

The Qāḍīs of Fusṭāṭ-Miṣr under the Ṭūlūnids and the Ikhshīdids: the Judiciary and Egyptian Autonomy

Mathieu Tillier

▶ To cite this version:

Mathieu Tillier. The Qāḍīs of Fusṭāṭ-Miṣr under the Ṭūlūnids and the Ikhshīdids: the Judiciary and Egyptian Autonomy. Journal of the American Oriental Society, 2011, 131 (2), pp.207-222. halshs-00641964

HAL Id: halshs-00641964 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-00641964

Submitted on 17 Nov 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The *Qādī*s of Fustāt–Mişr under the Tūlūnids and the Ikhshīdids: The Judiciary and Egyptian Autonomy

MATHIEU TILLIER INSTITUT FRANÇAIS DU PROCHE-ORIENT, DAMASCUS

The second half of the third/ninth and the fourth/tenth centuries are of particular importance for the development of the judiciary in the central lands of the 'Abbāsid caliphate. At the end of the miḥna and the victory of Sunnism under al-Mutawakkil (r. 232-47/847-61), the caliphate agreed not to interfere in the legal sphere, thus allowing the principal schools of law to complete their development toward their classical structure. In Iraq the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ s were allowed more judicial freedom, thanks to the growing independence of the legal system and to the political weakness of the caliphate, while in Egypt the provincial rulers and two successive dynasties, the Tūlūnids (254-92/868-905) and the Ikhshīdids (323-58/935-69), profited from the caliphate's weakness and imposed their autonomy de facto.³

The role played by the judiciary in this process is still unclear, as is the impact of Egyptian autonomy on the development of the local judiciary.⁴ In this article, I intend to study the relationship between the Egyptian governors and the judiciary, from the accession of Ahmad b. Tūlūn in 254/868 until the arrival of the Fātimids in 358/969. My main source of reference is Raf' al-işr 'an quḍāt Miṣr, a biographical dictionary written by Ibn Ḥajar al-'Asqalānī (d. 852/1449), which focuses on the history of Egyptian $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$. Although he wrote this dictionary

Author's note: I am grateful to Peri Bearman and the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful and constructive comments.

¹ See J. Schacht, An Introduction to Islamic Law (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1964), 53ff.; C. Melchert, The Formation of the Sunni Schools of Law, 9th-10th Centuries C.E. (Leiden: Brill, 1997), 87ff.; W. Hallaq, "From Regional to Personal Schools of Law? A Reevaluation," Islamic Law and Society 8 (2001): 1-26.

² M. Tillier, Les Cadis d'Iraq et l'État abbasside (132/750-334/945) (Damascus: IFPO, 2009), 646ff.

³ The most comprehensive studies on the Tūlūnids and the Ikhshīdids are: Z. M. Hassan, Les Tulunides: Étude de l'Égypte musulmane à la fin du IX^e siècle, 868-905 (Paris: Établissements Busson, 1933); G. Wiet, L'Égypte arabe de la conquête arabe à la conquête ottomane, 642-1517 de l'ère chrétienne (Paris: Plon, 1937); J. L. Bacharach, "The Career of Muḥammad Ibn Ṭughj al-Ikhshīd, a Tenth-Century Governor of Egypt," Speculum 50 (1975): 586-612; J. L. Bacharach and S. Shamma, "Les premiers dirhams ikhshidides," Revue numismatique, 6e série, 17 (1975): 139-44; S. I. Kāshif, Miṣr fī 'aṣr al-ikhshīdiyyīn (Cairo: al-Hay'a al-Miṣriyya al-'Āmma, 1989); Th. Bianquis, "Autonomous Egypt from Ibn Ṭūlūn to Kāfūr, 868-969," in The Cambridge History of Egypt, vol. 1, ed. C. F. Petry (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998), 86-119.

