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Increasing individuals’ and particularly employees’ participation in training is one of the European Union’s major policy objectives. According to the Lisbon European Council 2000, the purpose of training is to increase workers’ human capital in order to improve the competitiveness of the European economy, and is therefore to be encouraged. The indicators provided by the most recent wave of the European Continuing Vocational Training Survey (CVTS, cf. Box 3) provide the basis for making an overall assessment of enterprises’ training effort in European countries and gaining up-to-date information on trends. There are considerable disparities within Europe in employees’ access to continuing training, enterprises’ funding of training and the type of training undertaken. While the volume of training undertaken has tended to become more equal over time at the same time as it has increased, training practices continue to be characterised by relatively stable national specificities.

Overview of continuing training for employees in Europe

In 2010, European enterprises stated that they had provided training for 38% of their employees, in the form of formal taught courses lasting on average 26 hours. Dividing the number of hours’ training in the form of courses by the total number of employees produces an average volume of training per employee of 10 hours, or 0.6% of their annual working time. In contrast, and depending on the classification used (cf. Box 1 for a definition of the types of training), only 21% of employees undertook guided on-the-job training, 9% took part in learning or quality circles, 8% attended conferences, workshops, trade fairs and lectures, 3% undertook self-directed learning and 2% received training as a result of job rotation, exchanges, secondments or study visits. Thus courses still constitute the predominant type of training provided in European enterprises.

In 2010, 56% of European enterprises provided training for at least one of their employees in the form of courses, while 53% offered one of the five other types of training mentioned above. Overall, the various types of training being often combined, a total of 66% of enterprises provided training.

Employees’ rate of access to training and the share of enterprises providing training

More European employees undertook training in 2010 than ten years previously. The latest European survey of continuing training in enterprises also shows that there are still considerable differences between countries, even though they are tending to narrow. Formal courses remain the dominant form of training within enterprises, with only a small number of countries making any significant use of other types of training.
training provision in the form of courses; the main difference between them is the extent to which they use other forms of training. One of these groups is made up of countries in which extensive use is made not only of courses but also of other types of training. It includes the UK, Sweden, Germany, the Czech Republic and Slovenia. In these ‘diversified training’ countries, employees’ rate of access to courses is high (44%) and it remains high, relative to the average, for on-the-job training (28%) and learning and quality circles (17%) as well. Similarly, the share of enterprises providing training is high, whether it be courses (60%) or other forms, for which the difference is even greater (68%).

This situation is largely the result of national specificities. In Germany, for example, the dual vocational training system, which encourages on-the-job learning, remains the point of reference for continuing training in enterprises. In many industries, moreover, professional mobility is dependent on continuing training, which encourages enterprises to develop training programmes.

The group of countries with high levels of training provision in the form of courses but not other types of training includes many countries, among which are the Benelux countries, France, Spain and Italy. In these ‘undiversified training’ countries, the share of enterprises providing training in the form of courses is high (60%) but close to the average for the other forms (58%). Similarly, employees’ rate of access to courses is high (41%) but lower for on-the-job training (16%) and even lower for learning and quality circles (8%), which brings these countries close to those in the first group. France is emblematic of this group: continuing training here is constructed on a school-based model in which formal courses take pride of place. The legal obligation on enterprises to fund training, with France situated at the top of the distribution.

Overall, in 2010, European enterprises spent 1.6% of their total wages bill on employee training, with France situated at the top of the distribution.

**National specificities remain**

On the basis of an analysis of employees’ access rates to CVT by type of training, three groups of countries can be identified (cf. Box 1). The first includes most of the EU member states from the former Eastern bloc (Croatia, Hungary, Romania, Bulgaria, Poland, Latvia and Lithuania). It is characterised by limited training provision. In these ‘low training’ countries, both the share of enterprises providing training (30%) and employees’ rate of access to courses (22%) are low. The same applies to rates of access to on-the-job training (16%) and to learning and quality circles (7%). Similarly, employees’ training in the form of courses (7 hours’ training per year) and enterprises’ financial contribution rate are at the bottom of the scale.

This can be explained in part by the high shares in these countries’ economies of small enterprises (between 10 and 49 employees), which usually provide little training. This effect is compounded by a particularly low rate of access to CVT courses compared with the European average for this size class; in larger enterprises, the rate is close to the European average.
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nuing training leads them to favour this type of training, which best enables them to discharge that obligation.

