Male Midwives in France: An Example of Masculinizing a "Feminine" Profession?

Philippe Charrier

► To cite this version:

Philippe Charrier. Male Midwives in France: An Example of Masculinizing a "Feminine" Profession?. Culture, Society & Masculinities, 2011, 3 (2), pp.103-123. 10.3149/CSM.0302.103 . halshs-00662536

HAL Id: halshs-00662536

<https://shs.hal.science/halshs-00662536>

Submitted on 26 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PROOFING INSTRUCTIONS FOR MEN'S STUDIES PRESS PUBLICATIONS

Using Adobe Reader X. Authors are requested to indicate eligible changes to their proofs by using Reader X, the current (2010) version of Adobe's freely available reader software. Reader X enables users to annotate PDF documents with Sticky Notes and Highlighter tools. Authors can also use current or previous versions of Adobe Acrobat Pro, which provides further editing functionality.

For authors with no access to Acrobat Pro, see (1) below first, otherwise skip to (2):

(1). Update your current version of Adobe Acrobat Reader by accessing the program and select under Help > Check For Updates Now, or download and install the current version by visiting <http://get.adobe.com/reader>.

(For help with different operating systems and languages, refer to <http://get.adobe.com/reader/otherversions>. For general reference, refer to <http://www.adobe.com/products/reader/faq.html>).

(2). Open the proof provided per attachment in Acrobat X, and go over it carefully. Consider that only minor changes can be accommodated at this stage. Please also note your text may show interventions at the editor or typesetter level. Page numbers and DOI are provisional.

- Double check whether all references in the reference section occur in the text, and vice versa.
- Also carefully check "submatter" (correspondence info and affiliations) on the title page, as well as use of headers, non-Roman characters, footnotes, figures, and tables.
- Any queries we have are marked in red/yellow marker and/or PDF post-its. Please respond to all of these even where agreed on by opening the "Comment" panel on the right, double clicking the sticky note, and editing it.
- Indicate corrections directly in the PDF using sticky notes, and marker tool if needed. Sticky notes should be inserted in proximity of the desired change, and should begin by stating the respective line number. For example, a change pertinent to page 234 line 23 should be indicated at page 234, in the margin proximate to line 23, observing the following syntax: "line23: [change x to y]". The pertinent text can be highlighted for clarity.
- Further queries can be inserted where eligible, again using sticky notes.
- Save and send back the annotated proof to diederikjanssen@gmail.com

MEN'S STUDIES PRESS, LLC
PO Box 32
HARRIMAN, TN 37748 USA
WWW.MENSSTUDIES.COM
423-369-2375 (PHONE)
423-369-1125 (FAX)

MALE MIDWIVES IN FRANCE: AN EXAMPLE OF MASCULINIZING A “FEMININE” PROFESSION?

ABSTRACT Of 19,208 midwives polled in France in 2010, 349 were men. Based on interviews from a selected group of male midwives and midwifery students, as well as data from a recent study of the midwife profession in France, this study shows that the masculinity brought to this profession by male practitioners can be qualified as “respective masculinity.” This theoretical perspective permits a broader consideration of the existing models that explain male presence in non-traditional professions. These models describe their presence as either a power struggle, a means by which to be promoted, or even as a possible questioning of male identity. Male midwives assert their masculinity by seeking autonomy in their work. They are able to work around the obstacle of showing empathy to female patients, typically considered a gendered predisposition. The case of male midwives shows the dynamics of masculinization when taking into account socio-professional contexts.

KEYWORDS GENDER STUDIES, MALE MIDWIVES, MASCULINIZATION, SOCIOLOGY OF PROFESSIONS, FRANCE

This article describes the observation of a rather unexpected evolution for a Western country such as France, namely the presence of men in the midwife profession. From a statistical point of view, this phenomenon remains very marginal, with our recent poll only counting 345 men (1.8%) of approximately 19,208 midwives (Sicard, 2010). All the same, for reasons primarily based on an evolution in midwife recruitment, it is a likely possibility that their numbers are increasing. The arrival of men in this profession could be interpreted as a developing form of masculinization in one of the most characteristically feminine professions. If this is the case, in what ways is this masculinization apparent? Building on research of male presence in nontraditional occupations, male midwives offer a contemporary example of masculinization taking place in a pro-

* Centre Max Weber, CNRS/University of Lyon, France.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Philippe Charrier, Centre Max Weber, CNRS/University of Lyon, Institut des Sciences de l’Homme, 14, avenue Berthelot, 69363 Lyon cedex 07, France. Email: philippe.charrier@ish-lyon.cnrs.fr

fession strongly associated with the feminine gender. This is a phenomenon, studied below, that is beginning to develop in Europe (Charrier, 2004, 2007; Molinier, 1999; Philippe, 2008) if not around the world.

BACKGROUND: THE SOCIOLOGY OF MASCULINITY

One can approach the question of masculinization by considering the social construction of masculinity in a given context. Conceptualization of this field of research is still in process. The sociology of masculinity has shown that social constructions of the male gender are changeable. For Connell (1995), as well as for Collinson and Hearn (1996), masculinity is diverse and complex. Consequently, one must speak of *masculinities*. Other forms of masculinity exist alongside "hegemonic masculinity" (Connell, 2000), the culturally exalted form of masculinity guaranteeing the dominant position of men. Recent research has studied the complexity of masculinity and the ambiguity—even fluidity—of this notion in a "post-structural" perspective (Connell, 2000).

This element of fluidity is what French commentators have highlighted. Going beyond the structural position that underlines masculine dominance (Bourdieu, 1998), Welzer-Lang traces contemporary modifications, sketching a complexly changing masculinity (2004). Similarly, Castelain-Meunier observes a "masculine poly-culture" and the emergence of a "modern man" looking to distance itself from "defensive masculinity" which "is unsatisfied in its aspirations for equality, does not respond to their efforts to separate from the stranglehold of their fathers, to their perception of women, their idea of relations with women and children, nor to the numerous practices they seek to innovate" (2005, p. 41). These works echo numerous other statements, such as the conclusions at which Galbraith arrives, based on his research of elementary teachers (1992). He observes men who have a "transformed identity," a masculine identity that authorizes the rejection of some masculine character traits while maintaining others. This is also what Holyoake observes in his study of psychiatric nurses who are themselves examples of "soft masculinity" (2002). In short, there exist fringe masculinities developed by men favoring careers or professions typically seen as feminine.

