



Stau (Ergong, Horpa)

Guillaume Jacques, Yunfan Lai, Anton Antonov, Lobsang Nima

► To cite this version:

Guillaume Jacques, Yunfan Lai, Anton Antonov, Lobsang Nima. Stau (Ergong, Horpa). Graham Thurgood & Randy LaPolla. *The Sino-Tibetan Languages*, , pp.597-613, 2017. halshs-01869941

HAL Id: halshs-01869941

<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-01869941>

Submitted on 25 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Stau (Ergong, Horpa)*

Guillaume Jacques, Lai Yunfan, Anton Antonov and Lobsang Nima

August 13, 2016

1 Introduction

The cluster of languages variously referred to as Stau, Ergong or Horpa in the literature are spoken over a large area from Ndzamthang county (in Chinese Rangtang 壤塘县) in Rngaba prefecture (Aba 阿坝州) to Rtau county (Daofu 道孚) in Dkarmdzes prefecture (Ganzi 甘孜州), in Sichuan province, China. At the moment of writing, it is still unclear how many unintelligible varieties belong to this group, but at least three must be distinguished: the language of Rtau county (referred as ‘Stau’ in this paper), the Dgebshes language (Geshizha 格什扎话) spoken in Rongbrag county (Danba 丹巴), and the Stodsde language (Shangzhai 上寨) in Ndzamthang. The people speaking these languages are all classified as Tibetans by the administration.

Research on these languages is still limited. No dictionaries or text corpora have yet been published. Stodsde is only known through a few articles (Sun 2000a and Sun 2007), Dgebshes by a grammatical sketch (Duoerji 1998). The dialects spoken in Rtau county have been investigated by several teams of scholars (Huang 1991, Sun & Tian 2013 and Jacques et al. 2014), but no detailed description of this language is available yet.

There is no consensus as to how these languages should be named. The present paper adopts the Tibetan names of these languages rather than the Chinese-based ones, since the Chinese names are transcriptions of the Tibetan ones.

The native speaker among the authors (Lobsang Nima) favours the name *rəspəske* for his language, but this name is not used by all speakers and we prefer the geographically-based name ‘Stau’. The spelling with *St-* rather than *Rt-*, aside from being more pronounceable for the average Western reader, reflects better the local pronunciation of the county name *stəwu*.

*Glosses follow the Leipzig glossing rules. Other abbreviations used here include: ALL allative, FACT factual/assumptive, IFR inferential evidential, INSTR instrumental, INV inverse, SENS sensory evidential. We would like to thank Randy LaPolla, Graham Thurgood and Nicolas Tournadre for useful comments on previous versions of this work.

Table 30.1: Consonantal phonemes in Stau

		Bilabial	Labiodental	Dental/Alveolar	Retroflex	Alveolo-palatal	Palatal	Velar	Uvular
Stop	voiceless	p		t			c	k	q
	aspirated	p ^h		t ^h			c ^h	k ^h	q ^h
	voiced	b		d			j	g	
Affricate	voiceless			ts	tʂ	tɕ			
	aspirated			ts ^h	tʂ ^h	tɕ ^h			
	voiced			dz	dʐ	dʒ			
Nasal		m		n			ɲ	ŋ	
Fricative	voiceless		f	s	ʂ	ɕ		x	χ
	voiced		v	z		ʒ		ɣ	ʁ
Approximant		w					j		
Rhotic					r				
Lateral	sonorant			l					
	voiceless fricative			ɬ					
	voiced fricative			ɮ [ʂd]					

This spelling has already been used in English (see [Wang 1970-1](#)). Most of the data in this paper come from the Khang.gsar $q^h\grave{a}rŋe$ dialect of Stau (in Chinese 孔色 Kongse), except for some Khroskyabs and G.yu.rong Horpa examples from Lai Yunfan’s fieldwork.

For the group comprising all three languages (Stau, Dgebshes and Stodsde), we could adopt either Jackson Sun’s (2000a) term ‘Horpa’, which has indeed been used for this area in the past, or the more specific ‘Tre-Hor’ (an unusual Tibetan name with the rare *tr*- initial cluster). The Chinese term Ergong 尔龚 used by scholars such as Sun Hongkai (1983), on the other hand, appears to lack any basis in the local languages.

As shown in section 8, there is evidence from verbal morphology and lexicon that Horpa languages form a subgroup within Rgyalrongic with Khroskyabs (previously known as ‘Lavrung’), as these two branches present common innovations which are unlikely to represent parallel developments, and which are not shared with the core Rgyalrong languages (Japhug, Tshobdun, Zbu and Situ).

2 Phonology

Unlike Khroskyabs and Rgyalrong languages, tonal contrasts have not been reported in any variety of Stau.

2.1 Onsets

Table 30.1 presents the consonantal inventory posited for Stau. Unlike in Japhug or Tshobdun, there is no evidence for treating the prenasalized voiced stops as single phonemes in Stau.

Some dialects of Stau have contrastive aspirated fricatives (see [Sun 2000b](#), [Jacques 2011a](#)). In the Khang.gsar dialect, the voiceless fricative phonemes are realized as aspirated in syllable-initial position without a cluster, and as unaspirated when they are part of a cluster. Thus, *nə-sow* ‘I killed

him’ is realized as [nəs^how], while no such aspiration is observed in nə-fse ‘he killed him’ due to being in a cluster.

Voiced stops are almost absent word-initially in the Khang.gsar dialect of Stau. In the case of verbs, the voiced stop or affricate resurfaces when a prefix is added, as in the examples in Table 30.2 (the bare stem appears in non-finite and in factual forms).

Table 30.2: Neutralization of voiced stops in word-initial position

Bare stem	Meaning	Prefixed verb	Meaning
pərje	burn (it)	tə-bərje-sə	it burnt
te	do	nə-dej	do it !
tɕə	meet	kə-dʒō	I met (him)
kə	wear	rə-gu	I wore it

Voiceless stop and affricate initials do not show any alternation, as for instance tɕi ‘wear (hat)’ → rə-tɕu ‘I wore it’.

