉVALO (rec.), *S. Isidori Hispalensis episcopi Hispaniarum doctoris opera omnia*, III, Romae 1798.

ASPERTI - PASSALACQUA, *Appendix Probi*

F. ASPERTI - M. PASSALACQUA (curr.), *Appendix Probi (GL IV 193-204)*, Firenze 2014.

ATHERTON, *Children*

C. ATHERTON, *Children, Animals, Slaves and Grammar*, in YUN LEE TOO - N. LIVINGSTONE (eds.), *Pedagogy and Power. Rhetorics of Classical Learning*, Cambridge - New York - Melbourne 1998, pp. 214-244.

AUSTIN, *Aeneid II*

R.G. AUSTIN, *P. Vergili Maronis Aeneidos liber secundus*, with a commentary, Oxford 1964.

AUSTIN, *Aeneid VI*

R.G. AUSTIN, *P. Vergili Maronis Aeneidos liber sextus*, with a commentary, Oxford 1977.

BARABINO, *Nonio*

G. BARABINO, *Nonio Marcello*, in *Enciclopedia Virgiliana*, III, Roma 1987, pp. 753-758.

BARABINO, *Scripta Noniana*

G. BARABINO, *Scripta Noniana*, Genova 2005.

BARATIN, *À qui s'adresse*

M. BARATIN, *À qui s'adresse Priscien? Pédagogie et bilinguisme dans l'Antiquité tardive*, in LONGOBARDI et al., *Scholae discimus*, pp. 33-54.

BARWICK, *De Iunio Filargirio*

K. BARWICK, *De Iunio Filargirio Vergilii Interprete*, Lipsiae 1908.

BARWICK, *Remmius Palaemon*

K. BARWICK, *Remmius Palaemon und die römische Ars grammatica*, Leipzig 1922.

BARWICK, *Zur Geschichte*

K. BARWICK, *Zur Geschichte und Rekonstruktion des Charisius-Textes*, «Hermes» 59, 1924, pp. 322-355.

BECK, *C. Plinii Secundi*

J.W. BECK (coll., inlustr.), *C. Plinii Secundi librorum Dubii sermonis VIII reliquiae*, Lipsiae 1894.

BECK, *Zu den Quellen*

J.W. BECK, *Zu den Quellen der grammatischen Bücher des Plinius Secundus*, «*Philologus*» 52, 1893, pp. 502-513.

BECKER, *Beiträge*

J. BECKER, *Beiträge zur römischen Literaturgeschichte (Schluss)*, «*Zeitschrift für die Altertumswissenschaft*» 6, 1848, pp. 593-596.

BELARDI, *Gli allofoni*

W. BELARDI, *Gli allofoni di l latino dalla protostoria alla fase romanza*, in W. BELARDI - P. CIPRIANO - P. DI GIOVINE - M. MANCINI (curr.), *Studi latini e romanzi in memoria di Antonino Pagliaro*, Roma 1984, pp. 62-110.

BERTI, *Digital Classical Philology*

M. BERTI (ed.), *Digital Classical Philology*, Berlin - Boston 2019.

BERTI, *Historical Fragmentary*

M. BERTI, *Historical Fragmentary Texts in the Digital Age*, in BERTI, *Digital Classical Philology*, pp. 257-276.

BERTI *et al.*, *The Linked Fragment*

M. BERTI - B. ALMAS - D. DUBIN - G. FRANZINI - S. STOYANOVA - G.R. CRANE, *The Linked Fragment: TEI and the Encoding of Text Reuses of Lost Authors*, «*Journal of the Text Encoding Initiative*» 8, 2015
online: <https://journals.openedition.org/jtei/1218>.

BERTI - ALMAS - CRANE, *The Leipzig Open*

M. BERTI - B. ALMAS - G.R. CRANE, *The Leipzig Open Fragmentary Texts Series (LOFTS)*, «*Digital Humanities Quarterly*» 10/2, 2016
online: <http://www.digitalhumanities.org/dhq/vol/10/2/000245/000245.html#p4>.

BERTOCCHI, *Ipse*

A. BERTOCCHI, *Ipse as an Intensifier*, in G. CALBOLI (ed.), *Papers on grammar V*, Bologna 2000, pp. 15-30.

BERTRAND, *Vita Antonii*

P.H.E. BERTRAND (ed.), *Vitae Antonii versiones latinae: vita beati Antonii abbatis Evagrii interprete*, Turnhout 2018.

BIANCONI, *Alle origini*

D. BIANCONI, *Alle origini dei Graeca di Prisciano. Il contesto culturale e librario*, in MARTORELLI, *Greco antico*, pp. 319-339.

BIDDAU, *Q. Terentii Scauri*

F. BIDDAU (cur.), *Q. Terentii Scauri De orthographia*, Introduzione, testo critico, traduzione e commento, Hildesheim 2008.

BIGNAMI ODIER, *Une lettre*

J. BIGNAMI ODIER, *Une lettre apocryphe de Saint Damase à Saint Jérôme sur la question de Melchisédech*, «MEFRA» 63, 1951, pp. 183-190.

BIRT, *Sprach man*

Th. BIRT, *Sprach man aurum oder aurum?*, Frankfurt am Main 1897.

BISCHOFF, *Katalog*

B. BISCHOFF, *Katalog der festländischen Handschriften des neunten Jahrhunderts (mit Ausnahme der wisigotischen)*, I-III, Wiesbaden 1998-2014.

BISCHOFF, *Manuscripts and Libraries*

B. BISCHOFF, *Manuscripts and Libraries in the Age of Charlemagne*, Cambridge 1994.

BISCHOFF, *Sammelhandschrift*

B. BISCHOFF, *Sammelhandschrift Diez. B Sant. 66. Grammatici Latini et catalogus librorum. Vollständige Faksimile-Ausgabe im Originalformat der Handschrift aus der Staatsbibliothek Preussischer Kulturbesitz*, Graz 1973.

BIVILLE, *Le latin expliqué*

F. BIVILLE, *Le latin expliqué par le grec: les Institutions de Priscien*, in B. BORTOLUSSI - M. KELLER - S. MINON - L. SZNAJDER - F. BIVILLE (éd.), *Traduire, transposer, transmettre dans l'Antiquité*, Paris 2009, pp. 47-60.

BIVILLE, *Les Institutions*

F. BIVILLE, *Les Institutions de Priscien, une grammaire et une culture bilingues*, in C. BURNET (éd.), *Des formes et des mots chez les Anciens. Mélanges offerts à Danièle Conso*, Besançon 2008, pp. 31-50.

BLÄNSDORF, *Fragmenta*

J. BLÄNSDORF (ed.), *Fragmenta poetarum Latinorum epicorum et lyricorum*, Berlin - New York 2011⁴.

BÖLTE, *Die Quellen*

F. BÖLTE, *Die Quellen von Charisius I 15. und 17.*, «Neue Jahrbücher für Philologie und Paedagogik» 137, 1888, pp. 401-440.

BOLL, *Sphaera*

F. BOLL, *Sphaera: neue griechische Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der Sternbilder*, Leipzig 1903.

BONNET, *Le latin*

M. BONNET, *Le latin de Grégoire de Tours*, Paris 1890.

BORST, *Das langobardische Zwiegespräch*

Das langobardische Zwiegespräch um 750 (Dial. Langob.), in A. BORST (hrsg. von), *Schriften zur Komputistik im Frankenreich von 721 bis 818*, I, Hannover 2006.

BOUCHERIE, *Étude*

A. BOUCHERIE, *Étude sur le manuscrit H 141 (IXe s.), palimpseste, de la bibliothèque de l'École de médecine de Montpellier*, s.l., s.d. (1872?),

online: http://www.biu-montpellier.fr/florabium/jsp/nodoc.jsp?NODOC=2015_DOC_MONT_MBUM_18.

BRENDER, *Zu lat. fessus*

F. BRENDER, *Zu lat. fessus und gressus*, «Glotta» 20, 1931, pp. 46-53.

BURMAN, *Anthologia*

P. BURMANNUS (cur.), *Anthologia veterum Latinorum epigrammatum et poematum sive catalecta poetarum Latinorum in VI libros digesta*, I, Amstelaedami 1759.

BURNS, *Beneventan Interest*

P.C. BURNS, *Beneventan Interest in Vergil*, «SMRH» 5, 1982, pp. 173-278.

BURNS, *The Vatican Scholia*

P.C. BURNS, *The Vatican Scholia on Virgil's Georgics: Text and Analysis*, Diss. Toronto 1974.

BUTTMANN, *Ars Consentii*

P. BUTTMANN (ed.), *Ars Consentii v. c. de barbarismis et metaplasmis*, Berolini 1817.

CADONI, *Studi*

E. CADONI, *Studi sul De compendiosa doctrina di Nonio Marcello*, Sassari 1987.

CAMERON, *The Last Pagans*

A. CAMERON, *The Last Pagans of Rome*, Oxford 2011.

CANFORA, *Per la storia*

L. CANFORA, *Per la storia del testo di Sallustio*, in ID., *Studi di storia della storiografia romana*, Bari 1993, pp. 97-120.

CASALI, *Eneide 2*

S. CASALI, *Virgilio, Eneide 2*, Introduzione, traduzione e commento, Pisa 2019² [2017¹].

Catalogue général

Catalogue général des manuscrits des Bibliothèques publiques des départements, V: Metz, Verdun, Charleville, Paris 1879.

CAVALLO, *La trasmissione*

G. CAVALLO, *La trasmissione dei testi nell'area beneventano-cassinese*, in *La cultura antica nell'Occidente latino dal VII al IX secolo. Spoleto, 18-24 aprile 1974*, Spoleto 1975, pp. 357-424.

CAVAZZA, *ruo*

F. CAVAZZA, s.v. *ruo*, in *Enciclopedia Virgiliana*, IV, Roma 1988, pp. 602-605.

CAVENAILE, *L'adverbe penitus*

R. CAVENAILE, *L'adverbe penitus à travers la latinité*, «LEC» 11, 1942, pp. 27-52.

CÈBE, *Varron Satires*

J.-P. CÈBE (éd.), *Varron, Satires Ménippées*, V, Rome 1980.

CHAHOUD, *Antiquity and Authority*

A. CHAHOUD, *Antiquity and Authority in Nonius Marcellus*, in J.H.D. SCOURFIELD (ed.), *Texts and Culture in Late Antiquity. Inheritance, Authority, and Change*, Swansea 2007, pp. 69-96.

CHEGAI - ROSELLINI - SPANGENBERG YANES, *Textual Philology*

A. CHEGAI - M. ROSELLINI - E. SPANGENBERG YANES (eds.), *Textual Philology Facing 'Liquid Modernity': Identifying Objects, Evaluating Methods, Exploiting Media*, «Storie e linguaggi» 5/1, 2019.

CHIESA, *Gregorio al lavoro*

P. CHIESA, *Gregorio al lavoro. Il processo testuale della Regula pastoralis*, in A. PETRUCCI (cur.), *Codex Trecensis. La "Regola pastorale" di Gregorio Magno in un codice di VI-VII secolo: Troyes, Médiathèque de l'agglomération troyenne, 504*, II, Firenze 2005, pp. 31-99.