⁴ The only political overview of the judgeship under the Ṭūlūnids is a short chapter in Hassan, Les Tulunides, 222-28. For the Ikhshīdids, see Kāshif, Misr fī 'asr al-ikhshīdivvīn, 205-27. S. Farrāj's chapter on judgeship in al-Dawla al-ikhshīdiyya (Cairo: Markaz al-Rāya, 2006), 119-25, is a rehashing of Kāshif's original work. Some preliminary reflections on the $q\bar{a}q\bar{t}s$ under the Ikhshīdids can be found in the introduction in M. Tillier, Vies des cadis de Misr, 237/851-366/976 (Cairo: IFAO, 2002),

⁵ I will draw on the text edited by Rhuvon Guest, which he appended to his edition of al-Kindī, *Kitāb* al-Wulāt wa-kitāb al-quḍāt (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1912), 501-614. In the following citations, the first

five centuries after the facts, Ibn Hajar relies heavily on contemporary works: primarily that of Ibn Zūlāg (d. 386/996), who wrote a now lost Akhbār gudāt Misr dedicated to the gādīs of the Tūlūnid and the Ikhshīdid periods, and secondarily that of Ibn Yūnus (d. 347/958), author of two biographical dictionaries about Egyptians and strangers who settled in Egypt. Whereas another late author such as Ibn al-Mulaggin (d. 804/1401-2), who also wrote a book on Egyptian $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}s$, culled his material in order to construct a hagiographical narrative of judges whom he mostly regards as saints, 8 Ibn Hajar did not stray from his sources. Even if he tends to reorganize and synthesize the information provided by his predecessors, as a comparison between his Raf al-isr and al-Kindī's Akhbār gudāt Misr shows. Ibn Hajar usually quotes them faithfully and almost in extenso, avoiding any obvious manipulation. This makes his book a fairly reliable source for the history of the Tulunid and Ikhshidid periods.

In the below several elements will be taken into consideration: (1) the institutional relationship between political power and the judiciary (who appointed the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}s$, how were they selected, and did the government choose local scholars or did the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ s come from outside the province?); (2) the financial ties between the governors and the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}s$, which were not only symbolic of the delegation of power, but could also denote the subservience of the judiciary to the government; 10 (3) the daily interactions between the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}s$ and the governors; (4) the judicial practice of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$; and (5) their reputation. Although Ibn Hajar omits chains of transmitters (isnāds), which probably appeared in Ibn Zūlāq's book, it is likely that most of the reports regarding $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$ were put into circulation and transmitted by elites who were directly concerned with political affairs. ¹¹ Therefore, I assume that the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}s$ biographies

number refers to the page in Guest's edition, the second to the page in my French translation in Vies des cadis de Mişr (e.g., 506/55). A full edition of Raf al-işr was first published in 1998: Ibn Ḥajar al-'Asqalānī, Raf' al-iṣr 'an quḍāt Miṣr, ed. 'A. M. 'Umar (Cairo: Maktabat al-Khānjī, 1998). For a list of the qāḍīs and their dates of appointment, see Tillier, Vies des cadis de Miṣr, 11-14; a shortened list follows at the end of this article.

⁶ Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt al-a'yān, ed. I. 'Abbās, 8 vols. (Beirut: Dār Ṣādir, 1994), 2: 91; Ḥājji Khalīfa, Kashf al-zunūn, 6 vols. (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya, 1992), 1: 28. See also F. Sezgin, Geschichte des arabischen Schrifttums (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1967-), 1: 359-60; Tillier, Vies des cadis de Mişr, index. For Ibn Zūlāq, see R. Gottheil, "Al-Ḥasan ibn Ibrāhīm ibn Zūlāk," JAOS 28 (1907), 254-70.

⁷ See F. Rosenthal, "Ibn Yūnus," in *Encyclopaedia of Islam*² (El²). These books are now lost. 'Abd al-Fattāḥ Fatḥī 'Abd al-Fattāḥ tried to reconstruct them by gathering all the quotations that he found in later sources: Ibn Yūnus, Ta'rīkh Ibn Yūnus al-Miṣrī, ed. 'A. F. 'Abd al-Fattāḥ, 2 vols. (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya, 2000).

⁸ Ibn al-Mulaqqin, *Nuzhat al-nuzzār fī quḍāt al-amṣār*, ed. M. M. al-Sharqāwī (Cairo: Maktabat al-Thaqāfa al-Dīniyya), 1996. For the methodology of Ibn al-Mulaqqin, see the introduction in my forthcoming French translation of al-Kindī, *Histoire des cadis égyptiens*.