Against this European background, France has two striking characteristics. Firstly, French employees’ training expectancy in the form of courses is 20% higher than the European average. In other words, French employees are among the European workers who undertake training most frequently and for the longest periods. On the other hand, their rate of access to other types of training is very much lower than average.

Access rates have converged over the last decade

In the 17 countries in which the evolution of the use of training can be observed (cf. Box 3), the share of enterprises providing training, of whatever kind, increased between 1999 and 2010, rising from 58% in 1999 to 61% in 2005 and 64% in 2010. Employees’ rate of access to courses followed a similar trend, rising from 29% in 1999 to 32% in 2005 and 37% in 2010. However, the average length of training courses fell from 34 to 32 hours.

Furthermore, the data from the most recent wave of the CVTS survey confirm the trend towards convergence in the use of training by enterprises in Europe that had already been identified in earlier studies by Céreq. Between 1999 and 2010, the differences between countries in both the share of enterprises providing training and employees’ rate of access to training narrowed. This convergence may be attributed in part to the public

---

**Box 2 • Rates of employee access to training in 2010: three groups of countries**

- **Little formative country**
  - Lithuania
  - Latvia
  - Bulgaria
  - Croatia
  - Hungary
  - Romania

- **Mono-formative country**
  - Czech Republic
  - United Kingdom
  - Germany
  - Slovenia
  - Sweden
  - Belgium
  - Cyprus
  - Portugal
  - Spain
  - France
  - Lithuania
  - Latvia
  - Bulgaria
  - Croatia
  - Hungary
  - Romania

- **Poly-formative country**
  - EU

**Note on interpretation:** in 2010 in France 45% of employees undertook continuing training in the form of courses, while 14% undertook guided-on-the-job training.

**Construction of the typology:** the three groups of countries are differentiated by an ascending hierarchical classification constructed on the basis of the following aggregated national variables (which are ‘reduced’, i.e. divided by their standard deviations): share of enterprises providing training (in the form of CVT courses and other forms), rate of access to CVT courses (by size of firm: from 10 to 49 employees, from 50 to 249 employees and 250 employees or more), rate of access to on-the-job training and learning or quality circles (the 2nd and 3rd commonest training types after CVT courses) and the share of annual working time spent on CVT courses.

---

**Box 3 • The Continuing Vocational Training Survey in Europe**

The data presented in this issue of Bref are taken from the 1999, 2005 and 2010 waves of the Continuing Vocational Training Survey (CVTS), which is coordinated by Eurostat.

This survey is conducted among European enterprises with 10 or more employees in the private and quasi-public sectors, excluding agriculture, education and health. The 2010 wave was carried out in all 27 EU member states and Croatia, which joined the EU in 2013. The 2005 wave did not include Croatia and the 1999 wave did not include Croatia, Cyprus, Malta or Slovenia (and included only one province in Poland). Furthermore, the absence of indicators for certain countries and certain years means that developments can be observed only for a core group of 17 countries.

The CVTS questionnaire, which is identical for all the countries, focuses on continuing vocational training carried out in working hours or funded at least in part by enterprises for the benefit of their employees. In France, the CVTS is carried out and analysed by Céreq, in consultation with the Directorate for Research, Studies and Statistics of the Ministry of Labour (DARES) and INSEE, the French national statistical institute.

Eurostat has validated and recently made available the aggregated national indicators derived from these surveys. They provide the basis for putting together a preliminary overview of continuing training in Europe.

policies advocated by the European Union with the aim of promoting continuing training for employees in the countries making least use of training (European Social Fund, European Regional Development Fund). What is more, this convergence between European countries in the rate of employee access to training is as pronounced in smaller enterprises (10 to 49 employees) as it is in larger ones.

Over and above these broad trends, some countries stand out by virtue of their specific trajectories (cf. map below). Thus Belgium and Luxembourg are the only countries where training expectancy, which was already high in 1999, has increased still further since then. Furthermore the countries that have seen the sharpest rises in training expectancy in the form of courses since 1999 are Portugal (from 7 to 17 hours per employee) and Romania (from 3 to 15 hours). These two countries seem to have replaced longer taught training courses with shorter courses or other forms of training.

The countries in which training expectancy in the form of courses has declined the most are Sweden (from 18 to 11 hours per employee and per year) and the UK (from 13 to 8 hours). These two countries seem to have replaced longer taught training courses with shorter courses or other forms of training.

While employee access to continuing training varies considerably from one group of EU countries to another, levels of training provision are, nevertheless, tending to converge, with the countries providing the least training catching up with those providing the most.