CHIESA, *Regula pastoralis*

P. CHIESA, *Regula pastoralis*, in L. CASTALDI (cur.), *La trasmissione dei testi latini nel Medioevo*, V, Firenze 2013, pp. 174-190.

CHITTENDEN, *Donatus Ortigraphus*

J. CHITTENDEN (ed.), *Donatus Ortigraphus. Ars grammatica*, Turnholti 1982.

CHRIST, *Die Leistungen*

W. CHRIST, *Die Leistungen auf dem Gebiete der alten lateinischen Grammatiker*, «Philologus» 18, 1862, pp. 109-185.

CHURCHILL WHITE, *The Method*

D. CHURCHILL WHITE, *The Method of Composition and Sources of Nonius Marcellus*, «Studi Noniani» 8, 1980, pp. 111-211.

CIGNARELLA, *Virgilio a scuola*

A. CIGNARELLA, *Virgilio a scuola. Servio e il secondo libro dell'Eneide*, Foggia 2011.

CLA

E.A. LOWE, *Codices Latini antiquiores*, I-IX + Suppl., Oxford 1934-1971.

CODOÑER, *Diferencias*

C. CODOÑER (ed.), *Isidoro de Sevilla. Diferencias libro I*, Paris 1992.

CONDUCHÉ, *Terentianus Maurus*

C. CONDUCHÉ, *Terentianus Maurus dans les commentaires de Servius sur Virgile*, in A. GARCEA - M.-K. LHOMMÉ - D. VALLAT (édd.), *Fragments d'érudition. Servius et le savoir antique*, Hildesheim - Zürich - New York 2016, pp. 31-51.

CORBEILL, *Genus*

A. CORBEILL, *Genus quid est? Roman Scholars on Grammatical Gender and Biological Sex*, «TAPhA» 138, 2008, pp. 75-105.

COURTNEY, *The Fragmentary Latin Poets*

E. COURTNEY, *The Fragmentary Latin Poets*, Oxford 2003² [1993¹].

CUGUSI, *Epistolographi*

P. CUGUSI, *Epistolographi Latini minores*, II, Augustae Taurinorum 1979.

DAHLMANN, *Cornelius Severus*

H. DAHLMANN, *Cornelius Severus*, Mainz - Wiesbaden 1975.

DAHLMANN, *Plotius*

H. DAHLMANN, s.v. *Plotius* (17), in *RE* 21.1, 1951, coll. 601-608.

D'ALESSANDRO, *Cesio Basso*

P. D'ALESSANDRO, *Cesio Basso e il De versuum generibus di Diomede*, «Incontri triestini di filologia classica» 1, 2001-2002, pp. 115-130.

D'ALESSANDRO, *Varrone*

P. D'ALESSANDRO, *Varrone e la tradizione metrica antica*, Hildesheim - Zürich - New York 2012.

DAMMER, *Diomedes*

R. DAMMER, *Diomedes grammaticus*, Trier 2001.

DEL CASTILLO HERRERA, *La métrica latina*

M. DEL CASTILLO HERRERA, *La métrica latina en el siglo IV. Diomedes y su entorno*, Granada 1990.

DEL CASTILLO HERRERA, *Un pasaje oscuro*

M. DEL CASTILLO HERRERA, *Un pasaje oscuro de Diomedes: Ars grammatica III, GLK I 502, 15-19*, «Fortunatae» 2, 1991, pp. 231-238.

DELLA CASA, *Il dubius sermo*

A. DELLA CASA, *Il dubius sermo di Plinio*, Genova 1969.

DELLA CASA, *La "grammatica"*

A. DELLA CASA, *La "grammatica" di Valerio Probo*, in *Argentea Aetas. In memoriam Entii V. Marmorale*, Genova 1973, pp. 139-160.

DELVIGO, *Servio e la poesia*

M.L. DELVIGO, *Servio e la poesia della scienza*, Pisa 2011.

DE MEYER, *Codices Vossiani Latini*

Codices Vossiani Latini, descripsit K. A. DE MEYER, II: *Codices in Quarto*, Leiden 1975, pp. 85-94.

DENECKER, *Latin Grammar*

T. DENECKER, *Latin Grammar and/in Pedagogy. The Two partes grammaticae According to Julian of Toledo*, «Euphrosyne», 45, 2017, pp. 297-304.

DE NONNO, *Anonymus Bobiensis*

M. DE NONNO (cur.), *La grammatica dell'Anonymus Bobiensis (GL I 533-565 Keil)*, Roma 1982.

DE NONNO, *Ancora 'libro e testo'*

M. DE NONNO, *Ancora 'libro e testo': nuova descrizione del ms. Oxford, Bodl. Libr. Add. C 144, con osservazioni codicologiche e testuali*, in R. CASAVECCHIA - P. DE PAOLIS - M. MANIACI - G. OROFINO (curr.), *Libri e testi. Lavori in corso a Cassino. Atti del Seminario internazionale (Cassino, 30-31 gennaio 2012)*, Cassino 2013, pp. 63-109.

DE NONNO, *Ars Prisciani*

M. DE NONNO, *Ars Prisciani Caesariensis: problemi di tipologia e di composizione*, in M. BARATIN - B. COLOMBAT - L. HOLTZ (edd.), *Priscien. Transmission et refondation de la grammaire. De l'Antiquité aux Modernes*, Turnhout 2009, pp. 249-278.

DE NONNO, *Contributo alla tradizione*

M. DE NONNO, *Contributo alla tradizione di Prisciano in area beneventano-cassinese: il Vállicell. C 9*, «RHT» 9, 1979, pp. 123-139.

DE NONNO, *Et interrogavit*

M. DE NONNO, *Et interrogavit Filocalus. Pratiche dell'insegnamento 'in aula' del grammatico*, in L. DEL CORSO - O. PECERE (curr.), *Libri di scuola e pratiche didattiche. Dall'Antichità al Rinascimento. Atti del Convegno Internazionale di Studi (Cassino 7-10 maggio 2008)*, Cassino 2010, pp. 169-205.

DE NONNO, *Forme e modi*

M. DE NONNO, *Forme e modi della presenza di Varrone nei grammatici latini. Tracce di dottrina e documentazione linguistica*, «RPL» 39, 2016, pp. 113-139.

DE NONNO, *Frammenti misconosciuti*

M. DE NONNO, *Frammenti misconosciuti di Plozio Sacerdote. Con osservazioni sul testo dei Catholica Probi*, «RFIC» 111, 1983, pp. 385-421.

DE NONNO, *L'Auctor ad Caelestinum*

M. DE NONNO, *L'Auctor ad Caelestinum (GL IV 219-264 Keil): contributi al testo e alla caratterizzazione*, in *Dicti studiosus. Scritti di filologia offerti a S. Mariotti dai suoi allievi*, Urbino 1990, pp. 221-258.

DE NONNO, *Le citazioni dei grammatici*

M. DE NONNO, *Le citazioni dei grammatici*, in G. CAVALLO - P. FEDELI - A. GIARDINA (dir.), *Lo spazio letterario di Roma antica, III: La ricezione del testo*, Roma 1990, pp. 597-646.

DE NONNO, *Le citazioni di Prisciano*

M. DE NONNO, *Le citazioni di Prisciano da autori latini nella testimonianza del Vat. Lat. 3313*, «RFIC» 105, 1977, pp. 385-402.

DE NONNO, *Manuali brevi*

M. DE NONNO, *'Manuali brevi' di metrica latina e caratteristiche d'autore. Con anticipazioni sul De arte metrica di Marziano Capella*, in LONGOBARDI et al., *Scholae discimus*, pp. 67-92.

DE NONNO, *Plozio Sacerdote*

M. DE NONNO, s.v. *Plozio Sacerdote*, in *Enciclopedia Virgiliana*, IV, Roma 1988, pp. 147-148.

DE NONNO, *Ruolo e funzione*

M. DE NONNO, *Ruolo e funzione della metrica nei grammatici latini*, in R.M. DANESE - F. GORI - C. QUESTA (curr.), *Metrica classica e linguistica. Atti del Colloquio (Urbino, 3-6 ottobre 1988)*, Urbino 1990, pp. 453-494.

DE NONNO, *Satura petroniana*

M. DE NONNO, *Satura petroniana*, in PIRAS, *Labor in studiis*, pp. 73-96.

DE NONNO, *Spicilegio serviano*

M. DE NONNO, *Spicilegio critico serviano (con una congettura a Plin. dub. serm. fr. 122 Mazzarino)*, «RPL» 41, 2018, pp. 5-16.

DE NONNO, *Un nuovo testo*

M. DE NONNO, *Un nuovo testo di Marziano Capella: la metrica*, «RFIC» 118, 1990, pp. 129-144.

DE NONNO, *Vetustas e antiquitas*

M. DE NONNO, *Vetustas e antiquitas, veteres e antiqui nei grammatici latini*, in S. ROCCHI - C. MUSSINI (eds.), *Imagines antiquitatis. Representations, Concepts, Receptions of the Past in Roman Antiquity and the Early Italian Renaissance*, Berlin - Boston 2017, pp. 213-247.

DE PAOLIS, *Contributi*

P. DE PAOLIS, *Contributi per la caratterizzazione del De orthographia attribuito a Capro*, in M. HUYS - P. SWIGGERS (eds.), *Grammar and Language in Ancient Books. Papers Presented to Alfons Wouters on the Occasion of his Retirement*, pp. 231-246 [in corso di stampa].

DE PAOLIS, *Le croci*

P. DE PAOLIS, *Le croci di un editore: alcuni problemi di critica testuale nel De orthographia dello Ps. Capro*, «Incontri di filologia classica» 13, 2013, pp. 21-47.

DE PAOLIS, *Le strategie*

P. DE PAOLIS, *Le strategie linguistiche e didattiche dei commenti a Donato: osservazioni sulle Explanationes in Donatum*, in A. GARCÍA LEAL - C.E. PRIETO ENTRIALGO (ed. por), *Latin vulgaire – latin tardif XI*, Hildesheim - Zürich - New York 2017, pp. 672-683.

DE PAOLIS, *Letture scolastiche*

P. DE PAOLIS, *Letture scolastiche e circolazione del testo di Catullo in epoca antica*, «Paideia» 73, 2018, pp. 2085-2113.

DE PAOLIS, *Necesse est emendare*

P. DE PAOLIS, *Necesse est emendare ipsum qui aliquid emendare praesumpsit. Destinazione e finalità dell'Ars de orthographia di Agrecio*, in L. GAMBERALE - M. DE NONNO - C. DI GIOVINE - M. PASSALACQUA (curr.), *Le strade della filologia. Per Scevola Mariotti*, Roma 2012, pp. 171-194.

DE PAOLIS, *Nota testuale*

P. DE PAOLIS, *Nota testuale a Ps. Capro, De verbis dubiis, GL VII 108, 3-6 Keil*, in M. PALMA - C. VISMARA (curr.), *Per Gabriella. Studi in ricordo di Gabriella Braga*, Cassino 2013, pp. 689-697.

