



HAL
open science

Reforms in the University of Dar es Salaam: Facts and Figures

Olivier Provini

► **To cite this version:**

Olivier Provini. Reforms in the University of Dar es Salaam: Facts and Figures. *Les cahiers d'Afrique de l'Est*, 2012, 45, pp.77-86. halshs-00856720

HAL Id: halshs-00856720

<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-00856720>

Submitted on 31 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Reforms in the University of Dar es Salaam: Facts and Figures¹

Olivier PROVINI

PhD student in political science²

The integration of African higher education systems in a multipolar world has been shaped through global economic forces, the rapid growth in communication and the action of international organizations. Since the end of the 1980s, African universities have been faced with demand pressure for higher education with limited funds because of economic crisis and the reorientation of governmental policies on promotion of primary education within the framework of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). African universities have also been confronted to the imperatives of structural reforms to implement new international standards, symbols of their integration into a competitive global system. The model of the university developed right after Independence, based upon the articulation between the state and its public institution, is vanishing.

Through its “socialist” initial path and its adaptation to the market economy in the mid-1980s, the University of Dar es Salaam (UDSM), the historical, oldest and biggest university in Tanzania is a notable model of evolution of public policy in a neoliberal context. UDSM was first established in 1961 as a college of the University of London. In 1963, it became a constituent college of the University of East Africa. A clear division of labour was introduced: Makerere was a pre-eminent institution in East Africa, with an established strength in medicine and great reputation in Liberal Arts and Agriculture; Nairobi concentrated on Engineering and Business; and the college of Dar es Salaam specialized in Law and Development studies. UDSM became an independent national university in July 1970 (Cooksey *et al.*, 2003 : 1).

In this paper, I examine the impact of budgetary restrictions on reforms introduced in the 1990’s. This approach based upon quantitative data deals with the articulation between state

¹ A large part of this paper issues from a chapter published in French (Provini, 2012).

² University of Pau (UPPA, France) and linked with two research institutions: *Les Afriques dans le Monde* (LAM, France) and the French Institute for Research in Africa (IFRA-Nairobi, Kenya).

and external donors investments to understand how, finally, a new higher education market get structured.

The process of the university reforms

In the 1980-1990's, the government of Tanzania initiated some global reforms to answer political and economical crises (Kelsall, 2002). The education sector in the country was then facing major constraints arising out of economic hardships: the war against Idi Amin Dada's Uganda, the sharp increase in prices of petroleum products and the drastic fall in volume and prices of agricultural product exports (Luhanga, 2009 : 43). The higher education sector itself was confronted to a serious financial crisis in terms of both recurrent and capital development budgets. For instance, the number of government fellowships available was very limited. The public system of higher education was caught between these declining governmental revenues and a growing demand from secondary sector.

The Tanzanian state was hugely dependent on international aids and consequently on their "recommendations", which focused on the central role of primary education in national development. From 1986, the World Bank put forth in a series of policy papers an array of concrete recommendations to change universities. The central idea was based on the controversial argument that the rate of return on investment in higher education was much lower than in primary education: "*Within the education sector, however, there is evidence that higher education investments have lower social rates of return than investments in primary and secondary education... In these countries, the Bank's involvement in higher education will continue to be mainly to make its financing more equitable and cost-effective, so that primary and secondary education can receive increased attention at the margin*" (World Bank, 1994 : 84-85). Between 1992 and 1998, 61% of the Tanzanian budget for education went to primary education and only 21% to higher and technical institutions.

The sharing of the budget for education sector, 1992-1998 (%)

	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Primary education	51	52	63	65	67	67	62
Secondary education	15	14	10	7	7	9	7
Teaching of teachers	5	4	3	2	2	3	2
Higher and technical institutions	20	23	20	21	20	17	24
Administrations and others	9	7	5	5	4	5	5

References: Kimambo *et al.*, 2008 : 234 ; Oxford Policy Management, 2000 : 8

During the same period, UDSM embarked upon major transformations through the implementation of financial and managerial reforms. This process led to the adoption of the Institutional Transformation Programme (ITP), the university's reform guidelines, in 1991. The rationale of this programme was that university infrastructures, governance and curricula did not match the needs of society and market. The role of the university, fixed in the 1960-1970's, appeared to be in contradiction with new political, social and economic realities (Luhanga *et al.*, 2003 : 136-151).