The male midwives participating in this study may be part of this movement. The condition of masculinity in flux lead certain men to consider career choices categorized as feminine. In such cases, questions arise concerning men's gender identity, because it is potentially challenged. Men must adjust their gender identity to their professional situation. Connell (1995) emphasizes that men might consider this situation as a type of downgrade. Given that female-coded professions and careers often have in common the fact that they have low qualifications, a subordinate rank in the symbolic hierarchy of activities, and are seen as closely tied to domestic tasks in terms of actualized capacities, men scrutinize strong male investment in such careers for fear of being considered too feminine. It is known that women's entering into traditionally masculine careers also lead to men's rigid and virile behavior (Cockburn, 1991). Research shows that in atypical situations, men choose to develop values and attitudes that are characteristically (traditionally) masculine (Chusmir, 1990; Jome &

Toker, 1998). The fear of being “downgraded” pushes men to a personal investment of values and attitudes that are clearly classified as masculine, even as typical of heightened virility.

BACKGROUND: MEN IN NON-TRADITIONAL OCCUPATIONS

Presence of men in non-traditional careers has been conceptualized in very different ways in theories that go beyond perceptions of identity and the social construction of gender. In keeping with the belief that domination is central, Williams (1989) shows substantial benefits of this situation for men, notably with respect to internal hierarchy. She defends the notion of the “glass escalator.” Men guarantee themselves a high profitability in their career advancements, which leads in turn to holding dominant positions in these careers. This dynamic entails side-benefits for men that have traditionally feminine careers. One observes a two-fold advantage: men work in fields of specialization that plays to their strengths, and are also typically better financially compensated. Angeloff and Arborio (2001), discussing the case of cleaning agencies, reveal the strategies used by some men working in this supposedly feminine career as they strive to build an ideal niche for themselves, thus making their career more satisfying. Men succeed in monopolizing more technical tasks, that is to say, the tasks that offer the most assurance of escaping an undervalued or submissive position.

In the domain of conjugal and familial advice, Philippe (2008) shows that the few men present obtain numerous benefits regarding career development, recognition of their abilities, and choice of their field of work. Moreover, if these benefits are judged to be too restricted, they can change their professional orientation. This tends to support the belief that most men who have dedicated themselves to non-traditionally male careers do so for strategic purposes and in the likelihood of obtaining many advantages.

Some authors have tried to classify these strategies by pursuing more nuanced considerations of the dimension of power. In a study based on several cases of men working in typically feminine careers and examining the identity challenges this poses, Cross and Bagihole (2000) conclude on three scenarios: the maintenance of traditional masculine values and consequently of masculine power; the reconstruction of masculine identity leading to a weakening of said power; and finally, a combination of these two possibilities. The authors state that masculine power and its potential weakening coexist. Simpson (2004), building on the work of Williams and Willemez (1993), also shows that there are three types of actors: “seekers,” men that have voluntarily chosen to integrate into a feminine profession; “finders,” men that adopt such a profession without considering it as a career; and “settlers,” professionally unsatisfied men issued from other, generally masculine, professions who permanently settle into such a career. If it seems that initial research underscored the importance of strategic and power relations, more recent findings nuance this structural position regarding the analysis of changing social construction of masculinities.

The situation of men in healthcare professions has been well-researched in France, as in Anglo-Saxon countries. These studies typically report on male

nurses and of male primary school teachers, more seldom on careers like home-maker aides or child minders. These care-based fields are all associated with psychological and social care, as well as with working relationships with patients. Acknowledgement concerning men in such fields is often varied. Vilbrod and Douget (2008) demonstrate a logic of segmentation (Bucher & Strauss, 1992) valid for male private practice nurses in France, given that the latter often feel that they are not doing the same work as their salaried colleagues. Female private practice nurses, on the other hand, do not typically share this sentiment: "being a private practitioner is a synonym for autonomy, liberty, and responsibility" (p. 281). This logic was also identified by Angeloff and Arborio (2001): men, once settled and assigned either by institution or as requested by their female colleagues, or even by their own initiative, take on tasks typically classified as masculine, such as handling of materials. The authors put "at the forefront, evidence of sex-based segmentation in the areas where one would have thought that it was not an option, considering the history of these careers and their lack of social appreciation" (2001, p. 12). In Ireland, Loughrey (2007) notes that if nurses maintain close ties with classical masculine traits, they also show characteristics culturally defined as feminine.

Studies of male midwives are all but nonexistent. Only Bagihole and Cross (2000) address the case of the male midwife. The authors, concentrating on obstacles encountered by the gender minority, put the male midwife in a "mixed" category. The male midwife positions himself in competition with his female colleagues, which is proof that he has not totally relinquished their masculine power, all the while keeping a distance from definitive masculine traits. Nevertheless, this might be generalizing the situation.

Despite of undoubted significance, these theoretical patterns are limited when taking into consideration the presence of men and the masculinization of the midwife profession, indeed for two reasons. On the one hand, men entered into the field after professional evolutions that affected all French midwives. Most of the studies referenced here do not take into consideration the professional dimension; their arguments start from the point of view of men issued from professional heterogeneous backgrounds. On the other hand, this masculinization importantly refers to the concept of empathy, as a supposed handicap. Female midwives have always claimed to have a predisposition or specific ability to "put themselves in the place of the women in labor," because they are female. They supposedly know best how to accompany women through the birthing experience. Empathy is a veritable "gender capacity" (Schweyer, 1996), a capacity acquired through feminine socialization and the lived experience of giving birth. This empathy is presumed despite the fact that many midwives, especially the youngest ones, practice without having given birth themselves. The latter essentially occupy the same position as male midwives, except that they are attributed a maternal power (Jacques, 2007). Empathy must also be understood as a widespread social representation, historically tying women to the role of accompaniment (Gélis, 1984, 1988; Knibiehler & Fouquet, 1977). The capacity for empathy, then, remains a criterion in the evaluation of the work of midwives, even where not explicitly discussed.

METHODOLOGY

This article is based on research conducted on male midwives in France, and took place in two steps. In 2003, we questioned 100 male midwives via mailed questionnaires; 62 responded at the time. This step had several goals. We needed to better define the characteristics of the social demographic, as well as determine elements specific with regard to professional practice. Afterward, we conducted thirteen detailed, semi-structured interviews from the pool of respondents. During this phase, we were more interested in their professional experience than in their personal experience. We were therefore able to collect data about their socialization, the way they show empathy, the reasons for their professional decisions, and the reactions of those around them regarding their decision. These interviews were supported by an additional ten interviews previously conducted with students of the midwifery school in Grenoble; these interviews were specifically concerned with professional decision motivations. Finally, interventions in several midwifery schools, contacts with the National Order of Midwives (Ordre National des Sages-Femmes), and participation in the Society of the History of Birth's work, allowed us to familiarize ourselves with French midwifery's professional environment.