DE PAOLIS, *Problemi di riedizione*

P. DE PAOLIS, *Problemi di riedizione della silloge di Keil: gli scritti ortografici*, in G. HINOJO ANDRÉS - J.C. FERNÁNDEZ CORTE (eds.), *Munus quaesitum meritis. Homenaje a Carmen Codoñer*, Salamanca 2007, pp. 695-701.

DE PAOLIS, *Sordidi sermonis*

P. DE PAOLIS, 'Sordidi sermonis viri': Velio Longo, Flavio Capro e la lingua di Lucano, in PIRAS, *Labor in studiis*, pp. 97-109.

DE PAOLIS, *Tradizioni carolinghe*

P. DE PAOLIS, *Tradizioni carolinghe e tradizioni umanistiche: il De orthographia attribuito a Flavio Capro*, in O. PECERE - M.D. REEVE (eds.), *Formative Stages of Classical Traditions: Latin Texts from Antiquity to the Renaissance. Proceedings of a Conference held at Erice, 16-22 October 1993, as the 6th Course of International School for the Study of Written Records*, Spoleto 1995, pp. 263-298.

DE PAOLIS, *Un manuale scolastico*

P. DE PAOLIS, *Un manuale scolastico da Corbie*, in E. BONA - C. LÉVY - G. MAGNALDI (curr.), *Vestigia notitiae. Scritti in memoria di Michelangelo Giusta*, Alessandria 2012, pp. 81-106.

DE PAOLIS - ROMANO, *Atti del III Seminario*

P. DE PAOLIS - E. ROMANO (curr.), *Atti del III Seminario nazionale per dottorandi e dottori di ricerca in studi latini. Roma, 20 novembre 2015, Università degli Studi "La Sapienza"*, «Biblioteca di Classico Contemporaneo» 5, 2017.

DESIDERIO, *La notion*

J. DESIDERIO, *La notion d'archaïsme chez les grammairiens latins; avec une édition commentée de l'œuvre fragmentaire de Flavius Caper*, Thèse doctorale Paris Sorbonne - Cassino 2017

online: <http://lettres.sorbonne-universite.fr/Mme-DESIDERIO-Janyce-COT-La-notion>.

Dictionary of Medieval Latin from British Sources

R.D. LATHAM - D.R. HOWLETT, *Dictionary of Medieval Latin from British Sources*, I-II, Oxford 1975-2013.

DIETZ, *Historia*

D.B. DIETZ, *Historia in the Commentary of Servius*, «TAPhA» 125, 1995, pp. 61-97.

DIONISOTTI, *From Stephanus*

A.C. DIONISOTTI, *From Stephanus to Du Cange: Glossary Stories*, «RHT» 14-15, 1984-85, pp. 303-336.

DIONISOTTI, *Greek Grammars*

A.C. DIONISOTTI, *Greek Grammars and Dictionaries in Carolingian Europe*, in Sh.A. BROWN - M.W. HERREN (eds.), *The Sacred Nectar of the Greeks*, London 1988, pp. 1-55.

DU CANGE

C.D. DU CANGE, *Glossarium mediae et infimae Latinitatis*, I-VII, 1610-1688.

ECKSTEIN, *Anecdota Parisina*

F.A. ECKSTEIN, *Anecdota Parisina rhetorica*, Halle 1852.

EICHENFELD - ENDLICHER, *Analecta*

I. AB EICHENFELD - S. ENDLICHER (edd.), *Analecta grammatica maximam partem anecdota*, Vindobonae 1837.

ERNOUT - MEILLET

A. ERNOUT - A. MEILLET, *Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue latine. Histoire des mots*, Paris 1985⁴ [1932¹].

FERRI - ZAGO, *The Latin*

R. FERRI - A. ZAGO (eds.), *The Latin of the Grammarians. Reflections about Language in the Roman World*, Turnhout 2016.

FIORETTI, *Composizione*

P. FIORETTI, *Composizione, edizione e diffusione delle opere di Gregorio Magno. In margine al Codex Trecensis*, «Scripta» 1, 2008, pp. 61-75.

FISCHER, *Digital Classical Philology*

F. FISCHER, *Digital Classical Philology and the Classical Apparatus*, in BERTI (ed.), *Digital Classical Philology*, pp. 203-219.

FLOBERT, *Les verbes déponents*

P. FLOBERT, *Les verbes déponents latins des origines à Charlemagne*, Paris 1975.

FREUND, rec. *Lindemann*

W. FREUND, rec. LINDEMANN, *Corpus grammaticorum*, «Neue Jahrbücher für Philologie und Paedagogik» 5/1, 1832, pp. 88-103.

FRÖHDE, *De C. Iulio Romano*

O. FRÖHDE, *De C. Iulio Romano Charisii auctore*, «Neue Jahrbücher für Philologie und Pädagogik» Suppl. 18, 1892, pp. 566-672.

FRÖHDE, *Die Anfangsgründe*

O. FRÖHDE, *Die Anfangsgründe der römischen Grammatik*, Leipzig 1892.

GALZERANO, *Carisio*

M. GALZERANO, *Carisio, Ars grammatica I 15: nuovi argomenti per l'attribuzione al Dubius sermo e per una polemica anti-senecana da parte di Plinio*, «Latinitas» 5, 2017, pp. 73-100.

GANIBAN *et al.*, *Aeneid 1-6*

R. GANIBAN *et al.*, *Vergil, Aeneid, Books 1-6*, Indianapolis 2012.

GEYMONAT, *Servius*

M. GEYMONAT, *Servius as Commentator of Horace*, in P. KNOX - C. FOSS (eds.), *Style and Tradition: Studies in Honour of Wendell Clausen*, Leipzig 1998, pp. 30-39.

GEYMONAT, *Vergili opera*

M. GEYMONAT (ed.), *P. Vergili Maronis opera*, Roma 2008² [1973¹].

GIAMMONA, *Ars Ambianensis*

C. GIAMMONA (cur.), *Ars Ambianensis: le tre redazioni delle declinationes nominum*, *Editio princeps* con commento e indici, Hildesheim 2016.

GIOSEFFI, *rec. Velaza*

M. GIOSEFFI, rec. J. VELAZA (ed.), *M. Valeri Probi Beryti Fragmenta*, Barcelona 2005, «Exemplaria Classica» 10, 2006, pp. 432-441.

GL

Grammatici Latini, ex rec. H. KEILII, I-VII et Suppl., Lipsiae 1855-1880.

GLÜCK, *Priscians Partitiones*

M. GLÜCK, *Priscians Partitiones und ihre Stellung in der spätantiken Schule*, Hildesheim 1967.

GÖTTING, *De Flavio Capro*

F. GÖTTING, *De Flavio Capro Consentii fonte*, Diss. Regimonti Borussorum 1899.

GOETZ, *Caper*

G. GOETZ, s.v. *Caper*, in *RE* III.2, 1899, coll. 1506-1508.

GOETZ - LÖWE, *Corpus glossariorum*

G. GOETZ - C. LÖWE (edd.), *Corpus glossariorum Latinorum*, I-VII, Leipzig 1888-1923.

GONZÁLEZ LUIS, *El género*

F. GONZÁLEZ LUIS, *El género gramatical en los Commentarii de Servio a Virgilio*, «*Fortunatae*» 2, 1991, pp. 239-262.

GONZÁLEZ LUIS, *Oscilaciones*

F. GONZÁLEZ LUIS, *Oscilaciones de género gramatical en el gramático Servio*, in E. ARTIGAS (ed.), *Homenaje a J. Alsina II*, Tarragona 1992, pp. 83-87.

GOOLD, *Servius*

G.P. GOOLD, *Servius and the Helen Episode*, «*HSPH*» 74, pp. 101-168.

GRUPE ARS GRAMMATICA, *Priscien XIV - XV - XVI*

GRUPE ARS GRAMMATICA (éd.), *Priscien. Grammaire. Livres XIV - XV - XVI. Les invariables*, Paris 2013.

GRUPE ARS GRAMMATICA, *Priscien XVII*

GRUPE ARS GRAMMATICA (éd.), *Priscien. Grammaire. Livre XVII – Syntaxe*, I, Paris 2010.

GUGLIELMETTI, *L'edizione*

R.E. GUGLIELMETTI, *L'edizione dei testi a basso livello di autorialità*, in E. MALATO - A. MAZZUCCHI (curr.), *La critica del testo. Problemi di metodo ed esperienze di lavoro. Trent'anni dopo in vista del Settecentenario della morte di Dante. Atti del Convegno internazionale di Roma, 23-26 ottobre 2017*, Roma 2019, pp. 157-179.

GUILLAUMIN, *Servius IV*

J.-Y. GUILLAUMIN (éd.), *Servius. Commentaire sur l'Énéide de Virgile. Livre IV*, Paris 2019.

HAGEN, *Anecdota Helvetica*

H. HAGEN (ed.), *Grammatici Latini Supplementum: Anecdota Helvetica quae ad grammaticam latinam spectant ex bibliothecis Turicensi Einsidlensi Bernensi collecta*, Lipsiae 1870.

HAGEN, *Scholia Bernensia*

H. HAGEN (ed.), *Scholia Bernensia ad Vergili Bucolica atque Georgica*, Leipzig 1867.

HAGENDAHL, *La prose métrique*

H. HAGENDAHL, *La prose métrique d'Arnobé. Contributions à la connaissance de la prose littéraire de l'Empire*, Göteborg 1937.

HANTSCHÉ, *De Sacerdote*

G. HANTSCHÉ, *De Sacerdote grammatico quaestiones selectae*, Diss. Regimonti 1911.

HENRY, *Aeneidea II*

J. HENRY, *Aeneidea, or Critical, Exegetical, and Aesthetical Remarks on the Aeneis*, II, Dublin 1878 (rist. Hildesheim 1969).

HERTZ, *Prisciani grammatici*

M. HERTZ (ed.), *Prisciani grammatici Caesariensis Institutionum grammaticarum libri XVIII*, I-II, Lipsiae 1855-1859.

HERZOG, *Die Literatur des Umbruchs*

R. HERZOG (hrsg. von), *Handbuch der lateinischen Literatur der Antike*, IV: *Die Literatur des Umbruchs. Von der römischen zur christlichen Literatur 117 bis 284 n. Chr.*, München 1997.

HERZOG, *Restauration et renouveau*

R. HERZOG (éd.), *Nouvelle Histoire de la Littérature Latine*, V: *Restauration et renouveau. La littérature latine de 284 à 374 après J.-C.*, Turnhout 1993 [version française sous la direction de G. Nauroy].

HERZOG, *Restauration und Erneuerung*

R. HERZOG (hrsg. von), *Handbuch der lateinischen Literatur der Antike*, V: *Restauration und Erneuerung. Die lateinische Literatur von 284 bis 374 n. Chr.*, München 1989.

HOELTERMANN, *De Flavio Capro*

A. HOELTERMANN, *De Flavio Capro grammatico*, Diss. Bonnae 1913.

HOFMANN - SZANTYR, *Lateinische Syntax*

J.B. HOFMANN, *Lateinische Syntax und Stilistik*, neubearbeitet von A. SZANTYR, München 1965.

HOLLIS, *Fragments*

A.S. HOLLIS, *Fragments of Roman Poetry, c. 60 BC-AD 20*, Oxford 2007.

