Fast Comprehension of embedded geometrical Primitives and Rules in human Adults and Preschoolers

Marie Amalric, Liping Wang, Pierre Pica, Santiago Figueira, Mariano Sigman, Stanislas Dehaene

Fast comprehension of embedded geometrical primitives and rules in human adults and preschoolers

Marie Amalric[1,2,*], Liping Wang[3], Pierre Pica[4], Santiago Figueira[5], Mariano Sigman[6], Stanislas Dehaene[1,7]

[1] Cognitive Neuroimaging Unit, CEA DSV/I2BM, INSERM, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, NeuroSpin center, 91191 Gif/Yvette, France
[2] Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, IFD, 4 place Jussieu, Paris, France
[3] Institute of Neuroscience, Shanghai Institutes of Biological Science, Chinese Academy of Science, Shanghai, China
[4] UMR 7023 Structures Formelles du Langage CNRS, Université Paris 8, France
[5] Department of Computer Science, FCEN, University of Buenos Aires, Pabellon I, Ciudad Universitaria, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
[6] Laboratory of Integrative Neuroscience, Physics Department, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina
[7] Collège de France, Paris, France

INTRODUCTION: ARE HUMANS ENDOWED WITH A GEOMETRICAL LANGUAGE?

- Studies of sequence learning have outlined one possible mechanism by which complex mental representations are constructed out of simpler primitives: the human ability to **extract complex nested structures from sequential inputs**.
- Experiments in infants, preschoolers, and adults without access to education have demonstrated the existence of innate **"core knowledge" for space**, endowing humans with spontaneous intuitions of geometry.
- The question therefore arises whether a capacity for the internal representation and manipulation of nested sequences also underlies the acquisition of mathematics.
- We propose to formalize the human sensitivity to mathematical rules through a **"language of thought"** that allows the formation of complex representations from a small repertoire of primitives.

METHOD: COMPLETION TASK



Subjects saw the first locations of a given sequence and had to point to the next ones. If they were mistaken, the sequence restarted.



__Participants:__
23 French adults and 47 5-years-old children, and 14 Munduruku teenagers and adults.

GEOMETRICAL LANGUAGE



		Repeat +1 01234567 K=5	Repeat +2 02460246 K=5
		[+0, [+1]^7]	[+0, [+2]^7]
		Alternate 0213243546 K=8	2arcs 01237654 K=8
		[+0, +2]^8 {+1}]	[[+0, [+1]^3]^2 <V>]
2squares 02467135 K=8	4segments 01726354 K=7	4diagonals 04152637 K=7	2points 02020202 K=7
[[+0, [+2]^3]^2 <−1>]	[[+0, H]^4 {−1}]	[[+0, P]^4 {+1}]	[[+0, +2]^4 {+0}]
2rectangles 05416327 K = 10	2crosses 04512673 K=7	Irregular 04715236 K = 16	4points 02360236 K = 11
[[[+0, −3]^2{P}]^2 <−2>]	[[+0, P]^4 {−3}]	[+0,P,B,+2,P,B,+1,H]	[[+0,+2,+1,+3]^2 <+0>]

RESULTS

Knowledge of geometrical primitive rules





- In comparison to irregular baseline, most of the regular sequences were well learnt.
- Error pattern directly reflects the hierarchical internal representation of sequences.
- Error rate at specific data point indicates how well a given rule is understood: e.g. in "4segments", even data points reveal that all axial symmetries are detected by all groups of participants.

Learning of embedded rules



Kolmogorov complexity well predicts subjects' performance



MODEL FITS





CONCLUSIONS

- **Simple rotations and axial symmetries were all detected** and quickly used by human adults and 5-years-old children. Point symmetry was more challenging for French preschoolers or Munduruku adults than for French adults.
- Human subjects were able to detect most of the embedded expressions we used to define our visuospatial sequences such as simple repetition, concatenation, and some repetition with variation.
- **The analysis of error patterns provided direct evidence for hierarchical embedding**: superficial rules were acquired more quickly and induced fewer errors than deeper rules.
- **With age, a geometrical language endowed with nested rules seems to arise even in the absence of formal schooling**, as Munduruku adults who lacked school-based education, performed better than 5-years-old kids.
- In children, the failure with complex sequences could arise from limitations in working memory and not necessarily to a lack of understanding nested structures.
- **The theoretical complexity of a sequence was an excellent predictor of its mean error rate**, and we confirm that minimal description length is a reasonable approach of adult sequence learning capacity.
- Additional primitives, both geometrical and non-geometrical still need to be added to our model to complete its description of "core geometry".

REFERENCES

1. Dehaene S, Meyniel F, Wacongne C, Wang L, Pallier C. The Neural Representation of Sequences: From Transition Probabilities to Algebraic Patterns and Linguistic Trees. Neuron. 2015;88: 2–19.
2. Saffran JR, Aslin RN, Newport EL. Statistical Learning by 8-Month-Old Infants. Science. 1996;274: 1926–1928.
3. Restle F. Theory of serial pattern learning: structural trees. Psychol Rev. 1970;77: 481
4. Martins MD, Laaha S, Freiberger EM, Choi S, Fitch WT. How children perceive fractals: Hierarchical self-similarity and cognitive development. Cognition. 2014;133: 10–24.
5. Dehaene S, Izard V, Pica P, Spelke E. Core Knowledge of Geometry in an Amazonian Indigene Group. Science. 2006;311: 381–384.
6. Izard V, Pica P, Dehaene S, Hinchey D, Spelke E. Geometry as a Universal Mental Construction. Space, Time and Number in the Brain. Elsevier; 2011; pp. 319–332.
7. Fodor JA. The Language of Thought. Harvard University Press; 1975
8. Romano S, Sigman M, Figueira S. \$LT²C²\$: A language of thought with Turing-computable Kolmogorov complexity. Pap Phys. 2013;5
9. Mathy F, Feldman J. What's magic about magic numbers? Chunking and data compression in short-term memory. Cognition. 2012;122: 346–362
10. Feldman J. The simplicity principle in human concept learning. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 2003;12: 227–232.