⁹ See W. al-Qāḍī, "An Umayyad Papyrus in al-Kindī's Kitāb al-Quḍāt?" Der Islam 84 (2008): 202-

¹⁰ See Tillier, Les Cadis d'Iraq et l'État abbasside, 272.

¹¹ This is clear in al-Kindī's Akhbār quḍāt Miṣr, in Kitāb al-Wulāt, ed. R. Guest (especially toward the end of the book), where the main informants all belong to the highest category of the 'ulamā', who had close connections with the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ s and/or the government.

reflect the views of their contemporaries— other scholars in particular—and the way they looked upon the relationship between the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$ and the government.

THE TŪLŪNIDS (254-292/868-905)

When Ahmad b. Tūlūn (r. 254-70/868-84) arrived in Fustāt as governor (amīr), it had been an established practice for over a century for the caliph to appoint the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ of Fustāt. 12 The relationship between the judiciary and the central government kept the ambitions of governors in check, since they were no longer the only representatives of the caliph in the province—they had to share power with other officials such as the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$. When Ibn Tūlūn sought regional autonomy, two principal problems arose: control of the finances and control of the judiciary.¹³ As early as 258/871 Ibn Ṭūlūn discharged Ibn al-Mudabbir, the head of fiscal administration in Egypt, and sent him to Syria. At the same time, he discharged the sāhib al-barīd, chief of the postal and intelligence services, whose responsibility inter alia was to keep a watch on the province on behalf of the caliphal government. ¹⁴ The $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ Bakkār b. Qutayba, however, an appointee of the caliph al-Mutawakkil (r. 246-70/860-84) and originating from Iraq, held onto his position until his death in 270/884. 15 Ibn Tūlūn probably realized that dismissing and replacing Bakkār would be too dangerous. Bakkār was a pious man, ¹⁶ respected by the Egyptian populace, ¹⁷ and his arbitrary dismissal would have certainly weakened the amir's position in the province. Moreover, Ibn Ṭūlūn's political designs relied largely on being able to control income from Egyptian taxes, and the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ Bakkār does not seem to have opposed any of these ambitions. It is also probable that Bakkār was a political asset, from whom Ibn Tūlūn expected his government to acquire an aura of legitimacy. However it may be, he chose to keep a $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ who was the caliph's delegate and who received his instructions from Baghdad. 18 This was a risky choice, since Bakkār could easily become a dangerous opponent.

Ibn Ṭūlūn's policy vis-à-vis the judiciary evolved toward the end of his rule, when a crisis erupted upon Bakkār's refusing to curse the regent al-Muwaffaq, as requested by Ibn Ţūlūn. The amir began to hold mazālim or claims court sessions where he dispensed justice

¹² Tillier, Les Cadis d'Iraq et l'État abbasside, 118-23.

¹³ Many reports portray Ibn Tūlūn as highly respectful of the scholars, but since we modern historians cannot know whether his actions were dictated by a sincere piety, the cultural environment, and/or a political strategy, we can only consider how his behavior toward the scholars in general and the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}s$ in particular makes sense in light of his political agenda. In what follows, I will therefore analyze Ibn $\bar{T}u\bar{u}$ relationships with $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ s from a political perspective, which is not exclusive of other interpretations.

¹⁴ Bianquis, "Autonomous Egypt," 92.

¹⁵ See al-Dawādārī, Kanz al-durar wa-jāmi al-ghurar, ed. D. Krawulsky (Beirut: Franz Steiner, 1992), 5: 268.

¹⁶ Ibn Hajar, Raf al-isr, 506/55, 507/57; Ibn Taghrībirdī, al-Nujūm al-zāhira fī mulūk Misr wa-l-Qāhira, 16 vols. (Cairo: Matba'at Dār al-Kutub al-Miṣriyya, 1932-72), 3: 47.

¹⁷ His tomb became a place of popular pilgrimage soon after his death. Ibn Ḥajar, Raf al-iṣr, 514/71.