The presently defended points of view also required access to statistical data. The Order of Midwives has its own professional listing, but this source proved to be inefficient since the available data at times proved out of date. In order to have the most precise information concerning the number of practicing male and female midwives, we used statistical data from the Direction of Research, Studies, Evaluation and Statistics (DREES, Ministry of Health). The present article equally benefited from the results of a study led by the author from 2009 to 2010 based on French midwives. This study established a global view of the profession and compiled the principle issues facing the 811 midwives (4.3% of the midwife population) studied via questionnaire. The results, in sum, reflected a representative sample of the total population of midwives and allowed comparative considerations of the reception of men in the midwife profession.¹

THE MIDWIFE PROFESSION IN FRANCE

The midwife profession is regulated. It has an independent Professional Order since 1995, when there were no longer any gynecologist or obstetrician members. The work of a midwife differs from that of an obstetrician² by the

¹ The results have not yet been published, but a synopsis can be consulted (Charrier, 2011).

² A note concerning language: "male midwives" (*hommes sages-femmes*) is only used in French as concerns men who are practicing midwives, while in English this terminology (including the term "men-midwives") is occasionally used to designate delivery doctors (*médecins*), notably those who in the 18th compete with midwives during delivery. We will call them obstetricians (*obstétriciens*).

division of work between “eutocic” birth and “pathological” birth. In reality, it is not so rare that midwives would intervene in a pathological delivery, hence today this is not an operating distinction. Medicalized interventions are increasing due to the current focus on obstetrical risks, and they determine the professional practices of midwives (Carricaburu, 2005).

French midwives work primarily in hospitals (76%), most practice in the public sector (75%), while the remaining 25% work in private institutions. The level of medical care provided at time of birth varies (anesthesia, epidural, cesarean, forceps-facilitated, episiotomy, etc.) but the practice of non-medicalized delivery is limited to home deliveries, which is a marginal practice.³ A minority of midwives (17%) works in private practices, either individually or with a group. This type of practice has been on the rise for the past ten years. The vast majority of these midwives do not actually do deliveries, since they rarely have access to a maternity ward. As such, the majority of private practice midwives undertake pre- and post-natal care. Other midwives are spread out among instructors in midwifery schools, in Mother and Child Protection services (Protection Maternelle et Infantile), as salaried employees administering State and other public services. The latter offers services to women in social and/or financial difficulty.

Medical schools include midwife training, but midwife schools are becoming increasingly independent. Midwife instructors supervise the training of a class of students and intervene on the same level as professors specialized in obstetrics, gynecology, anatomy, law, sanitation, and social sciences. Training is alternated with clinical training, mostly in public hospital. The duration of training increased from 3 to 4 years in 1984. It currently takes 5 years to complete, after obtaining a high school diploma, because it is now obligatory to take a qualifying exam in the first year of medical studies. The average age of students to complete their formation has increased to 24.3 years (Schreiber, 2004), and the age of midwives is also becoming more homogenous, that is, the gap between older and younger (at graduation) is reduced.⁴ The profession has little unemployment; the birth rate has been increasing steadily in France since 2000.⁵

Although the profession is traditionally seen as feminine, it can no longer be said that this is what attracts candidates. In fact, current motivations to enter into the practice have little to do with the feminine dimension. In a study conducted in 2009-2010 based on a representative sample of French midwives, we

³ According to Jacques (2007, p. 163), 1,300 home deliveries took place in France, accounting for roughly 0.16% of births. We exclude home deliveries due to constraints, such as when the women were unable to go to a medical establishment for reasons such as lack of time or lack of means.

⁴ We were able to obtain the average age at time of graduation from a non-published study of midwives (c.f. methodology section). Forty-seven percent of midwives born after 1976 are 24 years old ($SD = 1.8$). For those born before 1976, there are more 23-year-olds, but they only account for 31% of the population ($SD = 2.6$).

⁵ 828,000 births in 2010. The fertility rate per woman is 2.01 children.

Table 1
Distribution of Reasons for Professional Choice

	%
By vocation	20.3
By chance	10.2
Because it's in the medical field	22.4
Due to interest in birth and/or infants	30.1
By default, not having been accepted into medical/pharmacy/other school	6.9
To work in a hospital setting	5.8
To have private practice	1.9
Because it's a feminine profession	2.4
Total	100

were able to determine the reasons for entering professional practice. Despite the popularly held view, midwives who choose midwifery by vocation are the minority, accounting for about 20% in all age groups interviewed. The reasons for this professional choice are divided (Table 1).

The main attraction is interest in birth and/or infants. The medical aspect of the profession is also attractive, especially to the younger generation of midwives. Motivations of male midwives are comparable to those of female midwives. It should be remembered that since academic standards for entering into this field of work are higher than for other professions, personal interest is not always sufficient. Moreover, in France, midwifery is considered to be a medical profession.⁶

Factors Enabling Men to Enter the Profession

The entry of men into midwifery in France is the result of the convergence of several factors. On the one hand, there is the legal dimension: the profession has only become open to men recently. It is not that the practice of midwifery was forbidden, but men were not allowed to follow the training course. That changed when a European directive was passed concerning non-discrimination on grounds of gender in all sectors of professional activity, aimed at guaranteeing women access to all levels of employment.⁷ As a result, the first male midwife got his diploma in 1985.⁸

On the other hand, things were changing in the minds of professional midwives. They wanted more recognition and professional independence in relation to the other childbirth-related professions (gynecology, obstetrics,

⁶ Midwifery is a medical profession recognized by the Public Health Code.

⁷ A European directive at the beginning of the 80s explained the need for non-discrimination on gender grounds in professional life in all EEC member states. French law had to conform to this directive and notably allow men to gain access to the training course in midwifery, because the ban was at that level (May 12, 1982).

⁸ The length of the study used to be three years; currently it is four.

medicine, anesthesiology, and nursing). From the end of the nineties onwards, there was a concerted push toward professionalism (Freidson, 1970). The 2001 strike, which was the profession's first big clash with the government, was enthusiastically supported. It explicitly demanded social recognition of the profession. Midwives from all over the country made a point to differentiate their skills from those of the other natal professionals. Generally, they were looking to increase the range of their responsibilities, for example by being able to prescribe a wider range of medicines. Finally, midwives managed to renegotiate the medical status of their profession thanks to a new system of recruitment. Future midwives have to pass a competitive qualifying exam for the first year of medicine, and no longer just a competitive exam for the College of Midwifery. The field now uses the PCEM1 (Premier Cycle des Etudes Médicales 1ère Année, or First Year Pre-Medical Studies) entrance exam. This is the same exam used by students wishing to enter into medical, midwifery, dental, or chiropractic studies. Thus, there is no longer an entrance exam specific for midwifery.