Nouns do not have any productive prefixes, and therefore apart from bəlɕ ‘cheek’, the only native word whose voicing is preserved word-initially, we have no way of ascertaining which nouns have underlyingly voiced initials.

The status of the voiceless labiodental fricative f is unclear. This sound is never found as a simple onset in native words (only in borrowings from Chinese). However, some clusters such as ʃf [ɾʰɕ^w] (contrasting with rv), where f is the element closest to the vowel, are difficult to account for without positing an voiceless labial fricative phoneme.

In clusters with a nasal as a first element, only stops and affricates are attested, except for the cluster ntɕ, which is in free variation with nt^h.

2.2 Rhymes

There are ten vowels in the Khang.gsar dialect of Stau, six plain vowels (-i, -e, -a, -ə, -ɜ, -u), two velarized vowels (-o^v, -a^v) and two nasal vowels (-ō -ã).

The velarized vowels are almost exclusively attested in Tibetan loanwords (-o^v and -a^v correspond to Tibetan -og and -ag/-eg, respectively).

Only three codas are possible in Stau: -v, -r and -m (the latter attested only in Tibetan loanwords). In native words, the coda -r sometimes appears to correspond to -r in other Rgyalrongic languages (as in χtɕ^hər ‘sour’, Japhug tɕur), but in other cases, such as spar ‘be thirsty’ (Japhug ɕpaɕ) or zdər ‘cloud, be cloud’ (Japhug zdum ‘cloud’), there is no -r coda anywhere else in the family. A possible explanation is that the sensory evidential suffix -rə, whose vowel tends to be elided, has been reanalyzed as part of the stem in third person forms.

2.3 Vowel fusion

In order to account for vowel alternations observed in the verbal and nominal systems, [Jacques et al. \(2014\)](#) postulate a series of vowel fusion rules, summarized in 30.3 (the symbol C stands for either final -r or final -v).

Table 30.3: Vowel fusion in Stau

Stem \ Suffix			
	-w	-ã	-j
i	u	ã	i
e	ow	ã	ej
a	ow	ã	ej
ə	u	õ	i
ɔ	ow	õ	ej
əv	u	õ	iv
ər	u	õ	i
aC	ow	ã	ej
eC	ow	ã	ej
ɔC	ow	õ	ej

Vowel fusion cannot occur with the vowels -u, -o^v, -a^v, -õ and -ã and the rhymes ending in -m.

3 Verbal morphology

3.1 Intransitive conjugation

In the intransitive conjugation, the second and third person singular forms are in the bare stem, while first person (singular and plural) forms have a suffix -ã.

Following the rules of vowel fusion in Table 30.3, vowel fusion between the first person suffix -ã and the verb stem has different results depending on the vowel (and coda) of the verb stem.

Six classes of alternations are found in verbs with open syllables; class 6 includes verbs without alternation, whose rhyme can be any of -u, -o^v, -a^v, -õ and -ã:

Table 30.4: Vowel alternations in open-syllable intransitive verbs in Rtau

Meaning	look at	move	like	be full	be ill	be hot
1	scəqã	mbəçã	rgã	fkõ	ŋõ	c ^h u
1 (underlying)	scəqi-ã	mbəçe-ã	rga-ã	fkə-ã	ŋə-ã	c ^h u-ã
2/3	scəqi	mbəçe	rga	fkə	ŋə	c ^h u

There are two irregular verbs with intransitive morphology which have -ã in the first person instead of expected -õ (cf table 30.5).

Table 30.5: Irregular intransitive verbs in Stau

meaning	go	say
1	ɕã	jã
2/3	ɕə	jə

3.2 Transitive conjugation

The basic structure of transitive conjugations in Khang.gsar Stau can be illustrated by Table 30.6 (the columns represent the P and the rows the A). In addition to the first person suffix -ã, we find two additional suffixes (1SG→3 -w and 2→3 -j) and the inverse prefix f-/v- (the allomorphs are conditioned by the voicing of the onset). The only unmarked form in the paradigm is the 1→2 slot, a curious fact which however can be accounted for historically (see Jacques et al. 2014).

Table 30.6: The transitive conjugation in Stau

A \ P	P		2	3
	1SG	1PL		
1SG			Σ	Σ-w
1PL				Σ-ã
2	v-Σ-ã			Σ-j
3			v-Σ	

The vowel fusion rules in Table 30.3 apply to all suffixed forms, as in the intransitive paradigms. No example of irregular vowel fusion has yet been discovered with transitive verbs.

The f- / v- prefix appears in 2/3→1, 3→2 and 3→3 forms. Its presence in 2→1 precludes an analysis as a third person agent marker, and it is best to treat it as an inverse marker (see section 8). The inverse prefix does not occur with verb stems containing an initial cluster, or with monosyllabic verbs with the coda -v such as k^hev ‘scoop (water)’ or ɕev ‘take out (of a pile)’.

3.3 Other dialects

Some dialects of Stau have person marking systems that are remarkably different from the one described above. In the G.yu.rong (ɣjuɾõ) variety of Stau, for instance, we find the paradigms indicated in Table 30.7.

In comparison with Khang.gsar, the G.yu.rong dialect presents both innovative and conservative features. Khang.gsar is more conservative in hav-

Table 30.7: Person indexation in the G.yu.rong dialect

A \ P	1SG	1PL	2	3
1SG			Σ -n	Σ -ŋ
1PL				Σ -j
2	v- Σ -ŋ	v- Σ -j		
3				
INTR	Σ -ŋ	Σ -j	Σ -n	Σ

ing a special marker -w for 1SG→3, distinct from 3→1SG, whereas G.yu.rong, like Khroskyabs and Rgyalrong languages, has the suffix in 1SG intransitive, 1SG→3 and 2/3→1SG forms. G.yu.rong on the other hand preserves a distinction between first singular (-ŋ) and plural (-j) in all forms.