HOLTZ, *Donat*

L. HOLTZ, *Donat et la tradition de l'enseignement grammatical. Étude sur l'Arts Donati et sa diffusion (IVe-IXe siècle) et édition critique*, Paris 1981.

HOLTZ, *La tradition ancienne*

L. HOLTZ, *La tradition ancienne du Liber in partibus Donati de Smaragde de Saint-Mihiel*, «RHT» 16 (1986), pp. 171-211.

HOLTZ, *Le Parisinus*

L. HOLTZ, *Le Parisinus Latinus 7530, synthèse cassinienne des arts libéraux*, «StudMed» 16, 1975, pp. 97-152.

HOLTZ, *Prolégomènes*

L. HOLTZ, *Prolégomènes à une édition critique du commentaire de Pompée, grammairien africain*, in I. TAIFACOS (ed.), *The Origins of European Scholarship. The Cyprus Millennium Conference*, Stuttgart 2005, pp. 109-119.

HOLTZ, *Tradition et diffusion*

L. HOLTZ, *Tradition et diffusion de l'œuvre grammaticale de Pompée, commentateur de Donat*, «RPh» 97, 1971, pp. 48-83.

HOLTZ, *Una nuova fonte*

L. HOLTZ, *Una nuova fonte manoscritta dell'Arte Bernese (con edizione parziale)*, in MUNZI, *Problemi di edizione*, pp. 5-29.

HORROCKS, *Greek*

G.C. HORROCKS, *Greek: A History of the Language and its Speakers*, Malden (MA) - Oxford - Chichester 2010² [1997¹].

HORSFALL, *Aeneid 2*

N. HORSFALL, *Virgil, Aeneid 2. A Commentary*, Leiden 2008.

HORSFALL, *Aeneid 3*

N. HORSFALL, *Virgil, Aeneid 3. A Commentary*, Leiden 2006.

HORSFALL, *Aeneid 6*

N. HORSFALL, *Virgil, Aeneid 6. A Commentary*, Berlin and Boston 2013.

HORSFALL, *Aeneid 11*

N. HORSFALL, *Virgil, Aeneid 11. A Commentary*, Leiden 2005.

HÜBNER, *Noch einmal*

W. HÜBNER, *Noch einmal: ruit Oceano Nox (Verg. Aen. 2.250)*, «ACUSD» 33, 1997, pp. 189-201.

HUSKEY, *The Digital Latin Library*

S.J. HUSKEY, *The Digital Latin Library: Cataloging and Publishing Critical Editions of Latin Texts*, in BERTI, *Digital Classical Philology*, pp. 19-33.

IANNONE, *Nota sul genere*

R. IANNONE, *Nota sul genere grammaticale (commento di Servio ad Georg. II 288)*, in S.M. MEDAGLIA (cur.), *Miscellanea in ricordo di Angelo Raffaele Sodano*, Napoli 2004, pp. 199-205.

INEICHEN-EDER, *Mittelalterliche Bibliothekskataloge*

C.E. INEICHEN-EDER, *Mittelalterliche Bibliothekskataloge Deutschlands und der Schweiz*, 4, 1: *Bistümer Passau und Regensburg*, Munich 1977.

JEEP, *Priscianus III*

L. JEEP, *Priscianus, Beiträge zur Überlieferungsgeschichte der römischen Literatur III*, «*Philologus*» 71, 1912, pp. 491-517.

JEEP, *Zur Geschichte*

L. JEEP, *Zur Geschichte der Lehre von den Redetheilen bei den römischen Grammatikern*, Leipzig 1893.

JEUDY, *Donat*

C. JEUDY, *Donat et commentateurs de Donat à l'abbaye de Ripoll au X^e siècle (ms. Barcelone, Archivo de la Corona de Aragón, Ripoll 46)*, in G. CAMBIER - C. DEROUX - J. PRÉAUX (éd.), *Lettres latines du moyen âge et de la Renaissance*, Bruxelles 1978, pp. 56-75.

JEUNET-MANCY, *Servius VI*

E. JEUNET-MANCY (éd.), *Servius. Commentaire sur l'Énéide de Virgile. Livre VI*, Paris 2012.

JOCELYN, *Some Notes*

H.D. JOCELYN, *Some Notes on Virgil, Probus, Servius and Servius Danielinus*, «*Sileno*» 15, 1989, pp. 5-25.

KARBAUM, *De origine exemplorum*

H. KARBAUM, *De origine exemplorum, quae ex Ciceronis scriptis a Charisio, Diomede, Arusiano Messio, Prisciano Caesariensi, aliis grammaticis Latinis allata sunt*, Wernigerode 1889.

KASTER, *Guardians*

R.A. KASTER, *Guardians of Language: The Grammarian and Society in Late Antiquity*, Berkeley - Los Angeles - London 1988.

KASTER - MURGIA, *Serviani commentarii IX-XII*

C.E. MURGIA (ed.), *Serviani in Vergili Aeneidos libros IX-XII commentarii*, Compl. by R.A. KASTER, Oxford - New York 2018.

G. KEIL, *De Flavio Capro*

G. KEIL, *De Flavio Capro grammatico quaestionum capita II*, Diss. Halis Saxonum 1889.

H. KEIL, *De Valerio Probo*

H. KEIL, *De Valerio Probo grammatico*, in *Symbola philologorum Bonnensium in honorem Friderici Ritschelii collecta*, I, Lipsiae 1864, pp. 92-100.

H. KEIL, *rec. Wentzel*

H. KEIL, *rec. H. WENTZEL*, *De Probo artifice latino*, Oppolii 1867, «Neue Jahrbücher für Philologie und Paedagogik» 95, 1867, pp. 638-643.

KELLER, *Latin expergisor*

M. KELLER, *Latin expergisor*, «BSL» 75, 1980, pp. 309-324.

KIRCHNER, *De Servii auctoribus*

I. KIRCHNER, *De Servii auctoribus grammaticis, quos ipse laudavit*, «Jahrbücher für classische Philologie» Suppl. 8/3, 1875-76, pp. 467-533.

KIRK, *Adfatim*

W.H. KIRK, *Adfatim fatisci fessus*, «AJPh» 53, 1932, pp. 364-368.

KISS, *Catullo 1, 2*

D. KISS, *Catullo 1, 2, Servio e Guglielmo da Pastrengo*, «QUCC» 109, 2015, pp. 137-152.

KLEYWEGT, *Argonautica I*

A.J. KLEYWEGT, *Valerius Flaccus, Argonautica Book I. A Commentary*, Leiden - Boston 2005.

KNOX, *Ruit oceano*

P.E. KNOX, *Ruit oceano nox*, «CQ» 39, 1989, p. 265.

KOHLSTEDT, *Das Romanische*

H. KOHLSTEDT, *Das Romanische in den Artes des Consentius*, Erlangen 1917.

KUMMROW, *Symbola critica*

H. KUMMROW, *Symbola critica ad grammaticos Latinos*, Gryphiswaldiae 1880.

LÄMMERHIRT, *De priscorum scriptorum locis*

G. LÄMMERHIRT, *De priscorum scriptorum locis a Servio allatis*, «Commentationes Philologiae Ienenses» 4, Lipsiae 1890, pp. 313-406.

LAGIOIA, *Per una nuova edizione*

A. LAGIOIA, *Per una nuova edizione dei Commentarii serviani alle Georgiche: i manoscritti del Servius auctus*, «Vichiana» 52, 2015, pp. 115-140.

LARDET, *Apologie commentaire*

P. LARDET, *L'Apologie de Jérôme contre Rufin. Un commentaire*, Leiden - New York - Köln 1993.

LARDET, *Jérôme Apologie*

P. LARDET (éd.), *Saint Jérôme. Apologie contre Rufin*, Paris 1983.

LAUSBERG, *Romanische Sprachwissenschaft*

H. LAUSBERG, *Romanische Sprachwissenschaft, III: Formenlehre*, Berlin - New York 1972² [1962¹].

LAW, *An Unnoticed*

V. LAW, *An Unnoticed Late Latin Grammar: The Ars minor of Scaurus?*, «RhM» 130, 1987, pp. 67-89.

LAW, *The Mnemonic Structure*

V. LAW, *The Mnemonic Structure of Ancient Grammatical Doctrine*, in P. SWIGGERS - A. WOUTERS (eds.), *Ancient Grammar: Content and Context*, Leuven - Paris 1996, pp. 37-52.

LAZZARINI, *Due note*

C. LAZZARINI, *Due note serviane*, «MD» 20-21, 1988, pp. 323-327.

LEBEK, *Verba Prisca*

W.D. LEBEK, *Verba Prisca*, Göttingen 1970.

LEO, *Diomedis Artis*

F. LEO (ed.), *Diomedis Artis grammaticae libri III caput de poematibus*, in G. KAIBEL (ed.), *Comitorum Graecorum fragmenta*, I.1, Berolini 1899, pp. 53-61.

LERSCH, *Beiträge*

L. LERSCH, *Beiträge zur römischen Litteraturgeschichte*, «Zeitschrift für die Altertumswissenschaft» 79, 1843, pp. 625-631.

LERSCH, *Die Sprachphilosophie*

L. LERSCH, *Die Sprachphilosophie der Alten*, I, Bonn 1838.

LEUMANN, *Laut- und Formenlehre*

M. LEUMANN, *Lateinische Laut- und Formenlehre*, München 1977⁶.

LINDEMANN, *Corpus grammaticorum*

F. LINDEMANNUS (rec.), *Corpus grammaticorum Latinorum veterum*, I-IV, Lipsiae 1830-33.

LINDEMANN, *Pompei commentum*

F. LINDEMANN (ed.), *Pompei commentum artis Donati. Eiusdem in librum Donati de barbarismis et metaplasms commentariolum. Accessit ars grammatica Servii*, Lipsiae 1820.

LINDSAY, *Nonii Marcelli*

W.M. LINDSAY, *Nonii Marcelli De compendiosa doctina libri XX*, Oxford 1903.

LINDSAY, *Nonius Marcellus' Dictionary*

W.M. LINDSAY, *Nonius Marcellus' Dictionary of Republican Latin*, Oxford 1901.

LINDSAY, *The Abolita Glossary*

W.M. LINDSAY, *The 'Abolita Glossary' (Vat. Lat. 3321)*, «AJPh» 34, 1916, pp. 267-282

LINDSAY, *The Latin Language*

W.M. LINDSAY, *The Latin Language: An Historical Account of Latin Sounds, Stems, and Flections*, Oxford 1894.

LÖFSTEDT, *Studien*

B. LÖFSTEDT, *Studien über die Sprache der langobardischen Gesetze. Beiträge zur frühmittelalterlichen Latinität*, Stockholm 1961.

LO MONACO - MOLINELLI, *L'Appendix Probi*

F. LO MONACO - P. MOLINELLI (curr.), *L'Appendix Probi. Nuove Ricerche. Atti del Seminario di Studi dell'Università di Bergamo, 20-21 maggio 2004*, Firenze 2007.

LONGOBARDI *et al.*, *Scholae discimus*

C. LONGOBARDI - Ch. NICOLAS - M. SQUILLANTE (éd.), *Scholae discimus. Pratiques scolaires dans l'Antiquité tardive et le Haut Moyen Âge*, Lyon 2014.