It is during this period when midwives expressed a desire to "reconquer" the medical field⁹ that men chose to enter into midwifery training. By opening up the recruitment system to students aiming for medical careers, midwives had opened their recruitment base to students who had not previously considered the profession, particularly men. In fact, in every medical school, the students are graded at the end of the qualifying exam. Each of the medical professions is restricted by a *numerus clausus*, a limited number of places. As a result, those students who tested highest have the widest range of choices. Generally, prospective students make their decisions following this hierarchy: doctor, dentistry, massage and physiotherapy, and midwifery. Many students with a similar high school diploma or school profile (Baccalauréat S: in Science and Mathematics) are attracted to a medical career, and will often retake the exam if they fail the first time.¹⁰ Under these conditions, the student aiming for a career in medicine may not score high enough to become a doctor, but high enough for another medical profession such as a midwifery.¹¹ This procedure, which opened the way to get into the profession upside down, immediately gave young men the possibility of becoming midwives.

This selection process became operational in medical schools in France in October 2003. Until this time, male presence was essentially unapparent. After the profession was opened to men, a significant number of those trained in 2003 entered the profession, thanks to information spread via specialized journals. Initially an experimental move, the school of midwifery in Grenoble has opted for coed recruitment since 1992. In hindsight, looking back over more than

⁹ Midwives have had to accept the entry of anesthesiologists and pediatricians into the maternity field over the course of the past two decades.

¹⁰ Repetition of the year is permitted under exceptional circumstances.

¹¹ A student at the top of the list is not restricted by rank. The student has the greatest choice of routes. We met female midwife students who, at the end of the competitive exam could have gone on to study medicine, but who preferred midwifery.

twenty years of students, the school has the unique capability to show that the proportion of men has remained stable; between 1 and 4 per graduation class of 20 students. We can even say that there is not a role reversal in the proportions of men to women in the profession, but rather a continuous yet minor presence of men (Table 2).

Professional Organization of Natal Care in France

Midwifery became an actual profession during the 17th and 18th centuries in Europe (Shorter, 1984). However, since the 18th century, midwives have found themselves in a subordinate position in relation to (male) doctors, who attempted to establish a rational knowledge of delivery and obstetrics (Gelis, 1984). At the same time, midwifery was becoming a livelihood rather than just a function filled by women for the good of, and compensated by, the community. In its modern incarnation, midwifery is concurrent with the appearance of a new player in the field: the obstetrician, ancestor of obstetrician-gynecologists. Men wishing to enter into this field of work progressively transformed their knowledge into an autonomous medical discipline known as obstetrics. Although obstetrics already existed, the field evolved into a renowned scientific discipline that uses a scientific, standardized approach (Schlumbohm, 2002). These new male players in the field eventually took a significant place in most European countries (Donninson, 1977), notably in midwife training. In fact, although the first attempts to professionally train midwives occurred thanks to the work of Mme de Coudray, who was herself a midwife, male obstetricians quickly assumed leadership roles in these schools (Gelis, 1988).

Table 2
Numbers and Proportions of Male Midwives 1990-2008

Year	N° Female midwives	N° Male midwives	% Male midwives
1990	10,705	45	0.42
1995	12,218 ^a	65 ^b	0.53
1996	12,662 ^a	76 ^b	0.60
2001	14,725	95	0.65
2002	15,122	110	0.73
2003	15,684	137	0.87
2004	16,134	156	0.96
2005	16,550	160	0.97
2006	16,995	174	1.02
2007	17,483	200	1.14
2008	17,998	240	1.33

Statistics: DREES

^a Source: French National Council of Gynaecologists-obstetrician: http://www.cngof.asso.fr/d_cohen/coA_03.htm (accessed November 26, 2008) ^b Source: Order of Midwives

This provides a background to the contemporary delegating, or “bundling of tasks” (Hughes 1981), between obstetricians and midwives. Over the years, this arrangement became a tradition: men were responsible for mastering medical and scientific skills including operations, particularly caesareans and forceps use, while women were responsible for accompanying the patients, using so-called “female skills” such as empathy. As a result, once the current profession of midwifery was established, this professional organization of natal care was imposed on midwives. Moreover, women had no official representatives. A professional organization of midwives in France was not founded until the end of World War II. However, male obstetricians ran the Order of Midwives. It was only in 1995 that women took over the leadership role of that Order.

This state of affairs led to numerous feminist-based critiques. Oakley (1980) showed that in the United States, the medicalization of natal care and the increased number of obstetricians since the 18th century has not been accompanied by an increase in guaranteed safety during delivery for either the mother or the infant. The same author shows elsewhere that modern obstetrics is not a guarantee for the health of women in labor (Oakley, 1984). Other studies, adopting Foucault’s point of view (2000[1963]), insist on the association between male constructions of female inferiority and the social construction of birth and delivery pathology. These studies have suggested that, in the name of safety for women, the medicalization of the natal process and the distinction between pathology and physiology have been imposed upon them (Cahill, 2000). In France, midwives can only use prescriptions reserved for normal deliveries (i.e., without predicted complications). The public health code divides deliveries into two categories: “normal” deliveries and those requiring an operation. Even if this division of work appears to be a function of and adapted to the hierarchical organization of work in French hospitals, it is also a way of keeping obstetrical power in the hands of men and doctors (Dagnaud & Mehl, 1988).

FINDINGS

Who Are Male Midwives?

Are we in the presence of men who have had a unique socialization that might explain their non-traditional professional choice? Or are they simply “failed doctors” who managed to enter into the medical profession in a roundabout way and are accepting concessions to their original ambition?

In the course of male socialization, women, particularly mothers, play an important role. However it is difficult to conclude on a “matrilineal” professional affiliation even though two male midwives did have a midwife mother.

I have a unique story. I am a fifth generation midwife. So there is a certain weight of family tradition. And my sister didn’t take over, it’s—it’s more a joke than anything else—it.... No, that’s the legend My mother was a midwife, my grandmother was a midwife, my great-grandmother was a midwife and my great-great-grandmother was a midwife. In fact she was

a nun, what we call a defrocked nun. (Franck, 32 years old, hospital midwife)

Mothers are more present than fathers in the men's life narratives. Mothers seem to be the most sensitive to their sons' career choices, often in a positive way.