3.4 Orientation and negative prefixes

In Stau, orientation prefixes come in two series (Table 30.8), the -ə series for imperative and perfective/evidential, and the -í- series for interrogative and irrealis forms. A few verbs such as vdə ‘see’ ste ‘finish’ or si ‘know’ never appear with any orientation prefix even in the perfective.

Table 30.8: Orientation prefixes in Stau

Direction	Perfective / Imperative	Interrogative / Irrealis
Up	rə-	rí-
Down	nə-	ní-
North	kə-	kí-
South	yə-	yí-
No direction	tə-	tí-

There are three negative prefixes in Stau: the past negative **ma-**, non-past **mí-**, and the prohibitive **dí-**. The prohibitive is used with an orientation prefix, as in **nə-di-f-se-ã** (nədifsã) PFV-PROHIB-INV-kill-1 ‘don’t kill me’. The past negative can be used with the orientation prefix (see 1) or without it. In both cases, the negative appears after the orientation prefix, the reverse order of the one found in Rgyalrong languages.

- (1) e-c^{he} nə-ma-və-w
 one-CL PFV-NEG-do-1SG→3
 I did not do anything.

3.5 Derivational morphology

The only denominal prefix in Stau is *s-/z-*, cognate to Japhug *su-/sy-/ɕu-* (on which see [Jacques 2014: 14-17](#)). It derives transitive verbs, illustrated by the examples in Table 30.9. While the original semantics of this prefix was likely ‘use X’ and ‘cause sb. to have X’ as in Japhug, in Stau the semantics of the denominal verbs is largely unpredictable, and results from semantic shifts (‘use a staff’ → ‘hit with a staff’ → ‘hit’).

The only denominal verb shared by Stau, Khroskyabs and Japhug is *smi* ‘give a name’ (in Japhug *syirmi*). When the stem of the base noun has an initial cluster, addition of the *s-/z-* denominal prefixes causes dropping of the preinitial consonant to make the word conform to the phonotactics of the language (thus *rmi* → *smi*, not **srmi*, an impossible cluster in Stau).

In at least one case (*smi* ‘hurt’), in addition to the *s-* causative, we observe unexplained vowel alternation.

Table 30.9: Denominal verbs in Stau

Base noun	Meaning	Denominal verb	Meaning
<i>pəc^ha</i>	staff	<i>zbəc^ha</i>	hit
<i>rmi</i>	name	<i>smi</i>	give a name
<i>yme</i>	wound	<i>smi</i>	hurt

Stau has a few examples of anticausative verbs with voiced onsets (Table 30.10). The anticausative verbs derive from the transitive ones (not the opposite direction of derivation, see [Jacques 2012a](#)). There are two irregular forms in this table. The first one concerns the pair *ftɕə* vs *dʒə* ‘melt (tr/it)’, as the *f-* element of the transitive verb has no equivalent in the anticausative one. Interestingly, the same irregularity is found in the cognate pair in Japhug (*ftɕi* vs *ndʒi*). As for the second one, *ftɕə* vs *brə* (instead of **(v)dʒə*) ‘wake (tr)/wake up (intr)’, it appears to be unique to Stau.

Unlike Rgyalrong languages, anticausative derivation in Stau is attested in verbs with fricative initial consonants (as in *zəla* ‘fall’).

Table 30.10: Anticausative verbs in Stau

Base verb	Meaning	Anticausative verb	Meaning
<i>səla</i>	cause to fall	<i>zəla</i>	fall
<i>p^hre</i>	break (tr)	<i>bre</i>	break (it)
<i>fk^he</i>	cut down	<i>vge</i>	break away, off
<i>ftɕə</i>	wake (tr)	<i>brə</i>	wake up
<i>ftɕə</i>	melt (tr)	<i>dʒə</i>	melt (it)

There is a causative *s-/z-* prefix in Stau attested in a few verbs, but

unlike in Rgyalrong languages, it is not productive. Table 30.11 provides a representative list of verb pairs. The phonological alternations attested with the *s-/z-* prefix are much less complex than those attested in Stodde (Sun 2007) or in Khroskyabs (Lai 2014). However, the causative forms are not always predictable from the underlying base form: for instance, the causative form of voiced initial verbs can be either voiced (*zgə* ‘put clothes on’) or voiceless (*spərje* ‘burn (tr)’), and that of voiceless initial verbs can be aspirated (*sq^hə* ‘extinguish’).

Table 30.11: Causative verbs in Stau

Base verb	Meaning	Causative verb	Meaning
<i>lə</i>	boil	<i>zɕdə</i>	boil (tr)
<i>c^hu</i>	hot	<i>sc^hu</i>	cook
<i>rŋi</i>	borrow	<i>sŋi</i>	lend
<i>qə</i>	go out (fire)	<i>sq^hə</i>	extinguish
<i>t^hi</i>	drink	<i>st^hi</i>	give to drink
<i>kə</i> / <i>-gə</i>	wear	<i>zgə</i>	put clothes on
<i>nə</i>	burn (it)	<i>snə</i>	burn (tr)
<i>pərje</i> / <i>-bərje</i>	burn	<i>spərje</i>	burn (tr)

Some Tibetan loan verbs, borrowed in pairs, should be distinguished from the native causative pairs (*mbjer* ‘be pasted on’ vs *zjwer* ‘paste’ from Tibetan *nb’ar* and *sb’ar*).

In addition to the prefix *s-/z-*, there is one example of a causative *y* prefix in the pair *ndzi* ‘learn’ → *yzi* ‘teach’. This may be a fossilized allomorph of the causative prefix (in Khroskyabs the corresponding pair is *ndzé* ‘learn’, *ldzê* ‘teach’ with *l-* allomorph of the causative prefix).