The adoption of the ITP followed different steps. In the 1988-1989 academic years, the Faculty of Engineering of the University of Dar es Salaam undertook a major review of its activities. The School wanted to stop brain drain at the Faculty, improve professional productivity and teachers' wages, enhance linkages with market and expand research and postgraduate training (Luhanga, 2003 : 1). This review resulted in the reorganisation of the Faculty's organisational structure, including the setting up of new units which can be considered as pioneers in UDSM reform process. At the same time, the central administration of the university prepared a report, the Management Effectiveness Review. This report synthesized all previous studies and recommendations concerning university dysfunction (esp. governance, infrastructure and budgetary difficulties). Discussions were concluded in 1991 with the adoption of ITP, also referred to as "UDSM 2000" (Luhanga, 2003 : 2). A committee, called the Program Steering Committee (PSC), was set up to manage the transformation program. It was aimed at creating quantitative data to evaluate lacks within the university and organizing some debates with internal and external actors around the drafting

of the Corporate Strategic Plan (CSP). This document covered all the aspects of the institution, from the basic concepts of higher education to aspirations, goals, objectives and the philosophy upon which the envisaged reform process was to be built (Cooksey *et al.*, 2003 : 15-16).

The reinstating of cost-sharing policy and the status of public institution

In response to its financial crisis, UDSM turned to private revenues. The policy of cost-sharing, whereby costs are shared by governments, parents and students, was prioritized as the main source of private revenues. The policy of cost-sharing in higher education was not new to Tanzania. It existed during the colonial period and post-independence Tanzania until 1967 (Baroin & Constantin, 1999 ; Crozon, 1996 ; Martin, 1998). During the colonial period and even after independence, students in higher education institutions paid tuition fees. In 1967, the Tanzanian government decided to grant scholarships to all students admitted to UDSM, which was then the only public university. Cost-sharing in higher education sector was officially reinstated in January 1992. The policy was implemented in three phases. In phase 1 (1992-1993), students and parents were required to pay transportation, application registration, entry examination and student union fees; in phase 2 (1993-1994), they had to pay for food and accommodation only; in the last phase (2004-2005), they were required to pay tuition and examination fees, books, stationary costs and medical insurance. The notion of “producer responsiveness” is premised on the assumption that cost-sharing through tuition fees and other modalities will make students and their families more discerning consumers and universities more cost-conscious providers (Ishengoma, 2004 : 104). The other argument is grounded on the idea that it induces greater independence for the university structure and actors, as they do not depend exclusively on government budget. Despite the existence of privately sponsored programs, such student admissions have remained very low. In 2002, privately sponsored students on the main campus of UDSM numbered only 13%. Compared to the Makerere University success story of privately sponsored students (Mamdani, 2007), the Tanzanian policy is a failure.

The enrolment of private students, 2002-2004

	2002	2003	2004
Main campus	945	1 451	2 142
UCLAS	48	54	63
MUCHS	182	197	212
Total	1 175	1 702	2 417

Reference: UDSM, 2005 : 43

Despite the financial crisis, especially between 1991-1994, the study of UDSM budgetary evolution shows an important increase in funding allocated by the government: from 7,797,373 US\$ in 1987-1988 to 16,544,895 US\$ in 2004-2005. Though rising, this investment is nonetheless insufficient compared to the increasing number of students enrolled. In other words, there is a manifest inadequacy of the budget to match the needs of the university, all the more so as UDSM is compelled to create new faculties and curricula to answer an increased demand for higher education. The decrease in the amount allocated by the Tanzanian government to one student clearly reveals this inadequacy: from 2,697 US\$ in 1987-1988, it dropped to 1,362 US\$ in 2004-2005.

Evolution of student and staff enrolments compared with budget allocated by the government, 1987-2005³

Year	Budget allocated by the government (US\$)	Student population	Budget allocated by the government for one student (US\$)
1987	7 797 373	2 891	2 697
1988	8 070 521	2 743	2 942
1989	9 161 054	2 839	3 227
1990	10 436 304	-	-
1991	15 025 497	2 801	5 364
1992	10 930 898	2 992	3 653
1993	8 336 914	2 968	2 809
1994	7 810 904	3 869	2 019
1995	8 356 847	4 291	1 948
1996	10 778 142	4 589	2 349
1997	9 685 495	5 220	1 855
1998	11 493 644	5 483	2 096
1999	12 427 426	6 318	1 967
2000	16 754 605	7 089	2 363
2001	13 935 690	7 493	1 860
2002	15 391 693	9 233	1 667
2003	16 689 547	9 769	1 708
2004	16 544 895	12 144	1 362