My parents are very open. With my mother, there was no problem. She knew a little bit about the field. She's a doctor. She's very focused on reflection, on listening, finally on opening up. For her, there was no problem. (Simon, 25 years old, student)

Fathers are not absent, but they seem to have had little influence over their sons' career choices. They are particularly attentive to the fact that their son's chosen profession permits a rapid, guaranteed integration into the work force. As such, the position of male midwives implies an "open socialization" (Charrier, 2009) in that they achieve equilibrium between masculine and feminine values (Le Feuvre, 2007). This masculine socialization is not a product of devaluing women and stresses positive representations of women. This is observed in their ability to highlight an interest for the mystery and/or magic of delivery and birth. For example, Fabrice respects the "magic of birth" that he experiences in his work, the fact that he "sees the reaction of the parents," and that he "is present at a special moment in the life of a family, the moment when a family becomes a family. At that moment something extraordinary happens, and I think it's wonderful" (Fabrice, 35, hospital midwife).

These men have often been distanced from what is called hegemonic masculinity (Connell, 2000). They more closely identify with "soft masculinity" as previously described in work by Castellain-Meunier (2005), Welzer-Lang (2004), Holyoake (2002), and Galbraith (1992).

Meanwhile, the students who entered the profession via the PCEM1 and therefore have a general knowledge of medicine, demonstrate other forms of awareness. Many confirm their separation with their "medical vocation," because what they experienced in school did not meet their expectations. Many are critical of medical practices that distinguish between the "care" and "cure" provided to patients. However, this does not mean there is a reverse gender-socialization (Mennesson, 2004) in the sense that they also show allegiance to male socialization, especially through participation in sports activities despite the demands of their studies. At this point they show similar comportment as the male nurses interviewed by Loughrey (2007). Facing challenges to their masculine identity, the reaction of these men seems to include maintaining certain signs that are traditionally masculine. For example, William and Philip have long practiced boxing and rugby, while Nicolas is a distinguished alpine skier, and Louis still regularly plays football with his friends. These elements of physicality and masculine sociability are known to be critical elements in the construction of masculine identities (Davis & Louveau, 1998).

Similarly, the careers and professions they imagined when they were young are often categorized as "masculine" careers. For example, Christopher wanted to be a helicopter pilot:

It was my childhood dream. And in reality, I failed my high school diploma. I wasn't expecting to fail. I tried to pass the pilot exam, since in France, if you want to become a helicopter pilot, you have to be rich or go to the United States for training, or you have to join the army. Once you're in the army, you have to serve for ten years. (Christopher, 37, private practice midwife)

Midwives—students and professionals—emphasize that they have made the right decision and even renounce their original aspirations, especially if they were related to the medical field.

Search for Autonomy

While male midwives might undergo a unique form of gender socialization relative to the evolution of the social construction of the male gender, do they perform differently once established in their profession? This question led us to search for the distinguishing characteristic in the professional integration of male midwives in the professional world: autonomy.

Types of Activity

The first hypothesis we developed was based on the idea of the formation of a specific segment of the professional population that differentiate itself by the way midwives carry out their responsibilities and where they work. As far as the types of activity carried out by male midwives is concerned, if we perceive any gender distinctions, they are marginal (Table 3). Men do not stand out significantly from women, based on their type of practice. Though they were present in hospitals more often in 2002, this difference was negligible five years later. The same is true for private clinics, where the presence of both men and women decreases.

Private practice work is becoming more equally dispersed between male and female practitioners. Globally, about 15% of the midwife population in works private practice. The distinctions between men and women are more noticeable in marginal practices, such as PMI, and the "other" category (primarily including instructors). The tendency to accept temporary work is still coded as masculine, but this is easily understood when one considers the low average age of the population. Temporary work is considered to be a way to enter into a stable professional practice.

We cannot confirm that men are more likely to invest themselves primarily in a professional sector. The way they are spread out across many different types of activity is very similar to the situation of female midwives. Therefore, we were not able to show masculinization taking place in terms of professional choices.

Avoidance of Clinical Work, Autonomy Assurance

At this point, it is necessary to enter into a qualitative interrogation concerning the motivations and the idea that these men have regarding their profes-

Table 3
Types of Midwife Activity (2002 and 2007)

Sector of Activity	2002				2007			
	men	women	men & women	% men	% women	men	women	men & women
Public Hospitals	82	8,575	8,657	59.9	55.2	116	9,876	9,992
Private Clinics (attached to the public hospital service)	3	632	635	2.2	4.1	7	753	760
Private Clinics	26	3,027	3,053	19.0	19.5	34	2845	2,879
Individual Private Practices	13	1,741	1,754	9.5	11.2	19	1948	1,967
Private Group Practices	7	519	526	5.1	3.3	10	658	668
Temporary Agency Employed	—	—	—	—	—	7	149	156
Maternal and Infant Protection, Family Planning	1	330	331	0.7	2.1	3	389	392
Regional, County or Local Civil Servants	0	254	254	0.0	1.6	2	311	313
Others	5	469	474	3.6	3.0	2	354	356
Total	137	15,547	15,684	100.0	100.0	200	17,283	15,684

Source: DREES

sional practice. While conducting our interviews, we noticed how the midwives described their experiences with private clinics. While some had personal experience, having practiced or currently practicing in private clinics, there was a generally negative perception of this kind of work. It was portrayed as restrictive or as a default choice—and as something to be avoided by any means possible. They often work in fixed-term contracts, as replacements, or on a temporary basis. The idea of a work mandate for male midwives is based on these observations. This mandate underscores the idea of remaining autonomous, which is a desire among male midwives.

Autonomy in the workplace is often highly valued among men (Collins & Hearn, 1996). With regard to professional expectations, autonomy clearly separates men and women. Lyndsay (2007) suggests that male dominance in U.S. anesthesiology can be explained because of being able to work autonomously. According to Snyder and Green (2008) men may enter into specialized professions traditionally considered to be feminine because they often find ways to make the work “less feminine” by insisting on the maintenance of certain levels of autonomy. This would explain the reluctance of male midwives to work in clinics, since they consider such a setting compromising their autonomy.

In any event, after graduation, it's most important to start working, to start delivering babies. Of course, you want to be able to independently manage your own work. In a clinic it's hard to do that because you are always being managed by the doctors, who tell you what to do. (André, 32, hospital midwife)

Above all, men consider themselves to be professionals demanding autonomy. While for male midwives this independence is of capital importance, it is less pressing for female midwives. Women are less likely to list autonomy among the traits that they look for in their profession. In the study from 2009–2010, we asked the participants about their current expectations: work autonomy was chosen by only 18.9% of women.