The *s-/z-* has semantic effects that are sometimes better described with terms other than ‘causative’. There is one example of applicative *s-* prefix: *q^he* ‘laugh’ → *sq^he* ‘laugh at’. In addition, we find *nənə* ‘smell (intr)’ → *snəsənə* ‘smell (tr)’ (not ‘cause to have a smell’; notice how the causative prefix takes part in the reduplication of the base form), whose semantics can be described as ‘tropative’ (*X* → ‘find, consider to be *X*’ see Jacques 2013).

The causative prefix *s-/z-*, unlike its cognate in Core Rgyalrong languages, is not productive anymore, and the only productive way to express causation is a synthetic construction with the auxiliary *xtɕ^hə* ‘let’ (see example 17 below).

There is little evidence of incorporation in Stau, unlike in Japhug (Jacques 2012b) or Khroskyabs (Lai 2013b, Lai 2015). Only two examples have been found: *rvatça* ‘carry on shoulders’ (which incorporates the noun *rva* ‘shoulders and upper back’) and *mbarji* ‘stride over’ (with *pa* ‘a step’).

3.6 Existential verbs

As previously noticed by Huang Bufan (1991: 38), as in most languages of the area (Huang Chenglong 2013), Stau has several existential verbs depending on the nature of the S.

The verb *st^hə* is used to refer to movable things, that have been put at a particular place, as in example (2).

- (2) *ŋi xtse əzi st^hə?*
 1SG:GEN soup where exist
 Where is my soup? (The louse and the flea, 08)

The verb *xi* is used with objects that are fixed in place or plants that have grown on the ground.

- (3) *akəstəmba-j zɡərju q^hi nə-xi-sə ŋə-rə*
 Akhu.stonba-GEN windows near PFV-exist-IFR be-SENS
 (The big walnut tree) was near Akhustonba's window. (Akhustonba and the walnut tree, 08)

For animates (humans and animals), *ci/ji* is employed. It is the only existential verb to be compatible with person marking, in particular in the progressive construction, which combines a non-finite verb form with the existential *ci/ji*, as in (4).

- (4) *ŋa təq^hi tɕa zɕdə-sə ci-ā*
 1SG here tea boil-NMLZ exist-1SG
 I am boiling some tea here. (Akhu stonba and the horseman, 19)

The verb *tə/də* is used for all other cases, in particular abstract concepts, but also appears with concrete object which cannot be put into any of the preceding categories.

- (5) *k^hak^ha k^harma rdzi ɕatsa tə-rə zəgu ŋaŋji*
 other animals footprints many exist-SENS however 1PL:GEN
xə-j rdzi de, ŋaŋji aʒə-w
 hybrid.tak-GEN footprints DEM 1PL:GEN mother's.brother-ERG
ta^yta^y ɕa kə-rə
 clearly understand understand-SENS

Although there were footprints of many other animals, our uncle could distinguish the footprints of our hybrid yak. (The hybrid yak, 22-23)

4 Noun phrase

4.1 Structure of the noun phrase

Noun phrases in Stau follow the template given in (6), as illustrated by example (7).

- (6) NOUN-ADJ-NUM+CL-DEM

Stau has a set of classifiers with numeral prefixes, which present morphophonological alternations and differ from free numerals. For instance, the numeral ‘one’ is *ru*, but the prefix ‘one’ on classifiers is *a-*, *e-*, or *ə-* (the distribution of the three allomorphs is not completely predictable synchronically).

- (7) *mbro^vchə ke chə ə-lə ci-rə*
 nomad.dog very big one-CL exist:ANIM-SENS
 There was a huge nomad dog. (The hybrid yak, 70)

4.2 Case

There are six case postpositions in Stau: the ergative *-w*, the genitive *-j*, the instrumental *-k^ha*, the dative *-gi*, the locative/superessive *-tə^ha*, the allative *-xa* and the comitative *-p^ha*.

The ergative and the genitive merge with the last word of the preceding noun phrase, and the regular vowel fusion rules seen in Table 30.3 apply. Table 30.12 presents examples of ergative and genitive forms of some common nouns.

Table 30.12: Vowel fusion in Rtau nouns

base form	meaning	ergative	genitive
<i>kəta</i>	dog	<i>kəta-w</i> → <i>kəto^w</i>	<i>kəta-j</i> → <i>kəte^j</i>
<i>vdzi</i>	man	<i>vdzi-w</i> → <i>vdzu</i>	<i>vdzi-j</i> → <i>vdzi</i>
<i>xə</i>	hybrid of yak and cow	<i>xə-w</i> → <i>xu</i>	<i>xə-j</i> → <i>xi</i>

The first and second person pronouns (*ŋa* 1SG, *ŋə* 2SG) do not have ergative forms (except in hybrid indirect speech, see below), as illustrated by example (8), where the noun *waqi-w* ‘the rabbit’ takes the ergative while the pronoun *ŋa* remains invariable in exactly the same context.

- (8) *ŋa zəŋə qe-w tə-jə-sə ŋə-rə.*
 1SG first shoot-1SG→3 PFV-say-IFR be-SENS

tə^həge, waqi-w zəŋə tə-f-qe-sə ŋə-rə.
 then rabbit-ERG first PFV-INV-shoot-IFR be-SENS

He said ‘I will (you) shoot’. Then, the rabbit shot him first. (the rabbit and the tiger, 21-22)

Some morphologically intransitive verbs, such as **rga** ‘like’ take an ergative argument, as in 9, where the experiencer is in the ergative, and the stimulus in the dative case, but the verb only agrees with the argument marked in the ergative. Thus, in example (9), the verb is zero-marked, because the ergatively marked argument **tə-w** is third person (see Table 30.4).

Morphological and syntactic transitivity in Stau should thus be treated separately as they do not necessarily match for all verbs.

- (9) **tə-w ŋa-gi rga-rə**
 he-ERG I-DAT like-SENS
 ‘(S)he likes me.’