References: UDSM, 2005 : 43 ; Cooksey *et al.*, 2003 : 8 ; UDSM, 2000 : 23-24 ; Luhanga *et al.*, 2003 : 112 ; Kimambo *et al.*, 2008 : 235

A hybrid model of scholarship and student loan is currently in place. Since the academic year 2002-2003, the government has imposed quotas for scholarships which cover all university fees. The system of student loans, introduced in 2005-2006 to partly cover tuition fees, other academic fees, room and board, finances the great majority of student in B.A. At the end of their studies, students have to repay their loans but many do not. This situation produces considerable economic damage for the state. On 24th and 25th December 2009, the governmental institution HESLB (Higher Education Students' Loans Board), which coordinates loans of students, engaged a great advertising campaign in the national press. Around 30 000 students, over 48 000 since fifteen years, did not recover their loans, namely a damage estimated at 32 millions US\$ by the Ministry of Higher Education. The students' names were published in several newspapers through an official press release. The director of the communication of HESLB, M. Samson Mwaisoba, declared that the "cheating students" had to recover their loans as quickly as possible. In fact, students had to draw the authorities'

³ UDSM was closed in 1990.

attention to begin procedures of recovering. Several sanctions (as academic and banking) were set up to encourage students to start applications. The Tanzanian government wishes to better follow refunds of borrowers (Provini, 2012).

The main role of donors

Since the beginning of official development assistance, the education sector is a main area of intervention of the international community (Vinokur, 1987). This investment fluctuates with dominant economic thinking. Although in the 1980-1990's, the top priority was lending for primary and secondary education rather than for higher education, two World Bank documents in the 2000's show a radical turn in higher education in Africa and is now giving emphasis to universities across the continent (World Bank, 2000 & 2002 ; Brock-Utne, 2003 : 27). The budget allocated by external donors is fundamental for the university operations. At UDSM, between 1998 and 2004, the university received around 71 millions US\$ in bilateral aid, especially from the Swedish International Development Agency/Swedish Agency for Research Co-operation (29 918 588 US\$), the Joint Financing Programme for Co-operation in Higher Education from Netherlands (14 012 456 US\$) and the Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (9 766 521 US\$). In 1998, funds allocated by external donors represented 46% of UDSM's budget and 36% in 2004.

Budget allocated by external donors, 1998-2004 (US\$)

External donors	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total
SIDA/SAREC	3 785 714	3 989 571	4 685 714	4 450 400	4 166 000	2 831 189	6 010 000	29 918 588
MHO/NPT	1 241 472	2 148 438	2 148 438	2 363 281	3 286 964	2 823 863	-	14 012 456
NORAD	2 682 851	1 185 641	906 500	1 241 529	1 250 000	1 250 000	1 250 000	9 766 521
VLIR	643 789	601 643	613 514	613 513	339 772	-	-	2 812 231
NUFU	475 844	500 138	931 804	836 711	755 344	423 257	701 699	4 624 797
CARNIEGE	-	-	1 964 410	1 288 848	1 237 742	1 856 751	1 207 022	7 554 773
Autres	1 011 942	1 010 800	360 228	-	-	-	-	2 382 970
Total	9 841 612	9 436 231	11 610 608	10 794 282	11 035 822	9 185 060	9 168 721	71 072 336

References: Luhanga *et al.*, 2003 : 113 ; Cooksey *et al.*, 2003 : 8 and 32 ; UDSM, 2000 & 2005 : 83 and 91-93

Funds allocated by external donors in UDSM budget, 1998-2004 (US\$)

Year	Budget allocated by the government	Budget allocated by external donors	Total	Percentage of funds allocated by external donors in budget (%)
1998	11 493 644	9 841 612	21 335 256	46
1999	12 427 426	9 436 231	21 863 657	43
2000	16 754 605	11 610 608	28 365 213	41
2001	13 935 690	10 794 282	24 729 972	44
2002	15 391 693	11 035 822	26 427 515	42
2003	16 689 547	9 185 060	25 874 607	35
2004	16 544 895	9 168 721	25 713 616	36

If the government is the main investor in UDSM's budget, it drastically reduced its investment in the research sector. Research at the university has long been strongly subsidized by the Tanzanian state (Harrison *et al.*, 2009), but since the 2000s, the research sector has mainly been financed by external public organisms. External agencies contribute up to 99% to the university research sector in 2000-2005.