In analyzing the interviews, we observed three trends in how male midwives exercise autonomy. First, the desire for autonomy can be linked to the desire to have a private practice. Working with a private status allows for much more autonomy. Therefore, it is unsurprising that there is a link between wanting to work private practice and the theme of autonomy:

P.C.: What attracted you to private practice?

S.: Autonomy, I think. Autonomy.... There's no hierarchy, you can really work in a way that is good for you. You can accompany couples as you see fit. I say that because in obstetrics there are lots of different approaches ... and why private practice? [*Thinks*] Yes, I think it's the desire to work as you like. (Sébastien, 30, private practice midwife)

The independence sought after by these men can also be explained by the particular nature of their relationships with obstetricians which often includes conflict.

It's true that on occasion I fight to get our point of view heard. When I didn't agree with something—just last night there was only one thing to do.... He [the obstetrician] had made a decision ... but even so, I told him, and I'll repeat it if necessary, that I didn't agree. In fact, it is the doctor and the person in charge who have the last word, but that doesn't stop me from telling him. (Fabrice, 36, hospital midwife)

This example shows to what extent men feel the need to insist on their autonomy, at the risk of disrupting professional hierarchies. In contrast, as seen in the 2009-2010 study, most female midwives (61.6%) describe themselves as being in a cooperative relationship with the obstetricians and gynecologists and therefore do not share Fabrice's feelings. Only 29% of females saw their working relationship as one in which they could contribute to the decision-making.

Secondly, men's desire for autonomy is reflected in representations and working conditions of the midwife profession. Men either emphasize the fact that they work independently or complain that they do not have enough independence at work.

I consider myself to be totally independent at X, despite the fact that it is a big center. What I mean to say is that we have a fair amount of freedom. As long as I don't have any problems, my patients are doing well, and my children are doing well, I think that my boss, or at least the head of the department, will leave me alone to work as I like. (Fabien, 35, hospital midwife)

Thirdly, although male midwives' opinions are divided on this topic, there is one common critique of the way that natal care is organized and managed in France. Men are uniquely placed to respond to this question. Not only do they have to evaluate their personal work, but also are they responsible for evaluating the general efficiency of the system. This task provides a further canvas for the valuation of independence, largely as positive:

We're going in the right direction. [...] Apparently the Minister is in agreement with the proposals. I don't know how that will change the reality of the situation. In any event, it's a movement towards more autonomy for midwives, as well as an indication that public services have understood that they have an important role in public health, including prenatal health. They want to be able to rely on midwives. (Christopher, 37, private practice midwife)

There is a clear motivation to guarantee autonomy and even independence among male midwives. The expectation of autonomy is not solely based on a demand for masculinity or even on the idea of guaranteeing masculinity, but on a global view of the profession. This attitude has been observed in other professional situations where men are in the minority, giving it the appearance of a typically male trait.

Overcoming a "Predisposition" for Empathy

Although men may be able to cultivate "masculinity" at the site of their asserted independence, when it comes to the question of empathy, the question is more delicate. Empathy is at the heart of the profession and is one of the justifications for the significant female presence among midwives. Empathy is the capacity to build a relationship based on the (possibility of a) shared experience of delivery, something that allows female midwives to have a special relationship with their patients.¹² Of course, men cannot lay claim to the experience of giving birth, qualifying claims to empathy. However, this is only a partial definition. There are two ways to evaluate empathy in the light of *care* (Paperman & Laugier, 2005; Tronto, 1993). The first way is to consider it as a predisposition. The second is to see it as a combination of practices. Taken in the former sense, empathy cannot be masculine. Professional discussions, such as within the Order of Midwives, have tended to privilege this view, matching that of female midwives who resent male presence, basing their argument on the inability of men to establish a sociable, caring relationship with a pregnant woman or a woman in labor (Jacques, 2007, p. 83). Yet in our 2009-2010 study, 82% of midwives considered that men had a legitimate place in the field. Although 43.6% of female midwives think that their male counterparts have a specific challenge in their relationship with patients, almost as many (42.3%) find that male and female midwives have the same degree of professional challenges.

Negotiating the empathy question, male midwives claim that empathy is, above all, based on social and professional practices. In the data collected in our study, we noted three primary means of conceiving empathy in this manner, respectively stripping empathy from its qualification as "gendered capacity" (Schweyer, 1996). Some male midwives minimize empathy's pertinence by calling into question its psychological dimension—the idea that one can share the mental state of the patient. Some men are explicit on this point, giving credence to the idea of empathy but not the notion of being able to exchange or share the mental state of another. As Guillaume explains regarding pain experienced during labor, listening to women in labor without judging the subjective experience of pain is how one shows empathy, since judgment is possible if empathy is seen as a gender-based character trait:

The fact that I am a man in this profession means that I cannot—even subconsciously—permit myself to say: "she's exaggerating. It doesn't hurt that much," since I can't and never will be able to share this experience. However, based on what she tells me and what she shows me pushes me to ask: "Does it really hurt? Does it hurt just a little? Where? Do you want to change position?" and so on.... I have to believe it, always. Which, in my opinion, allows me to really accompany her through the process. That is,

¹² This definition is more limited than that proposed by Berthoz and Jorland, which gives priority to a cognitive and relative outlook "the psychological capacity to put oneself in the place of another" (2004, p. 19).

I do not allow myself to think for the patient. (Guillaume, 44, private practice midwife)

Others engage with the empathy question by conforming to the classic model of the professional, therapeutic, medical relationship (Freidson, 1984). In this model, empathy comes from professional technique. It is not a question of two members of opposite genders that enter into a relationship, but a woman who expects a professional service. A male midwife can therefore see himself as asexual in his professional relationship:

It's funny, because I don't think that my patients see me as a man. That's what I aim for. I think they see me as a professional: I am a man or a woman. (Francois, 33, hospital midwife)

Francois here puts his gendered role on hold. This is how an empathetic predisposition based on gender is hedged. Seeing oneself as a professional does not prevent the development of an empathetic relationship.

A third solution underlines the continuing transformation of strangeness in being a man in this profession. One is obligated to define the rules of working relationships. Male midwives address the fact that they must establish a contract with the patient. They benefit from increased confidence, as well as from a positive affirmation of their professional activity.