All postpositions above can occur in the argumental structure of some verbs, including the instrumental **-k^ha** and the comitative **-p^ha**, which are selected by the verbs **mk^hə** ‘need, want’ (see 10) and **təə/dzə** ‘meet’ respectively.

- (10) **ŋa rji-k^ha mǐ-mkhə-ā**
 1SG horse-INSTR NEG:N.PST-want-1
 I don’t want a horse.

5 Nominalization

All productive nominalization markers in Stau are suffixes. They are commonly used to build relative and complement clauses.

We find the agentive nominalizer **-ŋk^hə**, that can be used with intransitive (**ɕə** ‘go’ → **ɕə-ŋk^hə** ‘the one who goes’) as well as transitive verbs (**rə** ‘buy’ → **rə-ŋk^hə** ‘buyer’). The verb loses all person morphology (including the inverse prefix **v-** - note that the finite third person form of **rə** ‘buy’ is **v-rə** ‘he buys’) and only the negative prefixes can be added.

The nominalizer **-lə** can be used with transitive verbs to designate the patient of the action, as in **fɕe** ‘tell’ → **fɕe-lə** ‘things that have been told’ or **ŋgə** ‘eat’ → **ŋgə-lə** ‘food’. Alternatively, it can build action nominals with either transitive or intransitive verbs, as in example (11).

- (11) **ŋə-ŋə nə-vi-lə de tə-mp^hjə-sə ŋə-rə**
 2-PL DOWN-go-NMLZ:P/ACTION DEM PFV-be.late-IFR be-SENS
 You arrived there too late (=your arrival there was late; Akhu stonba and the Walnut tree, 34).

The suffix **-re** is used for locative nominalization, as in **rk^hə** ‘put in’ → **p^hjodzə rk^hə-re** (money put.in-NMLZ:LOC) ‘wallet, place one puts money in’.

It also appears with stative existential verbs such as *tə* ‘be there, exist’ → *tə-re* (be.there-NMLZ:LOC) ‘the place where (it) is’.

Finally, *-sce* is the marker of instrumental nominalization, as in *ra* ‘write’ → *ra-sce* ‘pen, the thing one uses to write’.

Relatives in Stau are always built using one of these four suffixes. The S/A argument is relativized with *-ŋkʰə*, the P argument with *-lə*, and oblique arguments or adjuncts with the other two suffixes.

In relative clauses with relativized P, the agent of the relative can be marked either with the ergative or the genitive. In example (12), it is possible to say *akəstəm̩ba-w* with the ergative *-w* instead.

- (12) [akəstəm̩ba-j fəe-lə] de vdē-ndzə?
 Akhustonba-GEN tell-NMLZ:P/ACTION DEM be.true-SENS
 Does Akhustonba tell the truth? (‘It is true what Akhustonba says’,
 Akhu stonba and the Walnut tree, 25)

6 Complementation

Most complement clauses have a non-finite verb, suffixed with the *-lə* or *-re* nominalizer.

The modal verb *rə* ‘be able’ is an example of the first type. As shown by example (13), where the verb of the complement clause *scəqi-lə* is in non-finite form, suffixed with *-lə*, while *rə* takes person and TAM marking.

- (13) tɕʰəge ŋa le rōsa scəqi-lə
 then 1SG TOP immediately look.at-NMLZ:P/ACTION
 ma-rə-w-sə
 NEG:PFV-be.able-1SG→3-IFR
 I was not able to notice it immediately. (The hybrid yak, 52)

This type of complement is also found with the phasal complex predicate *ŋgə ftsu* ‘start’, borrowed from Tibetan *ŋgo btsugs* ‘start’ (see example 14).

- (14) ŋa zɕdə-lə ŋgə kə-ftsu-w
 1SG boil-NMLZ:P/ACTION start PFV-start-1SG→3
 I started boiling it.

The locative nominalizer *-re* appears for instance in combination with *ɕdi* ‘come’ to express the meaning ‘be ready to, have almost ...’, as in 15.

- (15) ste-re ɕdi-ā
 finish-NMLZ:LOC come-1
 I have almost finished.

Other types of non-finite complement clauses are also attested. The verb *ʁə* ‘help’ takes a complement clause whose main verb is marked with the suffix *-ʁə* (homophonous with the bare stem of the verb, and probably derived from it), as in 16. No other verb can occur with this type of complement clause.

- (16) *tə-w ɲa-gi tɕədə de zɕda-ʁə kə-ʁə-ā*
 3-ERG 1SG-DAT book DEM read-CONV PFV-INV:help-1
 He helped me reading this book.

In the synthetic causative construction, the verb *xtɕʰə* ‘let’ takes a complement verb in bare stem form, without any person or TAM marker, and no nominalization or converbial suffix. Example (17) illustrates this construction (in this example, the inverse *-f/-v-* cannot surface due to the initial cluster). If the verb in the complement clause is intransitive, its S is coreferent with the P of *xtɕʰə* ‘let’; if it is transitive, its A is coreferent with the P.

- (17) [*e-ze nə*] *tə-xtɕʰə-ā*
 one-moment rest IMP-INV:CAUS-1
 Let me rest a moment. (The hybrid yak, 48)

Finite complement clauses are found with both phasal auxiliary verbs such as *ste* ‘finish’ (as in 18; note that in this case, only the verb in the complement clause receives person indexation), and in reported speech.

- (18) [*ɲəqʰej xtse ɲa tə-tʰi-w*] *ste-sə*
 2SG:GEN soup 1SG PFV-drink-1SG→3 finish-IFR
 I drank up your soup. (The louse and the flea, 9)

Stau presents an extreme case of hybrid indirect (or semi-direct) speech (Aikhenvald 2008, Tournadre 2008).

When the original speaker is different from the current speaker, the reported utterance will only be identical to the original utterance if the current and original speaker and addressee are not referred to in it. Thus, in example (19), the reported utterance is identical to the original one (example 20).