LOWE, *The Omission Signs*

E.A. LOWE, *The Omission Signs in Latin Manuscripts: Their Origin and Significance*, in ID., *Palaeographical Papers 1907-1965*, Oxford 1972, pp. 349-380.

LUSCHER, *De Prisciani studiis*

A. LUSCHER, *De Prisciani studiis Graecis*, Vratislaviae 1912.

MACK, *Aeneid 2.250-2*

S. MACK, *Vergil, Aeneid 2.250-2*, «CQ» 30, 1980, pp. 153-158.

MACKAIL, *On the Word*

J.W. MACKAIL, *On the Word educare*, «CR» 35, 1921, pp. 26-27.

MALASPINA, *Il futuro dell'edizione*

E. MALASPINA, *Il futuro dell'edizione critica (cioè lachmanniana), più o meno digitale. Riflessioni (in)attuali*, in CHEGAI - ROSELLINI - SPANGENBERG YANES (eds.), *Textual Philology*, pp. 35-60.

MALTBY, *The De barbarismis*

R. MALTBY, *The De barbarismis et metaplasmis of Consentius as Evidence for Late and Vulgar Latin*, in F. BIVILLE - M.-K. LHOMMÉ - D. VALLAT (éd.), *Latin vulgaire – latin tardif IX. Actes du IXe Colloque international sur le latin vulgaire et tardif, Lyon, 2-6 septembre 2009*, Lyon 2012, pp. 727-737.

MARI, *A New Manuscript*

T. MARI, *A New Manuscript of Consentius' De barbarismis et metaplasmis*, «CQ» 66, 2016, pp. 370-375.

MARI, *Centro vs. periferia*

T. MARI, *Centro vs. periferia nel latino parlato: la testimonianza di Consenzio*, «Linguarum varietas» 6, 2017, pp. 109-123.

MARI, *I metaplasmi*

T. MARI, *I metaplasmi in Consenzio*, in FERRI - ZAGO, *The Latin*, pp. 277-289.

MARI, *Pauca de barbarismo*

T. MARI (ed.), *Pauca de barbarismo collecta de multis*, Pisa 2017.

MARIOTTI, *Accio*

S. MARIOTTI, *Accio in Malsacano*, «RFIC» 94, 1966, pp. 181-184 [rist. in ID., *Scritti di filologia classica*, Roma 2000, pp. 69-71].

MARTÍN-IGLESIAS, *El latín*

J.C. MARTÍN-IGLESIAS, *El latín de las Epistulae Wisigothicae*, «CFilología C(L)» 34, 2014, pp. 37-60.

MARTORELLI, *Greco antico*

L. MARTORELLI (cur.), *Greco antico nell'Occidente carolingio. Frammenti di testi attici nell'Ars di Prisciano*, Hildesheim - Zürich - New York 2014.

MAZZARINO, *Grammaticae Romanae*

A. MAZZARINO (rec.), *Grammaticae Romanae fragmenta aetatis Caesareae*, I, Augustae Taurinorum 1955.

MEADER, *The Usage*

C.L. MEADER, *The Usage of idem, ipse and Words of Related Meaning*, London 1910.

MEEDER, *Monte Cassino's Network*

S. MEEDER, *Monte Cassino's Network of Knowledge: The Earliest Manuscript Evidence*, in E. SCREEN - C. WEST (eds.), *Writing the Early Medieval West*, Cambridge 2018, pp. 131-145.

MEISER, *Laut- und Formenlehre*

G. MEISER, *Historische Laut- und Formenlehre der lateinischen Sprache*, Darmstadt 1998.

MEYER, *Oratorum Romanorum*

H. MEYER, *Oratorum Romanorum fragmenta*, Turici 1842² [1832¹].

MEYER-LÜBKE, *Wörterbuch*

W. MEYER-LÜBKE, *Romanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*, Heidelberg 1911.

MONELLA, *Forme del testo*

P. MONELLA, *Forme del testo digitale*, in R. MORDENTI (cur.), *Filologia digitale. Problemi e prospettive*, Roma 2017, pp. 143-161.

MONELLA, *L'edizione critica*

P. MONELLA, *L'edizione critica digitale: la critica del testo nella storia della tradizione*, in CHEGAI - ROSELLINI - SPANGENBERG YANES, *Textual Philology*, pp. 61-82.

MONELLA, *Why Are There*

P. MONELLA, *Why Are There No Comprehensively Digital Scholarly Editions of Classical Texts?*, in A. CIPOLLA (ed.), *Digital Philology: New Thoughts on Old Questions*, Padova 2018, pp. 141-159.

MONNO, *Terre sotto i mari*

O. MONNO, *Terre sotto i mari nell'Eneide*, «C&C» 7, 2012, pp. 501-518.

MOORE, *Servius on the Tropes*

J.L. MOORE, *Servius on the Tropes and Figures of Vergil: Second Paper*, «AJPh» 12, 1891, pp. 267-292.

MORELLI, *De metris*

G. MORELLI (cur.), *Caesii Bassi De metris. Atilii Fortunatiani De metris Horatianis*, I: Introduzione, testo critico e appendice, Hildesheim 2011.

MORELLI, *Nomenclator*

Nomenclator metricus Graecus et Latinus, cur. G. MORELLI adiuvantibus L. CRISTANTE et aliis, I: A-Δ, Hildesheim - Zürich - New York 2006.

MOUSSY, *Alo alesco*

C. MOUSSY, *Alo alesco adolesco*, in *Étrennes de septantaine. Travaux de linguistique et de grammaire comparée, offerts à Michel Lejeune par un groupe de ses élèves*, Paris 1978, pp. 167-178.

MÜNZER, *Licinius*

F. MÜNZER, s.v. *Licinius Macer* (113), in *RE* XIII.1, 1926, coll. 428-435.

MUNZI, *Il ruolo della prefazione*

L. MUNZI, *Il ruolo della prefazione nei testi grammaticali latini*, in MUNZI, *Problemi di edizione*, pp. 103-126.

MUNZI, *Littera Legitera*

L. MUNZI (cur.), *Littera Legitera. Testi grammaticali latini dell'Alto Medioevo*, Napoli 2007.

MUNZI, *Multiplex Latinitas*

L. MUNZI (cur.), *Multiplex Latinitas. Testi grammaticali latini dell'alto Medioevo*, Napoli 2004.

MUNZI, *Problemi di edizione*

L. MUNZI (cur.), *Problemi di edizione e di interpretazione nei testi grammaticali latini. Atti del colloquio internazionale (Napoli, 10-11 dicembre 1991)*, «AION(filol)» 14, 1992, Roma 1994.

MUNZI, *Spigolature*

L. MUNZI, *Spigolature grammaticali in una silloge scolastica carolingia*, «BollClass» 14, 1993, pp. 103-132.

NAAS, *Le projet encyclopédique*

V. NAAS, *Le projet encyclopédique de Pline l'Ancien*, Roma 2002.

NATALE, *Influenze merovingiche*

A.R. NATALE, *Influenze merovingiche e studi calligrafici nello scriptorium di Bobbio (sec. VII-IX)*, in *Miscellanea Giovanni Galbiati* II, Milano pp. 209-252.

NEUE - WAGENER, *Formenlehre*

F. NEUE - C. WAGENER, *Formenlehre der lateinischen Sprache*, III, *Das Verbum*, Leipzig 1897³ [1861-66¹].

NEUMANN, *De Plinii libris*

H. NEUMANN, *De Plinii dubii sermonis libris Charisii et Prisciani fontibus*, Kiliae 1881.

NIEDERMANN, *Consentii Ars*

M. NIEDERMANN (ed.), *Consentii Ars de barbarismis et metaplasmis. Victorini fragmentum de soloecismo et barbarismo*, Neuchâtel 1937.

NORDEN, *Aeneis VI*

E. NORDEN, *P. Vergilius Maro, Aeneis Buch VI*, Leipzig 1903.

NORDEN, *Die antike Kunstprosa*

E. NORDEN, *Die antike Kunstprosa vom VI. Jahrhundert v. Chr. bis in die Zeit der Renaissance*, Leipzig 1913² [1898¹].

NOSARTI, *Filologia in fragmenti*

L. NOSARTI, *Filologia in fragmenti. Contributi esegetici e testuali ai fragmenti dei poeti latini*, Bologna 1999.

OBERHELMAN - HALL, *A New Statistical Analysis*

S.M. OBERHELMAN - R.G. HALL, *A New Statistical Analysis of Accentual Prose Rhythms in Imperial Latin Authors*, «CPh» 79, 1984, pp. 114-130.

OEHLER, *M. Terentii Varronis*

F. OEHLER (ed.), *M. Terentii Varronis saturarum Menippearum reliquiae*, Quedlinburgi - Lipsiae 1844.

OLD

Oxford Latin Dictionary, Oxford 2012 [1968¹].

ORLANDINI, *Nec, neque*

A. ORLANDINI, *Nec, neque ou de la disjonction*, in C. MOUSSY (éd.), *De lingua Latina novae quaestiones. Actes du X^e Colloque International de Linguistique Latine, Paris - Sèvres, 19-23 avril 1999*, Louvain - Paris - Sterling 2001, pp. 525-537.

ORSINI, *Notae ad M. Catonem*

F. ORSINI, *Notae ad M. Catonem, M. Varronem, L. Columellam De re rustica. Ad kalend. rusticum Farnesianum & veteres inscriptiones fratrum Arualium. Iunius Philargyrius in Bucolica & Georgica Virgilii. Notae ad Servium in Bucol. Georg. & Aeneid. Virg. Velius Longus De orthographia*, Romae 1587.

OSANN, *Beiträge*

F. OSANN, *Beiträge zur griechischen und römischen Litteraturgeschichte*, II, Cassel - Leipzig 1839.

OSANN, *De Flavio Capro*

F. OSANN, *De Flavio Capro et Agroecio grammaticis*, Gissae 1849.

PARRASIO, *In hoc codice*

In hoc codice continentur: instituta artium Probiique catholica: Cor(nelii)que Frontonis de nominum verborumque differentiis: (et) Phocae grammatici de flatili nota atque de aspiratione libellus aureolus: ab A. Iano PARRASIO nuper inventa: ac nunc primum edita, Veicetiae 1509.

PASSALACQUA, *Tre testi*

M. PASSALACQUA (ed.), *Tre testi grammaticali bobbiesi (GL V 555-566; 634-654; IV 207-216 Keil)*, Roma 1984.

PAVESE, *Scire leges*

M.P. PAVESE, *Scire leges est verba tenere: ricerche sulle competenze grammaticali dei giuristi romani*, Torino 2013.

PECERE, *La prima edizione*

O. PECERE, *La prima edizione dell'Ars grammatica di Prisciano: ricostruzione di un idiografo a testualità progressiva*, «S&T» 17, 2019, pp. 101-142.

PELOSI, *Il bilinguismo*

S. PELOSI, *Il bilinguismo del fragmentum de idiomatibus casuum*, in DE PAOLIS - ROMANO, *Atti del III Seminario*, pp. 88-99.