Most of the time, it is good that I am a male midwife, as far as my work relationships are concerned. In fact, that forced me to introduce myself and define a contract. "Hello, I'm a midwife, I am here for that reason." So we establish a contract each time, and that helps enormously with the relationship. When you are a female midwife, based on what I've observed, this contract is understood and there is no need to introduce oneself. I don't agree with that [this way of doing]. Because they don't need a contract: the contract is implicit. In my case, I have to define the contract every time: my mission, why I'm there, how I can help [women] with my services. (Christophe, 38, private practice midwife)

This male midwife is an example of trying to render explicit something that is typically implicit. Rather than acting like empathy is a gender-related predisposition, he makes a stand for active empathy. Men feel they must render explicit, to the point of self-justification, their presence in the profession by mobilizing their empathetic capacity. This explains their insistence on introducing themselves and their mission, which is a way of distinguishing themselves from their female counterparts. Some male midwives think that women do not always find this step necessary, while men must always construct this relation:

P.C.: Do you do anything in particular to establish a relationship with your patient?

S.F.: Well, I start off by introducing myself. That breaks the ice, I suppose, the fact that you say, "Ok, I'm the midwife." I give my first name. This

brings us a little step closer. It creates a little link. (Frédéric, 32, hospital midwife)

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS: A RESPECTFUL MASCULINIZATION

Looking at the ways in which male midwives integrate into the profession, we observe little desire to be seen as different from the female counterparts; nor does there appear to be a sentiment of being downgraded. None of these men regrets his professional trajectory.¹³ Of course one must bear in mind that midwives enjoy a positive public image, even if some consider that their profession is undervalued, especially in comparison with other medical professions (obstetrics, anesthesiology). The job market is growing and there is a low rate of unemployment. This profession is not among the classically undervalued areas of female work; to the contrary, it is generally considered a prestigious field. Therefore we cannot interpret male presence and masculinization of the field responsible for a status change. It is also difficult to affirm that male midwives are using the "glass elevator" strategy (Williams, 1992).

These men negotiate masculine status through their expressed desire to work autonomously. They also have profiles classifying them as men that have developed "soft" or modern masculinities. Moreover, they manage to get around the idea that empathy is a sex-based predisposition by showing that it can also be a parameter of social and professional practice. These factors taken together demonstrate how men have entered, and can remain, part of midwifery.

Male presence does not mean that there is a segmentation of the midwife population (Bucher & Strauss, 1992). At this point in the analysis, new ways of interpretation will need to be presented. The "respectful revolution" concept, developed by C. Marry (2004), is a prime example of a new mode of interpretation. As is the case for women engineers, we support the idea that French male midwives integrate into this professional sphere without denying any of their masculine qualities and by contributing to the gradual growth of professionalism of the field. Masculinization can be qualified as "respectful" where not changing the professional practice is already in place. Empathy is neither renounced nor devalued by men, it becomes redefined from gender-related tendency to a collection of correct practices and professional behaviors. In a similar vein, the masculinity expressed by these men reflects neither a hierarchical ordering of genders, nor an aim to dominate the professional field.¹⁴ This "respectful" dynamic stands out from the majority of studies of masculinization, generally considered synonymous with *invasion*, or in its less extreme readings, *transformation* of professional practices.

¹³ We interviewed two male midwives who no longer practice. One has become a salesperson for obstetrics products, and the other is now teaching biology at a university. Both explained that they are very attached to their past professions and that they feel that even in their new jobs, they are still part of the former.

¹⁴ Most male midwives interviewed did not necessarily wish to see the number of men in the field increase. This is an indication that they are not in a dynamic hegemonic situation.

This explains itself if we take into consideration the socio-professional context. The increase in women engineers is understandable when referring to girls' higher academic success rate as compared to boys,' consistent over several decades in France (Marry, 2004). Comparably, presence of male midwives has become possible because midwifery has undergone radical changes for the past twenty years. There has been a movement towards professionalism, which is illustrated by the desire for independence in respect to other pre- and post-natal professions, a growing demand for knowledge, an increase in the length of training, and an increase in work responsibilities. Midwifery is developing, offers a myriad of occupational possibilities for independence and autonomy, all of which factors that attract current and future midwifery students. We cannot understand the presence and especially the retention of men (indeed: evident masculinization) unless these are related to a profound evolution in the professional milieu.

Given men's only recent entry to the field and their present numbers, this remains, we have argued, a "respectful masculinization." Midwives in France hardly work to establish professional hierarchy, whether objectively or symbolically speaking. There is little evidence of distinction or segmentation, and therefore less opportunity for men to take dominant positions. A different hierarchical structure would probably not have led to this "respectful masculinization."

The present study nevertheless demonstrates that research on the presence of men in careers not traditionally viewed as masculine has a tendency to ignore seemingly vital parameters. These include practice conditions, hierarchical segmentation, and level of qualification. Reckoning with these parameters permits comparative studies of masculinization, for example juxtaposing the case of male midwives with that of male housekeepers (Bagihole & Cross, 2000). It would be pertinent, moreover, for research on masculinity and masculinization to deconstruct the category "non-traditional" occupations or professions, which will often encompass very diverse realities.

REFERENCES

- Angeloff, T., & Arborio, A.-M. (2001). Marché du travail et différence de sexe. Des hommes dans des "métiers de femmes" [Labor market and gender difference. Men in "feminine jobs"]. *Marché du Travail et Différentiation Sociale*, 8^{ème} Journée de Sociologie du Travail. LEST, Aix-Marseille.
- Bagihole, B., & Cross, S. (2000). Girls' jobs for the boys? Men, masculinity and non-traditional occupations. *Gender Work and Organization*, 9(2), 204-226.
- Berthoz, A., & Jorland, G. (2004). *L'empathie* [Empathy]. Paris: Odile Jacob.
- Bourdieu, P. (1998). *La domination masculine* [Male domination]. Paris: Le Seuil.
- Bucher, R., & Strauss, A. (1992). La dynamique des professions [The dynamics of the professions]. In A. Strauss, *La trame de la négociation* (pp. 67-86). Paris: l'Harmattan.
- Cahill, H.A. (2000). Male appropriation and medicalization of childbirth: An historical analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 33, 334-342.