- (19) *tɕaɕi-w_i ɲa-gi jə-rə ge [tə-w_j dzəma de*
 Bkrashis-ERG 1SG-DAT say-SENS LNK 3SG-ERG Sgrolma DEM
nə-f-se-sə] jə-rə
 PFV-INV-kill-IFR say-SENS
 Bkrashis_i told me (that) he_j (≠ Bkrashis) had killed Sgrolma.
- (20) *tə-w dzəma de nə-f-se(-sə)*
 3SG-ERG Sgrolma DEM PFV-INV-kill(-IFR)
 He killed Sgrolma.

A different situation is observed when the original speaker refers to himself (in the first person) in the original utterance, as illustrated by (21) and (23). The reported utterance keeps the verb form of the original utterance (example 22), but the first person pronoun is replaced by the logophoric pronoun *əda*. Like the first person pronoun *ŋa*, the logophoric *əda* does not take the ergative marker.

- (21) *tʂaɕi-w_i ŋa-gi jə-rə ge [əda_i dzɜma de*
 Bkrashis-ERG 1SG-DAT say-SENS LNK LOGOPHORIC Sgrolma DEM
nə-se-w] jə-rə
 PFV-kill-1SG→3 say-SENS
 Bkrashis_i told me that he_i had killed Sgrolma.
- (22) *ŋa dzɜma de nə-se-w*
 1SG Sgrolma DEM PFV-kill-1SG→3
 I killed Sgrolma.
- (23) *[əda-gi mbjo^ymbjo^y tɕa gə tə-f-k^hə-ā, tɕa gə*
 LOGOPHORIC-DAT quickly tea INDEF IMP-INV-give-1 tea INDEF
tə-f-k^hə-ā,] jə-rə
 IMP-INV-give-1 say-SENS
 He said ‘Give me some tea, give me some tea.’ (The hybrid yak, 39)

When the current speaker was referred to as a third person in the original utterance, a different type of mismatch occurs, illustrated by example (24). The verb preserves the form of the original utterance (example 20), but the third person pronoun is replaced by the first person *ŋa*. In addition, in this case the pronoun takes the ergative flagging *-w* of the original utterance, although SAP pronouns normally do not take ergative suffixes.

- (24) *tʂaɕi-w jə-rə ge [ŋa-w dzɜma de*
 Bkrashis-ERG say-SENS LNK 1SG-ERG Sgrolma DEM
nə-f-se-sə] jə-rə
 PFV-INV-kill-IFR say-SENS
 Bkrashis said that I had killed Sgrolma.

We see that in Stau hybrid indirect speech verb forms and case marking represent the point of view of the original speaker, but everything else, including pronouns, represent that of the current speaker.

7 Evidentiality

Like most languages of the Tibetan cultural area, the Stau verbal system has evidential markers, in particular the sensory evidential *-rə* and the past inferential *-sə*.

The sensory **-rə** is generally used to express a non-past state or an action that the speaker is directly witnessing, be it by vision or by other senses. Thus, sentence (25) can be uttered by someone who sees (or feels, in a car) the state of the road.

- (25) **tɕe ke rcə gə ɲə-rə**
 road very bad INDEF be-SENS
 It is a bad road.

The sensory **-rə** is not used in objective (i.e., based upon external observation) statements about the speaker himself: in 26, the verb **ɲə-ã** cannot take the suffix **-rə**. It is also not used with third person referents when the speaker considers the statement to belong to generally accepted ‘encyclopaedic’ knowledge.

- (26) **ɲa ke mbjo^v ɲjəra-ɲk^{hə} gə ɲə-ã**
 1SG very be.fast run-NMLZ:S/A INDEF be-1
 I am a fast runner.

On the other hand, as with the Tibetan sensory **ndug** (see Tournadre & LaPolla 2014), **-rə** can be used to express endopathic sensations, knowledge (27) or desire (28) with the first person.

- (27) **ɲa sə rdzi-ʁa tɕ^hu ʁa**
 1SG TOP trace-ALL anything understand(1)
mi-gə-ã-rə
 NEG-understand(2)-1-SENS
 Me, on the other hand, I do not understand anything about tracking (animals) (The hybrid yak, 17)
- (28) **ɲa tɕa t^hi-sɲə pre-rə**
 1SG tea drink-want want-SENS
 I want to drink tea.

The inferential **-sə** indicates that the speaker learnt of the facts in question second-hand (hearsay) or guessed them from indirect evidence, as in sentence (29).

- (29) **tɕaɕi-w dzəma de nə-f-se-sə**
 Bkrashis-ERG Sgrolma DEM PFV-INV-kill-IFR
 Bkrashis killed Sgrolma.

A perfective sentence without the suffix **-sə** is used if the speaker has first-hand authoritative knowledge of the events described. For instance, 30 can only be said by someone who witnessed the crime.

- (30) tṣaɕi-w dzɜma de nə-f-se
 Bkrashis-ERG Sgrolma DEM PFV-INV-kill
 Bkrashis killed Sgrolma.

A verb in the inferential can be combined with the sensory form of the verb *ŋə* ‘be’ to form the narrative compound tense (see 31), which is specifically used to tell stories. It is the most common verb form in narratives and other traditional stories.

- (31) tṣaɕi-w dzɜma de nə-f-se-sə ŋə-rə
 Bkrashis-ERG Sgrolma DEM PFV-INV-kill-IFR be-SENS
 Bkrashis killed Sgrolma.

8 Classification

There is clear evidence from morphology and lexicon that Stau and Khroskyabs languages constitute a subgroup within Rgyalrongic (evidence for the Rgyalrongic subgroup itself is presented in [Sun 2000b](#)).

First, both Stau and Khroskyabs languages have generalized the inverse forms in the non-local scenario and completely lost the direct 3→3’ forms ([Lai 2013b](#), [Jacques & Antonov 2014](#), [Lai 2015](#)), a puzzling feature that is unlikely to be an independent innovation.