PERL, *Probleme*

G. PERL, *Probleme der Sallust-Überlieferung*, «Forschungen und Fortschritte» 33, 1959, pp. 56-60.

PERUTELLI, *Argonauticon VII*

A. PERUTELLI, *C. Valeri Flacci Argonauticon liber VII*, Firenze 1997.

PETER, *Historicorum Romanorum*

H. PETER (ed.), *Historicorum Romanorum reliquiae*, I, Leipzig 1914² [1870¹].

PIRAS, *Labor in studiis*

G. PIRAS (cur.), *Labor in studiis. Scritti di filologia in onore di Piergiorgio Parroni*, Roma 2014.

POLETTI, *Due note*

S. POLETTI, *Due note testuali (Serv. auct. Georg. 2, 148; 434)*, «Hermes» 146, 2018, pp. 373-380.

PROBERT, *Latin Grammarians*

PH. PROBERT, *Latin Grammarians on the Latin Accent. The Transformations of Greek Grammatical Thought*, Oxford 2019.

PUGLIARELLO, *Da Probo*

M. PUGLIARELLO, *Da Probo a Probo. Testi in cerca d'autore*, in S. PITTALUGA (cur.), *Il falso letterario dall'Antichità al Rinascimento*, Genova 2014, pp. 47-66.

RAMIRES, *Servio VII*

G. RAMIRES (cur.), *Servio. Commento al libro VII dell'Eneide di Virgilio. Con le aggiunte del cosiddetto Servio Danielino*, Bologna 2003.

RAMIRES, *Servio IX*

G. RAMIRES (cur.), *Servio. Commento al libro IX dell'Eneide di Virgilio. Con le aggiunte del cosiddetto Servio Danielino*, Bologna 1996.

RAMIRES, *Timpanaro editore*

G. RAMIRES, *Timpanaro editore di Servio*, in W. LAPINI (cur.), *Omaggio a Sebastiano Timpanaro*, «Sileno» 39, 2013, pp. 365-397.

RAND *et al.*, *Servianorum commentariorum II*

E.K. RAND - J.J. SAVAGE - H.T. SMITH - G.B. WALDROP - J.P. ELDER - B.M. PEEBLES - A.F. STOCKER (edd.), *Servianorum in Vergilii carmina commentariorum editionis Harvardianae volumen II, quod in Aeneidos Libros I et II Explanationes Continet*, Lancasteriae Pennsylvaniaeorum 1946.

REID, *Academica*

J.S. REID (ed.), *M. Tulli Ciceronis Academica*, London 1885.

REINIKKA, *Ars Pseudo-Scauri*

A. REINIKKA, *Ars Pseudo-Scauri: A Critical Edition and Commentary*, Helsinki 2012.

REYNOLDS, *Sallust*

L.D. REYNOLDS, *Sallust*, in ID. (ed.), *Texts and Transmission. A Survey of the Latin Classics*, Oxford 1983, pp. 341-349.

RIESE, *Catullus*

A. RIESE, *Catullus und Lesbia*, «Neue Jahrbücher für Philologie und Paedagogik» 105, 1872, pp. 747-756.

RIESE, *M. Terenti Varronis*

A. RIESE (rec.), *M. Terenti Varronis saturarum Menippearum reliquiae*, Lipsiae 1865.

RITSCHL, *Menaechmi*

F. RITSCHLIUS (rec.), *T. Macci Plauti Comoediae*, III.5: *Menaechmos continens*, Lipsiae 1889.

RITSCHL, *Quaestiones*

F. RITSCHL, *Quaestiones Varronianae*, Bonnae 1845.

RIVERO GARCÍA *et al.*, *Eneida I*

L. RIVERO GARCÍA - J.A. ESTÉVEZ SOLA - M. LIBRÁN MORENO - A. RAMÍREZ DE VERGER (eds.), *Publio Virgilio Maron. Eneida: Vol. I (Libros I-III)*, Madrid 2009.

ROCHETTE, *L'enseignement*

B. ROCHETTE, *L'enseignement du latin à Constantinople: une mise au point*, in G.V.M. HAVERLING (ed.), *Latin Linguistics in the Early 21st Century. Acts of the 16th International Colloquium on Latin Linguistics, Uppsala, June 6th-11th, 2011*, II, Uppsala 2015, pp. 626-639.

ROCHETTE, *Utriusque sermonis*

B. ROCHETTE, *Utriusque sermonis cognatio. La lexicographie bilingue à la fine de l'Antiquité*, in MARTORELLI, *Greco antico*, pp. 3-31.

ROSELLINI, *Graeca Prisciani*

M. ROSELLINI, *Graeca Prisciani nel mondo carolingio: considerazioni filologiche sulla storia del testo del lessico sintattico (VI-X sec.)*, in MARTORELLI, *Greco antico*, pp. 341-365.

ROSELLINI, *Le citazioni latine*

M. ROSELLINI, *Le citazioni latine nel lessico sintattico del libro XVIII di Prisciano (GL, III, 278, 13-377, 18)*, «MD» 67, 2011, pp. 183-199.

ROSELLINI, *Nota su Gracch.*

M. ROSELLINI, *Nota su Gracch. or. fr. 69 Malcovati in Ter. Maur. vers. 987 e Serv. georg. 2, 288*, «MD» 2020 [in c.so di stampa].

ROSELLINI, *Prisciani Ars XVIII.2*

M. ROSELLINI (cur.), *Prisciani Caesariensis Ars. Liber XVIII. Pars altera, 1: Introduzione, testo critico e indici*, Hildesheim 2015.

ROSELLINI, *Ps. Remmii Palaemonis*

M. ROSELLINI (cur.), *Ps. Remmii Palaemonis Regulae. Introduzione, testo critico e commento*, Hildesheim - Zürich - New York 2001.

ROSELLINI, *Una compilazione*

M. ROSELLINI, *Una compilazione grammaticale nel codice Gesuitico 973*, in F. PIPERNO (cur.), *Studi per Riccardo Ribuoli. Scritti di filologia, musicologia, storia*, Roma 1986, pp. 105-111.

ROSELLINI, *Varrone in Prisciano*

M. ROSELLINI, *Varrone in Prisciano, un interlocutore mancato*, «RPL» 39, 2016, pp. 204-221.

ROSELLINI - SPANGENBERG YANES, *L'insegnamento*

M. ROSELLINI - E. SPANGENBERG YANES, *L'insegnamento di Prisciano*, in A. GARCEA - M. ROSELLINI - L. SILVANO (eds.), *Latin in Byzantium. Contexts and Forms of Usage in Late Antiquity*, Turnhout 2019, pp. 163-181.

ROSELLINI - SPANGENBERG YANES, *Priscianus*

M. ROSELLINI - E. SPANGENBERG YANES, *Priscianus. Ars*, in J. STOVER (ed.), *The Oxford Guide to the Transmission of the Latin Classics*, Oxford [in corso di stampa].

RUTELLA, *Flavio Capro*

F. RUTELLA, *Chi fu Flavio Capro*, «Studi e Ricerche dell'Istituto di Latino» 1, 1977, pp. 143-159.

SANDSTRÖM, *Le deuxième livre*

E. SANDSTRÖM, *Le deuxième livre de Plotius Sacerdos: notes sur le texte*, «Eranos» 90, 1992, pp. 97-100.

SANTINI, *L'auctoritas*

P. SANTINI, *L'auctoritas linguistica di Orazio nel commento di Servio a Virgilio*, Firenze 1979.

SCHAD, *A Lexicon*

S. SCHAD, *A Lexicon of Latin Grammatical Terminology*, Pisa - Roma 2007.

SCHANZ, *Geschichte*

M. SCHANZ, *Geschichte der römischen Literatur bis zum Gesetzgebungswerk des Kaisers Justinian, II: Die römische Litteratur in der Zeit der Monarchie bis auf Hadrians, 2: Vom Tode des Augustus bis zur Regierung Hadrians*, München 1913³.

SCHMIDT, *De orthographia*

P.L. SCHMIDT, *De orthographia et de proprietate ac differentia sermonum*, in HERZOG, *Die Literatur des Umbruchs*, pp. 616-617 (§ 492.1).

SCHMIDT, *Diomedes*

P.L. SCHMIDT, *Diomedes, Ars grammatica*, in HERZOG, *Restauration und Erneuerung*, pp. 132-136 (§ 524).

SCHMIDT, *Flavius Caper*

P.L. SCHMIDT, *Flavius Caper*, in HERZOG, *Die Literatur des Umbruchs*, pp. 232-236 (§ 438).

SCHÖLL, *De accentu*

De accentu linguae Latinae veterum grammaticorum testimonia collegit disposuit enarravit F. SCHÖLL, Lipsiae 1876.

SCHOPPE, *Suspectarum lectionum*

C. SCIOPIUS, *Suspectarum lectionum libri quinque*, Amstelodami 1664.

SCHUCHARDT, *Der Vokalismus*

H. SCHUCHARDT, *Der Vokalismus der Vulgärlateins*, Leipzig 1866-1868.

SCHULTZ, *Über das Capitel*

G. SCHULTZ, *Über das Capitel de versuum generibus bei Diomedes p. 506 ff. K.*, «Hermes» 22, 1887, pp. 260-281.

SCIVOLETTO, *La "filologia"*

N. SCIVOLETTO, *La "filologia" di Valerio Probo di Berito*, «GIF» 12, 1959, pp. 97-124 [rist. in N. SCIVOLETTO, *Studi di letteratura latina imperiale*, Napoli 1963, pp. 155-213].

SEELMANN, *Die Aussprache*

E.P. SEELMANN, *Die Aussprache des Latein nach physiologisch-historischen Grundsätzen*, Heilbronn 1885.

SIMONI, *Il secondo libro*

C. SIMONI, *Il secondo libro di Sacerdote e i Catholica Probi. Revisione dei testimoni manoscritti*, «RFIC» 116, 1988, pp. 129-153.

SOMMER - PFISTER, *Handbuch*

F. SOMMER - R. PFISTER, *Handbuch der lateinischen Laut- und Formenlehre*, Heidelberg 1977⁴ [1902¹].

SPAMPINATO, *La codifica*

D. SPAMPINATO, *La codifica delle fonti giuridiche romane in TEI / XML e dei dati bibliografici in DC / XML e il progetto BLA-Net*, «MEP» 12-15, 2009-12, pp. 293-326.

SPAMPINATO, *L'uso degli standard*

D. SPAMPINATO, *L'uso degli standard XML per la gestione in rete dei documenti giuridici romani*, in N. PALAZZOLO (cur.), *Diritto romano e scienze antichistiche nell'era digitale. Convegno di studio, Firenze, 12-13 settembre 2011, Altana di Palazzo Strozzi, Piazza Strozzi, Torino 2012*, pp. 173-192.

SPANGENBERG YANES, *Correzioni e glosse*

E. SPANGENBERG YANES, *Correzioni e glosse al greco nei codici carolingi dell'Arts Prisciani*, «RPL» 40, 2017, pp. 5-63.

SPANGENBERG YANES, *De nominibus dubiis*

E. SPANGENBERG YANES (cur.), *De nominibus dubiis cuius generis sint*, Hildesheim 2020.

SPANGENBERG YANES, *Il lessico sintattico*

E. SPANGENBERG YANES, *Il lessico sintattico di Prisciano e la tradizione degli idiomata casuum*, in DE PAOLIS - ROMANO, *Atti del III Seminario*, pp. 59-87.