- Carricaburu, D. (2005). De la gestion technique du risque à celle du travail: L'accouchement en hôpital public [From the technical management of risk to the technical management of work: Childbirth in public hospitals]. *Sociologie du Travail*, 47, 245-262.
- Castellain-Meunier, C. (2005). *Les métamorphoses du masculin* [Metamorphoses of the masculine]. Paris: PUF.
- Charrier, P. (2007). Des hommes chez les sages-femmes. Vers un effet de segmentations? [Men among midwives. Toward a segmentation effect?]. *Sociétés Contemporaines*, 67, 95-118.
- Charrier, P. (2009). Les enjeux de la masculinisation de la profession de sage-femme. De la croissance statistique au contournement du genre [The challenges of masculinization of the profession of the midwifery. From growth statistics to the gender circumvention]. *Sextant*, 27, 221-233.
- Charrier, P. (2011). *Les sages-femmes en France—Synthèse* [Midwives in France—Synthesis]. http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/docs/00/55/36/54/PDF/SynthA_se_Rapport_Sages-femmes.pdf
- Cockburn, C. (1991). *In the way of women: Men's resistance to sex equality in organisations*. London: Macmillan.
- Collinson, D.L., & Hearn, J. (Eds.). (1996). *Men as managers, managers as men: Critical perspectives on men, masculinities and managements*. London: Sage.
- Connell, R.W. (1995). *Masculinities: Knowledge, power and social change*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Connell, R.W. (2000). *The men and the boys*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Curmish, L.H. (1990). Men who make nontraditional career choices. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 69, 11-16.
- Dagnaud, M., & Mehl, D. (1988). Les gynécologues: Une profession influente [Gynecologists: An influential profession]. *Sociologie du Travail*, 30(2), 287-300.
- Davis, A., & Louveau, C. (1998). *Sports, école, société. La différence des sexes* [Sports, school, society. The gender difference]. Paris: l'Harmattan.
- Donnison, J. (1977). *Midwives and medical men: A history of inter-professional rivalries and women's rights*. New York: Schocken Books.
- Foucault, M. (2000). *Naissance de la clinique* [The birth of the clinic]. Paris: PUF.
- Freidson, E. (1984). *La profession médicale* [The medical profession]. Paris: Payot.
- Galbraith, M. (1992). Understanding career choices of men in elementary education. *Journal of Educational Research*, 85(4), 246-253.
- Gélis, J. (1984). *L'arbre et le fruit* [The tree and the fruit]. Paris: Fayard.
- Gélis, J. (1988). *La sage-femme ou le médecin* [The midwife or the doctor]. Paris: Fayard.
- Holyoakes, D. (2002). Male identity in mental health nursing. *Nursing Standard*, 16, 33-47.
- Hughes, E.C. (1958). *Men and their work*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press. [xx]
- Jacques, B. (2007). *Sociologie de l'accouchement* [Sociology of childbirth]. Paris: PUF.
- Jome, L.M., & Toker D.M. (1998). Dimensions of masculinity and major choice traditionality. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 52, 120-134.
- Knibiehler, Y., & Fouquet, C. (1977). *L'histoire des mères* [The history of mothers]. Paris: Montalba.
- Lindsay, S. (2007). Gendering work: The masculinization of nurse anesthesia. *Canadian Journal of Sociology*, 32(4), 429-448.
- Loughrey, M. (2008). Just how are male nurse...? *Journal of Clinical nursing*, 17, 1327-1334.

- Marry, C. (2004). *Les femmes ingénieurs: Une révolution respectueuse* [Women engineers: A respectful revolution]. Paris: Belin.
- Menesson, C. (2004). Etre une femme dans un sport "masculin." Modes de socialisation et construction des dispositions sexuées [Being a woman in "male" sport. Socialization modes and construction of gender dispositions]. *Sociétés Contemporaines*, 55, 96-90.
- Molinier, P. (1999). Souffrance et défense des infirmiers psychiatriques: l'Enigme d'une virilité sans gloire [Suffering and defense of the psychiatric male nurses. The enigma of virility without glory]. *Du côté des hommes*. Journée d'étude organisée par le GDR MAGE, 44-55.
- Molinier, P., Laugier, S., & Paperman, P. (dirs.). (2009), *Qu'est-ce que le care ?* [What is care?]. Paris: Payot.
- Oakley, A. (1980). *Women confined: Toward a sociology of childbirth*. Oxford: Martin Robertson.
- Oakley, A. (1984). *The captured womb: A history of the medical care of pregnant women*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Paperman, P., & Laugier, S. (dirs.). (2005). *Le souci des autres. Ethique et politique du care* [The concern for others. Ethics and the policy of care]. Paris: EHESS.
- Philippe, C. (2008). Le conseil conjugal et familial au masculin [The marital and family council from a male counselor]. In Y. Guichard-Claudic, D. Kergoat, & A. Vilbrod (Eds.), *L'inversion du genre* (pp. 257-271). Rennes: P.U.R.
- Schlumbohm, J. (2002). Comment l'obstétrique est devenue une science [How obstetrics became a science]. *Actes de la Recherche en Sciences Sociales*, 143, 18-30.
- Schreiber, A. (2004). *La formation aux professions de la santé en 2002 et en 2003* [Training in health professions in 2002 and 2003]. DREES, Série Statistiques, no. 69.
- Schweyer, F.-X. (1996). La profession de sage-femme: autonomie au travail et corporatisme protectionniste [The profession of midwives: Autonomy with work and protectionist corporatism]. *Sciences sociales et Santé*, 3, 67-100.
- Shorter, E. (1984). *Le corps des femmes* [The body of women]. Paris : Le Seuil.
- Sicard, D. (2010). *Les professions de santé au 1^{er} janvier 2010* [Health professions from January 1, 2010]. Xx: DRESS.
- Simpson, R. (2005). Men in non-traditional occupations: Career entry, career orientation and experience of role strain. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 12(4), 363-380.
- Snyder, K.A., & Green, A.I. (2008). Revisiting the glass escalator: The case of gender segregation in a female dominated occupation. *Social Problems*, 55(2), 271-299.
- Tronto, J. (1993). *Moral boundaries. A political argument for an ethic of care*. New York: Routledge.
- Vilbrod, A., & Douguet, F. (2008). Les infirmiers libéraux: Singularité des trajectoires professionnelles et des pratiques de soin [Liberal male nurses: Singularity of professional trajectories and practises of care]. In Y. Guichard-Claudic, D. Kergoat, & A. Vilbrod (Eds.), *L'inversion du genre* (pp. 273-282). Rennes: PUR.
- Welzer-Lang, D. (2004). *Les hommes changent aussi* [Men change too]. Paris: Payot.
- Williams, C.L. (1989). *Gender differences at work. Women and men in nontraditional occupations*. Berkely & Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Williams, C.L. (1992). The glass escalator: Hidden advantages for men in the "female" professions. *Social Problems*, 39(3), 253-267.
- Williams, L., & Villemez, W. (1993). Seeker and finders: Male entry and exit in female dominated jobs. In C. Williams (Ed.), *Doing women's work: Men in non-traditional occupations* (pp. 64-90). London: Sage.