Budget in research sector at the UDSM, 1999-2005 (US\$)

Year	Budget allocated by the government for research sector	Budget allocated by donors for research sector	Others	Total	Percentage of funds allocated by donors in budget of research sector (%)
1999	526 529	2 306 958	0	2 833 488	81
2000	12 580	2 519 871	0	2 532 451	99
2001	10 395	1 832 944	0	1 843 339	99
2002	11 747	1 120 230	535 285	1 667 262	99
2003	12 861	2 178 537	399 743	2 591 141	99
2004	13 163	2 822 569	285 830	3 121 562	99

Reference: UDSM, 2005 : 54

Donors invested in staff training, scholarships, infrastructures and the research sector. Relations between donors and UDSM can be developed through two channels, either by direct relations between an external agency and a faculty, or by indirect relations between donors and the university central administration. In this case, it is the university, with agreement of a given donor, which reallocates money to several faculties. These modalities of financing

reveal the unequal market-attractiveness of faculties. The university report titled “Self-Evaluation of the Sida/SAREC Bilateral Research Cooperation Programme 1998-2008” shows that social sciences are the ‘losers’ of such investments (UDSM, 2007 : 43-63). Moreover, the key transformation brought about by external funding is the withdrawal of the government in the research sector. This huge dependence can generate perverse effects for curricula but, more generally, is a danger for research, which is dependent on internal reorganisations of these organisms. For instance, the Norway embassy (Havnevik & Isinika, 2010 : 57-70), through its agency NORAD/Oslo, recently reduced its investments, especially in humanities departments, for the reason that its development strategy now focuses on climate and energy. NORAD/Oslo has now developed a partnership with another state university, Sokoine University of Agriculture (SUA), in Morogoro. This new configuration directly impacts on the global functioning of faculties, and more generally, on the university. Furthermore, Sida/SAREC support accounts for about fifty per cent of the donor support. This support has lasted for over thirty years. For UDSM, the challenge is to ensure that Sida/SAREC maintains, increases and diversifies its support. This interference is harmful to the independence and autonomy of the university in the short- and mid-term.

With the reducing of state investment in university research, a main evolution is the increase of consultancy practices. One ITP priority was the development of relations between academic staff with donors and society through consultancies. Currently consultancy is becoming one priority of teachers’ missions of teachers and UDSM’s functions, as stipulated in the strategic plan for the period 2003-2008: “*to be a producer and supplier of key policy makers, experts and personal for national development*” (Lawi, 2008 : 45 ; Luhanga *et al.*, 2003 : 106-107). Consultancy is an important condition for teachers’ careers advancement. Though the economic factor is an obvious reason (to improve their wages), consultancies can also ease UDSM staff’s research conditions, especially for publications. At UDSM, these projects increased from 308 in 1999-2000 to 531 in 2003-2004.

Project of consultancies, 1999-2003⁴

Year	Project of consultancies
1999	308
2000	447
2001	487
2002	403
2003	531

Reference: UDSM, 2005 : 58

Conclusion

The university reforms implement during in the 1990-2000's have created a new market in which students, teachers, faculties, public and private universities are in competition (Ishengoma, 2004). The government liberalized the provision of higher education in 1995-1996⁵ with the establishment of private higher education institutions. In the academic year 2006-2007, students in private universities represented 24% (or 12,410 students) of the total enrolment (51,652 students) in all universities in Tanzania. As one can see, UDSM is transforming into a service provider, where students are consumers and teachers are considered experts (Charton & Owuor, 2008 : 115) and an instrument for external agents, and where knowledge is a commodity.

References

Baroin, Catherine & Constantin, François. *La Tanzanie contemporaine*. Paris: Karthala, 1999.

Brock-Utne, Birgit. "Formulating Higher Education Policies in Africa: The Pressure from External Forces and the Neoliberal Agenda." *JHEA/RESA* 1(2003): 24-56.

⁴ The main partners are the World Bank, SIDA/SAREC, DANIDA, UNICEF, NORAD, the African Development Co-operation, Overseas Development Council, AIDS/CAP, UNDP, ILO, WHO, FINNIDA, CIDA, IDRC, USAID, UNFPA, the Royal Netherlands Embassy, FAO, the African Development Bank, SWISSAID, EU, UNESCO, USIS, OSSREA and the CODESRIA.