SPANGENBERG YANES, *Prisciani Ars XVIII.2*

E. SPANGENBERG YANES (cur.), *Prisciani Caesariensis Ars. Liber XVIII. Pars altera, 2: Commento*, Hildesheim 2017.

SPENGLER, *rec. Corpus Grammaticorum*

L. SPENGLER, *rec. LINDEMANN, Corpus grammaticorum*, «Gelehrte Anzeigen», 61-64, 1840, pp. 489-520.

STAGNI, *Absconditus*

E. STAGNI, *Absconditus: novità su Plinio grammatico dal Medioevo*, in P. ARDUINI - S. AUDANO - A. BORGHINI - A. CAVARZERE - G. MAZZOLI - G. PADUANO - A. RUSSO (curr.), *Studi offerti ad Alessandro Perutelli*, II, Roma 2008, pp. 493-501.

STEELE, *On the Archaisms*

R.B. STEELE, *On the Archaisms Noted by Servius in the Commentary to Vergil*, «AJPh» 15, 1894, pp. 164-194.

STEINER, *The Grammar of Creation*

G. STEINER, *The Grammar of Creation*, London 2001.

STEUP, *De Probis*

I. STEUP, *De Probis grammaticis*, Ienae 1871.

STOCKER *et al.*, *Servianorum commentariorum III*

Servianorum in Vergilii carmina commentariorum editionis Harvardianae volumen III, quod in Aeneidos Libros III-V explanationes continet, conf. A.F. STOCKER - A.H. TRAVIS, adiuv. H.T. SMITH - G.B. WALDROP - R.T. BRUÈRE, Oxonii 1965.

STOK, *Il testo*

F. STOK, *Il testo virgiliano di DS*, «Eruditio antiqua» 4, 2012, pp. 101-135.

STRZELECKI, *De Flavio Capro*

W. STRZELECKI, *De Flavio Capro Nonii auctore*, Kraków 1936.

STRZELECKI, *De Ps.-Capri orthographia*

W. STRZELECKI, *De Ps.-Capri orthographia*, Wratislaviae 1949.

SVENNUNG, *Compositiones Lucenses*

J. SVENNUNG, *Compositiones Lucenses. Studien zum Inhalt, zur Textkritik und Sprache*, Uppsala 1941.

TAEGER, *Multiplex*

B. TAEGER, *Multiplex enim ut lex Dei etiam Latinitas. Zu den Quellen des Anonymus ad Cuimnanum*, «StudMed» 32, 1991, pp. 1-91.

TARQUINI, *Orso da Benevento*

B.M. TARQUINI (cur.), *Orso da Benevento. Adbreviatio artis grammaticae*, Bergamo 2018.

TEUFFEL - KROLL - SKUTSCH, *Geschichte*

W.S. TEUFFEL - W. KROLL - F. SKUTSCH, *Geschichte der römischen Literatur*, Leipzig - Berlin 1910⁶-1916⁶ [1870¹].

THILO - HAGEN, *Servii Grammatici*

Servii Grammatici qui feruntur in Vergilii carmina commentarii, I-III, rec. G. THILO - H. HAGEN, Lipsiae - Berolini 1881-1887.

ThLL

Thesaurus linguae Latinae, editus auctoritate et consilio academiaram quinque Germanicarum Berolinensis, Gottingensis, Lipsiensis, Monacensis, Vindobonensis, Leipzig 1900-1999; München 2000-2006; Berlin - New York 2007-.

É. THOMAS, *La grammaire*

É. THOMAS, *La grammaire dans le Commentaire de Servius*, in *Scoliaes de Virgile. Essai sur Servius et son Commentaire sur Virgile d'après les manuscrits de Paris et les publications les plus récentes*, Paris 1880.

R. THOMAS, *Georgics*

R. THOMAS (ed.), *Virgil. Georgics*, Cambridge 1988.

THUROT, *Extraits*

Ch. THUROT, *Extraits de divers manuscrits latins pour servir à l'histoire des doctrines grammaticales au moyen âge*, Paris 1869.

TOMMASEO - BELLINI, *Dizionario*

N. TOMMASEO - B. BELLINI, *Dizionario della lingua italiana*, Torino 1869.

UHL, *Servius*

A. UHL, *Servius als Sprachlehrer: zur Sprachrichtigkeit in der exegetischen Praxis des spätantiken Grammatikerunterrichts*, Göttingen 1998.

URÍA VARELA, *Carisio*

Carisio, Arte gramática, introd., trad. y notas de J. URÍA VARELA, Madrid 2009.

URÍA VARELA - GUTIÉRREZ GONZÁLEZ, *Vague Boundaries*

J. URÍA VARELA - R. GUTIÉRREZ GONZÁLEZ, *Vague Boundaries: Delimiting Grammatical Fragments in Charisius*, «*Eruditio antiqua*» 3, 2011, pp. 57-72.

VÄÄNÄNEN, *Introduction*

V. VÄÄNÄNEN, *Introduction au latin vulgaire*, Paris 1981³.

VAINIO, *Latinitas and Barbarisms*

R. VAINIO, *Latinitas and Barbarisms according to the Roman Grammarians: Attitudes towards Language in the Light of Grammatical Examples*, Turku 1999.

VALLAT, *Quelle grammaire*

D. VALLAT, *Quelle grammaire dans le Servius de Daniel? L'exemple du chant 1 de l'Énéide*, «*Eruditio antiqua*» 3, 2011, pp. 101-129.

VAN DEN HOUT, *Fronto Commentary*

M.P.J. VAN DEN HOUT, *A Commentary on the Letters of M. Cornelius Fronto*, Leiden - Boston - Köln 1991.

VAN PUTSCHEN, *Grammaticae Latinae*

Grammaticae Latinae auctores antiqui, opera et studio H. PUTSCHII, Hanoviae 1605.

VÀRVARO, *Il testo letterario*

A. VÀRVARO, *Il testo letterario*, in P. BOITANI - A. VÀRVARO - M. MANCINI (dirr.), *Lo spazio letterario del Medioevo*, 2: *Il Medioevo volgare*, I: *La produzione del testo*, Roma 1999, pp. 387-422.

VENTRIGLIA, *Il ms. Nap.*

M. VENTRIGLIA, *Il ms. Nap. Ex Vindob. Lat. 6: analisi e caratteristiche di un Virgilio "beneventano"*, Tesi di laurea magistrale condotta sotto la direzione di M. DE NONNO e S. AMMIRATI e discussa all'Università degli Studi Roma Tre il 24 marzo 2016.

VIELLIARD, *Le Latin*

J. VIELLIARD, *Le Latin des diplômes royaux et chartes privées de l'époque mérovingienne*, Paris 1927.

VILLA, *Cultura classica*

C. VILLA, *Cultura classica e tradizioni longobarde: tra latino e volgari*, in P. CHIESA (cur.), *Paolo Diacono. Uno scrittore fra tradizione longobarda e rinnovamento carolingio. Atti del Convegno Internazionale di Studi. Cividale del Friuli - Udine, 6-9 maggio 1999*, Udine 2000, pp. 575-600.

VITALE, *Cesellio Vindice*

M.T. VITALE, *Cesellio Vindice*, «Studi e Ricerche dell'Istituto di Latino» 1, 1977, pp. 221-258.

WALLACE, *The Notes on Philosophy*

E.O. WALLACE, *The Notes on Philosophy in the Commentary of Servius on the Eclogues, the Georgics and the Aeneid of Vergil*, Diss. Columbia Univ. New York 1938.

WEICHERT, *Lucii Varii*

A. WEICHERT, *De Lucii Varii et Cassii Parmensis vita et carminibus*, Grimae 1836.

WEISS, *Outline*

M. WEISS, *Outline of the Historical and Comparative Grammar of Latin*, Ann Arbor (MI) 2009.

WELSH, *Nonius Marcellus*

J. WELSH, *Nonius Marcellus and the Source Called 'Gloss. i.'*, «HSCP» [in corso di stampa].

WENTZEL, *Symbolae criticae*

H. WENTZEL, *Symbolae criticae ad historiam scriptorum rei metricae Latinorum*, Diss. Vratislaviae 1858.

WERNSDORF, *Poetae Latini*

J.C. WERNSDORF, *Poetae Latini minores*, II-IV, Altenburg 1780-1785.

WESSNER, *Bericht*

P. WESSNER, *Bericht über die Erscheinungen auf dem Gebiete der lateinischen Grammatiker mit Einschluss der Scholienliteratur und Glossographie für 1908-1920*, «Bursians Jahresberichte über die Fortschritte der klassischen Altertumswissenschaft» 188, 1921, pp. 98-104.

WESSNER, *Servius*

P. WESSNER, s.v. *Servius* (8), in *RE* II A.2, 1923, coll. 1834-1848.

WESSNER, *Zu den Liviuszitate*n

P. WESSNER, *Zu den Liviuszitate*n bei Priscian, in *Hundert Jahre A. Marcus und E. Webers Verlag 1818-1918*, Bonn 1919, pp. 110-114.

WEYSSENHOFF, *Ciceronis epistularum*

C. WEYSSENHOFF (ed.), *Ciceronis epistularum fragmenta*, Wrocław 1902.

WHATMOUGH, *A non educendo*

J. WHATMOUGH, *A non educendo*, «CW» 54, 1960, pp. 18-19.

WILLIAMS, *Aeneid III*

R.D. WILLIAMS (ed.), *Virgil, Aeneid III*, Oxford 1962.

WILMART, *Mètres*

A. WILMART, *Mètres et rythmes carolingiens*, «ALMA» 15, 1940, pp. 195-211.

WRIGHT, *Late Latin*

R. WRIGHT, *Late Latin and Early Romance in Spain and Carolingian France*, Liverpool 1982.

ZAGO, *Iotacism*

A. ZAGO, *Iotacism in the Latin Grammarians*, in FERRI - ZAGO, *The Latin*, pp. 291-308.

ZAGO, *Labdacism*

A. ZAGO, *Labdacism: a Vitium "from the Provinces"?*, «Linguarum varietas» 6, 2017, pp. 93-107.

ZAGO, *La mise en scène*

A. ZAGO, *La mise en scène come espediente didattico nel Commentum artis Donati di Pompeo grammatico*, «Latinitas» 6/2, 2018, pp. 133-150.

ZAGO, *Mytacism*

A. ZAGO, *Mytacism in Latin Grammarians*, «Journal of Latin Linguistics» 17, 2018, pp. 23-50.

ZAGO, *Pompeii Commentum*

A. ZAGO (cur.), *Pompeii Commentum in Artis Donati partem tertiam*, I: *Introduzione, testo critico e traduzione*, II: *Note di commento, appendice e indici*, Hildesheim 2017.

ZAGO, *Vitia et virtutes*

A. ZAGO, *Vitia et virtutes orationis nel commento di Servio a Donato (GL IV, pp. 443, 28-448, 17): edizione critica, traduzione, note di commento*, «Latinitas» 4, 2016, pp. 93-134.