Second, Stau and Khroskyabs lost the nominalization prefixes found in Core Rgyalrong languages. Only indirect traces of the prefixes remain.

An example of such a trace is the noun *ɣji* ‘hole, orifice’ in Khanggsar Stau, *ɣjô* in Wobzi Khroskyabs, both cognate to Japhug *-ɣɣɣu* ‘(its) hole, opening’, which derives from *ɣɣu* ‘open (intr)’, the anticausative of *cu* ‘open (a door)’.

In Japhug, *ɣ-* is an irregular allomorph of the nominalization prefix *ku-*, found in a handful of examples ([Jacques 2014: 4-6](#)). Although the *ɣ-* element in Stau and *ɣ-* in Khroskyabs are not analyzable anymore (the forms *ɣji* and *ɣjô* are synchronically unmotivated), this example and others (see [Jacques 2012b: 1228-9](#)) suggest that nominalization prefixes used to exist in Stau and Khroskyabs, and were later replaced by innovative suffixes.

These suffixes, probably originally generic relator nouns, are partially shared between Stau and Khroskyabs (see Table 30.13), in particular the S/A nominalizer *-ŋkʰə* and the oblique nominalizer *-re*. Although contact cannot be excluded as a factor in the development of these suffixal systems, no discussion of Rgyalrongic subgrouping can neglect these data.

The suffix *-ŋkʰə* in Stau and Khroskyabs is reminiscent of Tibetan *-mkʰan*, which has the same use in some modern languages, but this is likely to be a coincidence (words with the rhyme *-an* in Classical Tibetan never corresponds to words *-ə* in Tibetan loanwords in Stau).

Table 30.13: Nominalization suffixes in Stau and Khroskyabs

	Stau	Khroskyabs
S/A	-ŋk ^h ə	-pa, -ŋk ^h ə
P, action	-lə	-spi
locative	-re	-ri
instrument	-sce	-ri

Third, both Stau and Khroskyabs present a type of verbal reduplication unattested elsewhere, whereby the replicated syllable appears after the base, and its rhyme is replaced by -a (Lai 2013a). This process is productive in Stau and Khroskyabs (for instance ŋgə ‘eat’ → ŋgəŋga ‘eat all kinds of things’).

Fourth, Stau and Khroskyabs languages share several lexical isoglosses which distinguish them from Core Rgyalrong languages, as illustrated by the data in Table 30.14. In these examples Khroskyabs and Stau differ from Japhug (and other Sino-Tibetan languages) and in some cases are clearly innovating. In the case of nouns like mk^hə ‘smoke’ for instance, the innovation is the replacement of the simple root by a compound comprising the original root and another element (fire+smoke → smoke, a well-attested unidirectional semantic change, see Urban 2011).

Table 30.14: Potential lexical innovations

	Stau	Khroskyabs	Japhug
heart	zjar	sjâr	tu-sni
smoke	mk ^h ə	mk ^h ə	tx-k ^h u
be big	c ^h e	c ^h æ	wxti
bread	læk ^h i	læk ^h i	qajyi
writing	tɕədə	dzədə	txscoz
wind	χpərju	χpêrju	qale
skin	tɕədza	dzədzâ	tu-ndzi
water	yrə	jdê	tu-ci
experience	zdar	zdâr	rno
general classifier	ə-lə	ê-lo	tu-rdox
human classifier	a-xi	ê-xæi	tu-rdox
exist (animate)	ci/ji	jê	tu
exist (be put on)	st ^h ə	stî	tu

Stau and Khroskyabs are also characterized by a series of retentions not shared by Core Rgyalrong languages. Although these do not provide evidence for the Stau-Khroskyabs branch, they are nevertheless worth mentioning.

First, Stau and Khroskyabs have two distinct roots for ‘year’, one with the numeral prefixes (-fku) and the other in year ordinals (-və). Wobzi Khroskyabs -dju corresponds to Thugsrjechenbo -d̥yʉ and is related to Stau -fku (the velar stop underwent lenition in Khroskyabs languages). Japhug and other Core Rgyalrong languages, on the other hand, have the root -xpa / -pa everywhere.

A root suppletion in the noun ‘year’ cognate with the one in Khroskyabs and Stau is found in Tangut, Lolo-Burmese and Naish (see Jacques & Michaud 2011), showing that Core Rgyalrong languages are innovative here.

Table 30.15: Year ordinals in Rgyalrongic languages

	Stau	Khroskyabs	Japhug
one year	e-fku	â-dju	tuw-xpa
two years	ɣnə-fku	jnâ-dju	ɣnuw-xpa
three years	xsə-fku	çsô-dju	χsw-xpa
last year	javə	aχpî	japa
this year	pəvə	pîvi	ɣuɰpa

Second, Khroskyabs and Stau preserve the prohibitive dental prefix (tə- in Wobzi Lai 2013b: 130-1, di- in Stau) that does not exist in Rgyalrong languages (which instead have a prohibitive form ma-).

Third, orientation prefixes are placed *before* the negation in Stau and Khroskyabs, while they appear after it in Rgyalrong languages. Since the order negation-orientation is also found in related languages such as Tangut and Pumi (Jacques 2011b), Rgyalrong languages are most probably innovative here.

Although Stau clearly belongs to the Rgyalrongic branch of Sino-Tibetan, it presents interesting commonalities with Tangut, in particular the case marking system, as shown in Table 30.16.