⁵ In 1996, six private institutions were opened: the Hubert Kairuki Memorial University, the International Medical and Technological University, the St. Augustine University of Tanzania, the Kilimanjaro Christian Medical College, the Makumira University College and the Iringa University College.

Charton, Hélène & Owuor, Samuel. "De l'intellectuel à l'expert. Les sciences sociales africaines dans la tourmente : le cas du Kenya." *Revue internationale d'éducation de Sèvres*, 49(2008): 107-119.

Cooksey, Brian & Levey, Lisabeth & Mkude, Daniel. *Higher Education in Tanzania: a Case Study*. Dar es Salaam:University of Dar es Salaam Press, 2003.

Crozon, Ariel. "Maneno wa kisiasa, les mots du politique en Tanzanie." *Politique africaine* 64(1996): 18-30.

Harrison, Graham & Mulley, Sarah & Holtom, Duncan. "Tanzania: A Genuine Case of Recipient Leadership in the Aid System?" In *The Politics of Aid: African Strategies for Dealing with Donors*, ed. Whitfield, Lindsay, 271-298. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.

Havnevik, Kjell & Isinika, Aida C. (ed.). *Tanzania in Transition: From Nyerere to Mkapa*. Dar es Salaam:Mkuki na Nyota, 2010.

Ishengoma, Johnson. "Cost-Sharing in Higher Education in Tanzania: Fact or Fiction?" *JHEA/RESA* 2(2004): 101-133.

Kelsall, Tim. "Shop Windows and Smoke-Filled Rooms: Governance and the Re-Politicisation of Tanzania." *Journal of Modern African Studies* 40(2002): 597-619.

Kimambo, Isaria N. & Mapunda, Bertram B. B. & Lawi, Yusufu Q. *In Search of Relevance: A History of the University of Dar es Salaam*. Dar es Salaam:Dar es Salaam University Press, 2008.

Luhanga, Matthew. L. "L'expérience de la Tanzanie dans l'initiation et le maintien des réformes dans l'enseignement supérieur." Working paper, 2003.

—*The Courage for Change. Re-Engineering the University of Dar es Salaam*. Dar es Salaam:Dar es Salaam University Press, 2009.

Luhanga, Matthew L. & Mkude, Daniel J. & Mbwette, Tolly S. A. & Chijoriga, Marcellina M. & Ngirwa, Cleoplace A. *Higher Education Reforms in Africa: The University of Dar es Salaam Experience*. Dar es Salaam: Dar es Salaam University Press, 2003.

Mamdani, Mahmood. *Scholars in the Marketplace: The Dilemmas of Neo-Liberal Reform at Makerere University (1989-2005)*. Dakar: CODESRIA, 2007.

Martin, Denis-Constant. *Nouveaux langages du politique en Afrique orientale*. Paris: Karthala & IFRA, 1998.

Oxford Policy Management. *Education Sector Public Expenditure Review. Final Draft Report*. Dar es Salaam, 2000.

Provini, Olivier. “Les réformes à l’Université de Dar es Salaam : l’établissement d’un nouveau marché de l’enseignement supérieur.” In ed. *Universités, universitaires en Afrique de l’Est*, Bugwabari, Nicodème & Cazenave-Piarrot, Alain & Provini, Olivier & Thibon, Christian, 275-298. Paris: Karthala, 2012.

UDSM. *Facts and Figures*. Dar es Salaam: Dar es Salaam University Press, 2000.

— *UDSM Five-Year Rolling Strategic Plan 2005/2006 – 2009/2010, Vol. 1: Main Document*. Dar es Salaam: Dar es Salaam University Press, 2005.

— “Self-Evaluation of the Sida/SAREC Bilateral Research Cooperation Programme 1998-2008.” Working paper, 2007.

Vinokur, Annie. “La Banque mondiale et les politiques d’ajustement scolaire dans les pays en voie de développement.” *Tiers-Monde* 28(1987): 119-134.

World Bank. *The Lessons of Experience*. Washington: World Bank, 1994.

— *Higher Education in Developing Countries: Peril and Promise*. Washington: World Bank, 2000.

— *Constructing Knowledge Societies: New Challenge for Tertiary Education*. Washington: World Bank, 2002.