ZETZEL, *Critics*

J.E.G. ZETZEL, *Critics, Compilers, and Commentators. An Introduction to Roman Philology, 200 BCE-800 CE*, Oxford 2018.

ZIMMERMANN, *Écrire et lire*

M. ZIMMERMANN, *Écrire et lire en Catalogne [IX^e-XII^e siècle]*, I-II, Madrid 2003.

ABSTRACTS

ANDREA BRAMANTI, *Le ragioni di un'edizione sinottica: il rapporto tra il secondo libro delle Artes di Sacerdote e i Catholica Probi*

The second book of Sacerdos' *Artes* and its parallel and stand-alone copy, Ps. Probus' *Catholica*, preserve interesting traces of reuse in an anonymous tradition. By analyzing some selected passages from these two texts, the present contribution aims at evaluating the nature of their relationship and demonstrating that a synoptic edition is the only editorial option for producing the most faithful representation not only of what Sacerdos wrote but also, in certain cases, of how the work of this third century AD grammarian has most likely been manipulated in the schools of Late Antiquity.

MARIO DE NONNO, *Note critiche al testo dei Grammatici Latini. 1: L'Arts grammatica di Diomede*

Exegetical and textual notes to seven passages in Diomedes' lengthy *Ars grammatica*, edited by H. KEIL, *Grammatici Latini*, I, Lipsiae 1857. In the reconstitution of the text, due attention is paid to the recognized presence of late Latin prose rhythm. Emendations are proposed to *GL* I p. 299, 18-23; p. 309, 22-24; pp. 430, 29 - 431, 9; p. 488, 14 ff.; p. 499, 2-6; p. 502, 20-28; pp. 518, 32 - 519, 8. This is the first installment of a projected series of articles aimed at scrutinizing many of the grammarians edited by Keil in his comprehensive *corpus*.

ALESSANDRO GARCEA, *Diomedes as a Source for Pliny's Dubius sermo: Some Editorial Problems*

This article provides a study of Diomedes' treatment of verbs *quae R littera finiuntur* (*GL* I 374, 23 - 377, 20). This analysis includes two

passages where Pliny's *Dubius sermo* is explicitly quoted (frg. 110 and 119 Della Casa = 107 and 110 Mariotti²), but other material deriving from Pliny can reasonably be identified, thanks to quotations from non-literary authors who are explicitly used as sources for the *Naturalis historia* or for other works not studied in school and quoted elsewhere in the *Dubius sermo*. The parallels between these passages from Diomedes and those from Priscian, who explicitly draws on Caper, show that the latter was probably the intermediary through whom the learned study of grammar from the first and second centuries AD was transmitted to late Latin scholars.

STEFANO POLETTI, *La semantica di ruo nell'esegesi virgiliana antica. Note critiche a Serv. Georg. 2, 308 ed Aen. 2, 250*

This article analyzes two Servian scholia on syntactical and semantic peculiarities of the verb *ruo*. The first critical note (SERV. AUCT. *Georg.* 2, 308 *et ruit atram*) focuses in particular on matters of textual reconstruction; the second one (SERV. *Aen.* 2, 250 *ruit Oceano nox*) thoroughly investigates a series of thorny interpretive problems that have so far been neglected by modern Virgilian commentators.

MICHELA ROSELLINI, *Nomina generis dubii in Servio*

This article collects and analyzes some passages in which Servius, in his *Commentaries* on Vergil's works, deals with questions of grammatical gender. The research aims to see how much interest Servius had in this topic, which doctrinal and didactic principles guided him, which sources he had available and made use of, and to which linguistic *auctoritates* he ascribed value on this subject. Servius (much more than the so-called Servius Danielis) deals frequently with gender topics, which in his own time were already a well-established field of Latin grammar, and quotes the works of Pliny the Elder and Caper, the most famous authors *De dubiis generibus*; only a few of his observations seem independent of this large tradition. Moreover, occasionally he adds examples from re-

cent poets (Lucan, Persius, Statius, Juvenal), whom he greatly appreciated and often quoted on other topics.

TOMMASO MARI, *Corruzione testuale in un'opera sulla corruzione linguistica: il De barbarismis et metaplasms di Consentius*

Consentius' *De barbarismis et metaplasms* includes a lengthy discussion of errors in spoken Latin and thus provides us with a rich list of non-standard Latin forms. It is precisely the non-standard nature of these forms that caused trouble for medieval copyists, such that Consentius' manuscripts have *variae lectiones* among which it is not always easy for a modern editor to choose. This paper focuses on textual problems concerning non-standard forms presented by Consentius, especially those involving metathesis and the use of the aspirate; it also discusses cases in which Consentius' text seems secure but the interpretation of the form he presents is rather problematic, thus revealing some of the limits of his learning. The paper also analyzes the significance of the forms discussed for our understanding of "vulgar" or simply "non-standard" Latin.

TOMMASO MARI, *Foni e terminologia fonetica nel De barbarismis et metaplasms di Consentius: il caso della i*

Consentius' *De barbarismis et metaplasms* includes a discussion of the correct and incorrect pronunciations of several sounds; the author describes the sounds discussed, but it is difficult at times to understand exactly the characteristics of the sounds being described because the phonetic terminology that was current in ancient grammar is not very precise. This article focuses on the phonetic terminology with which Consentius describes the errors in the pronunciation of the sounds of *i*, *l*, *t*, *c*, *s*, and *u*, and especially on the use of the terms *pinguis* and *exilis*. The case of the sounds of *i* is particularly interesting but also very complicated, for it presents textual as well as interpretive problems: Consentius describes both some pronunciation errors by Gauls and Greeks and also the correct pronunciation of *i* depending on two different criteria, its position within a word and its quantity; the latter partly foreshadows Romance developments.

ANNA ZAGO, *The (New) Prologue to Pompeius' Commentum*

This article presents a new critical edition of the prologue to the *Commentum artis Donati* written by the African grammarian Pompeius (5th century AD). The very beginning of Pompeius' work, unknown to Heinrich Keil and discovered by Louis Holtz in 1971, is edited here for the first time on the basis of a fuller knowledge of the manuscript tradition. The text is accompanied by a philological introduction, a translation, and a commentary.

ELENA SPANGENBERG YANES, *Non-Mechanical Omissions: an Insight into the Early Stages of Priscian's Transmission*

As part of a larger collaborative research project for a new critical edition of the *Ars Prisciani*, this article investigates a particular feature of the manuscript transmission of the work. As other scholars (De Nonno, Rosellini) have already pointed out with regard to specific witnesses or sections of the *Ars*, some codices omit (or, on the contrary, supply) whole sentences and passages of varying lengths, whose omission cannot be justified either as the result of a mechanical error such as a *saut du même au même* or of material damage. Based on a complete survey of peculiar omissions of this kind in all 8th-9th-century AD witnesses of the *Ars* and on the most recent progress in the reconstruction of the *stemma codicum* for some books of the *Ars*, this article casts light on some aspects of the composition process of Priscian's major work, as well as of its early transmission.

ADAM GITNER, *Imagining an Archetype of the Idiomata generum*

This article surveys the corpus of bilingual *Idiomata generum* as a genre and addresses several issues preliminary to an edition of these works. First, to what extent an archetype can be reconstructed, at least for part of the tradition, among the individual witnesses (the *Anonymus Bobiensis* [Naples BN Lat. 2]; Charisius [Naples BN IV A 8]; and the *Idiomata Parisina* [Paris. Lat. 7530])? Secondly, what is their relationship to other lexical and grammatical sources?

CLAUDIO GIAMMONA, *Un'Orthographia visigotica? Osservazioni sulla tradizione manoscritta dell'Orthographia Bernensis I*

The *Orthographia Bernensis I*, so called from the manuscript Bern 522 used by Hagen for its edition, is a short collection of orthographical prescriptions, sorted according to the first letter, given the absence any other element that could provide a greater consistency; however, this text is transmitted almost unaltered in three other manuscripts, and a fuller version is preserved in six other manuscripts. This article presents a close examination of the differences between these two versions, and of the variants in each manuscript, in order to clarify the relations between them, suggesting an hypothesis on the original form of this text and on its background.

MICHELA ROSELLINI - ELENA SPANGENBERG YANES, *Per un Thesaurus Dubii Sermonis digitale*

The article addresses the problems in editing fragmentary Latin grammatical works. The first part of the contribution surveys the existing editions, focusing on their methodological limits, and analyzes some significant case-studies. Then it proposes a new model of collection (*thesaurus*) of the fragments of the Latin grammarians who dealt with *dubius sermo* and *Latinitas*: the collected texts will be edited in digital form and lexicographically arranged. The second part presents the criteria for the digital markup (based on the XML-TEI language) adopted in the project of the *Thesaurus Dubii Sermonis*.

ISTRUZIONI PER GLI AUTORI

«Rationes Rerum. Rivista di Filologia e Storia» (RaRe) si pubblica con periodicità semestrale.

La rivista accoglie contributi originali (articoli, recensioni) che riguardino i seguenti ambiti disciplinari: filologia e letteratura greca, filologia e letteratura latina, storia antica, epigrafia greca, epigrafia romana, storia della storiografia antica, filologia e letteratura medievale e umanistica, storia della tradizione classica, ricezione dei classici fino all'età contemporanea.

I contributi, di norma, non devono superare le 20 cartelle di 3000 caratteri l'una (spazi compresi). Possono essere redatti in italiano, inglese, francese, tedesco o spagnolo e devono essere accompagnati da un *abstract* in lingua inglese di non oltre 10 righe, ad eccezione delle recensioni.

I contributi vanno inviati per posta elettronica all'indirizzo della rivista (rationes.rerum@gmail.com) sia in un formato file modificabile – Microsoft Word® (.doc, .docx), Rich Text Format (.rtf), Open Document (.odt) o affini – sia in formato PDF; agli autori viene rilasciata ricevuta di ricezione sempre via email. Gli autori sono tenuti a indicare sia un indirizzo email di riferimento sia un indirizzo postale e un numero di telefono, in pagina a parte, in modo che i propri lavori possano essere sottoposti a *blind peer review*.

Tutti i lavori sono sottoposti a doppia revisione anonima; essi possono inoltre essere discussi con uno o più componenti dei comitati di direzione o di redazione di specifica competenza.

Gli autori devono consegnare i propri contributi in forma definitiva, secondo le norme che verranno fornite loro dalla casa editrice; la redazione può effettuare le modifiche necessarie per l'uniformità tipografica. Agli autori viene sottoposto un giro di bozze; le eventuali ulteriori correzioni sono a cura della redazione. Le modifiche straordinarie o sostanziali (che non riguardino cioè meri refusi o minimi ritocchi) possono essere addebitate agli autori.

La rivista rilascia ad ogni autore il PDF del proprio contributo, con i dati necessari per l'identificazione completa; in nessun caso sono disponibili estratti a stampa.

I testi non accettati dopo la revisione anonima e l'eventuale discussione con lo staff della rivista non vengono materialmente restituiti agli autori; essi tuttavia tornano nella loro piena disponibilità per quanto riguarda la proprietà intellettuale e letteraria.

Finito di stampare nel mese di luglio 2020
dalla Casa Editrice Lombardi s.r.l.
via Paterno, 29/f - 00010 Villa Adriana, Tivoli (Roma)