Table 30.16: Case markers in Stau, Khroskyabs and Tangut

Stau		Khroskyabs		Tangut	
-w	ERG			𐰇𐰪 ɲwu ²	INSTR
-j	GEN	-ji	GEN	𐰇𐰪 .jij ¹	GEN, antiergative
-ɣa	ALL	-ɣa	LOC	𐰇𐰪 ɣa ²	LOC
-tɕ ^h a	LOC			𐰇𐰪 tɕ ^h jaa ¹	LOC
-k ^h a	INSTR			𐰇𐰪 k ^h a ¹	in the middle of

Among these markers, the only potential cognate in Core Rgyalrong languages is the locative -i found in Situ (which appears in the predicative possessive construction, see Lin 1993: 328). This is one area where Stau

is more conservative than Core Rgyalrong languages, which have borrowed their ergative and genitive markers from Tibetan (see [Jacques 2016](#)).

References

- Aikhenvald, Alexandra. 2008. Semi-direct speech: Manambu and beyond. *Language sciences* 30. 383–422.
- Duoerji. 1998. *Daofuyu Geshizhahua yanjiu* 道孚语格什扎话研究 (*A study of Dgebshes Stau*). Beijing: Zhongguo Zangxue chubanshe.
- Huang, Bufan. 1991. Daofuyu 道孚语 (The Rtau language). In Qingxia Dai, Bufan Huang, Ailan Fu, Renzeng Wangmu & Ju Liu (eds.), *Zangmianyu shiwuzhong* 藏缅语十五种 (*Fifteen Tibeto-Burman languages*), 1–45. Beijing: Yanshan chubanshe 北京: 燕山出版社. , 戴庆夏、黄布凡、傅爱兰、仁增旺姆、刘菊.
- Huang, Chenglong. 2013. Zangmianyu cunzai leidongci gainian jiegou 藏缅语存在类动词的概念结构 (The conceptual structure of the existential verbs in Tibeto-Burman). *Minzu yuwen* 2. 31–48.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2011a. A panchronic study of aspirated fricatives, with new evidence from Pumi. *Lingua* 121(9). 1518–1538.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2011b. The Structure of the Tangut Verb. *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 39(2). 419–441.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2012a. Argument demotion in Japhug Rgyalrong. In Katharina Haude & Gilles Authier (eds.), *Ergativity, Valency and Voice*, 199–226. Berlin: Mouton De Gruyter.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2012b. From denominal derivation to incorporation. *Lingua* 122(11). 1207–1231.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2013. Applicative and tropative derivations in Japhug Rgyalrong. *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 36(2). 1–13.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2014. Denominal affixes as sources of antipassive markers in Japhug Rgyalrong. *Lingua* 138. 1–22.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2016. From ergative to comparee marker: multiple reanalyses and polyfunctionality. *Diachronica* 33(1). 1–30.
- Jacques, Guillaume & Anton Antonov. 2014. Direct / inverse systems. *Language and Linguistics Compass* 8/7. 301–318.
- Jacques, Guillaume, Anton Antonov, Yunfan Lai & Lobsang Nima. 2014. Person marking in Stau. *Himalayan Linguistics* 13.1. 82–92.

- Jacques, Guillaume & Alexis Michaud. 2011. Approaching the historical phonology of three highly eroded Sino-Tibetan languages: Naxi, Na and Laze. *Diachronica* 28.4. 468–498.
- Lai, Yunfan. 2013a. Erehua de fuyin chongdie 俄热话的辅音重叠 (Consonant reduplication in Wobzi). *Minzu yuwen* 6. 12–18.
- Lai, Yunfan. 2013b. *La morphologie affixale du lavrung wobzi*. Université Paris III MA thesis.
- Lai, Yunfan. 2014. Causative Constructions in Wobzi. In *14th International Symposium on Chinese Languages and Linguistics*, 319–336. Taipei: Academia Sinica. <http://isc11-14.ling.sinica.edu.tw/files-pdf/Papers/Session7/Lai.pdf>.
- Lai, Yunfan. 2015. The person agreement system of Wobzi Lavrung (Rgyalrongic, Tibeto-Burman). *Transactions of the Philological Society* 113(3). 271–285.
- Lin, Xiangrong. 1993. *Jiarongyu yanjiu* 嘉戎語研究 (*A study on the Rgyalrong language*). Chengdu: Sichuan minzu chubanshe.
- Sun, Hongkai. 1983. Liujiangliuyu de minzu yuyan jiqi xishu fenlei 六江流域的民族语言及其系属分类 (Minority languages of the Six River Valley and their genetic classification). *民族学报* *Minzu xuebao* 3. 99–273.
- Sun, Jackson T.-S. 2000a. Parallelisms in the Verb Morphology of Sidaba rGyalrong and Lavrung in rGyalrongic. *Language and Linguistics* 1.1. 161–190.
- Sun, Jackson T.-S. 2000b. Stem Alternations in Puxi Verb Inflection: Toward Validating the rGyalrongic Subgroup in Qiangic. *Language and Linguistics* 1.2. 211–232.
- Sun, Jackson T.-S. 2007. Morphological causative formation in Shangzhai Horpa. *Bulletin of Chinese Linguistics* 1.2. 207–227.
- Sun, Jackson T.-S. & Qianzi Tian. 2013. Chuanxi Huoeryu Gexihua dongci duixie chutan 川西霍爾語格西話動詞對協初探 (Verb Agreement in Gexi Horpa). *Bulletin of Chinese Linguistics* 7.2. 221–241.
- Tournadre, Nicolas. 2008. Arguments against the Concept of ‘Conjunct’ / ‘Disjunct’ in Tibetan. In Brigitte Huber, Marianne Volkart & Paul Widmer (eds.), *Festschrift für Roland Bielmeier zu seinem 65. Geburtstag*, 281–308. Halle: International Institute for Tibetan and Buddhist Studies.
- Tournadre, Nicolas & Randy LaPolla. 2014. Towards a new approach to evidentiality. *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 37.2. 240–262.

- Urban, Matthias. 2011. Asymmetries in overt marking and directionality in semantic change. *Journal of Historical Linguistics* 1.1. 3–47.
- Wang, Stephen S. 1970-1. Consonantal clusters of Tibetan loanwords in Stau. *Monumenta Serica* 29. 631–58.