¹⁸ Ibid., 507/58.

himself.¹⁹ As a consequence, most of the litigants abandoned Bakkār's court in the mosque, and the old *qādī* was left unemployed.²⁰ Ibn Tūlūn imprisoned Bakkār in 269/883, but never dismissed him officially; he only demanded that the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ appoint a deputy who would dispense justice in his stead.²¹ Egyptian judicial autonomy from the caliphate was not yet complete.

It is only after Ibn Ṭūlūn's death that his successor, his son Khumārawayh (r. 270-82/884-96), completed his work, transforming the judiciary into a provincial institution independent from Baghdad. Bakkār died in 270/884, soon after Ibn Ṭūlūn, but his position was not filled. Khumārawayh succeeded Ibn Tūlūn without the caliph's endorsement, and a war soon broke out between Khumārawayh and the regent al-Muwaffaq (d. 278/891), which probably prevented the 'Abbāsid caliphate from appointing a new qādī.²² For seven years, Fustāt remained without. Instead, Khumārawayh built up the mazālim court as an important institution and appointed Muhammad b. 'Abda as head.²³ The mazālim exemplified the administration of justice by the political ruler, and by replacing the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ by such a court, the new amir probably intended to impose his control over the judgeship.²⁴ When his relationship with the central government regained a more peaceful footing, 25 Khumārawayh took the final step of restoring an official judiciary. In 278/891, Ibn 'Abda was formally appointed by the caliph al-Mu'tamid (r. 256-79/870-92)—since he was already in charge of the mazālim, it was clear that he answered to the amir. ²⁶ The right of the $T\bar{u}l\bar{u}nid$ amir to appoint his own $q\bar{a}d\bar{u}$ was officially acknowledged two years later, in the treaty of 280/893 between Khumārawayh and the caliph al-Mu'tadid (r. 279-89/892-902), in which the Tūlūnids were given "for three decades the right to direct Friday prayer, levy the land tax, and to appoint judges and civil/fiscal administrators."²⁷

With the judiciary officially linked to the caliphate during a large part of the Tūlūnid period, the amirs had to interact with it cautiously. Ibn Tūlūn chose not to impose his

¹⁹ To assert his legitimacy, Ibn Tūlūn wanted to appear a just ruler, which is why he ordered Abū Ja'far al-Marwazī to pay off all the debts of the prisoners of the qādī. Al-Balawī, Sīrat Ahmad b. *Tūlūn*, ed. M. Kurd 'Alī (Cairo: Maktabat al-Thaqāfa al-Dīniyya, n.d.), 184-85.

²⁰ Ibn Ḥajar, Raf al-iṣr, 506/67; Cf. E. Tyan, Histoire de l'organisation judiciaire en pays d'Islam, 2nd ed. (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1960), 476.

²¹ Ibn Ḥajar, Raf al-iṣr, 513/70; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt al-a yān, 1: 279; Ibn al-Mulaggin, Nuzhat alnuzzār, 132. See also al-Balawī, Sīrat Aḥmad b. Ṭūlūn, 316-18; al-Kindī, Wulāt, 231. On this crisis, see Hassan, Les Tulunides, 88; Th. Bianquis, "Derrière qui prieras-tu, vendredi?" Bulletin d'études orientales 37-38 (1985-86), 18; idem, "Autonomous Egypt," 101. I disagree with Sayyida Kāshif's statement that Ibn Ṭūlūn dismissed Bakkār (Kāshif, Miṣr fī 'aṣr al-ikhshīdiyyīn, 205).

²² On these events, see Bianquis, "Autonomous Egypt," 104-105.

²³ Al-Kindī, *Wulāt*, 238; Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 515/72; Ibn Taghrībirdī, al-Nujūm al-zāhira, 3: 52; Ibn Ţūlūn, al-'Uqūd al-lu'lu'iyya fī ta'rīkh al-dawla al-tūlūniyya, ed. M. Ḥ. al-Mahannā (Beirut: Dār Ibn Hazm, 2007), 38.

²⁴ See M. Tillier, "Qādīs and the Political Use of the Mazālim Jurisdiction," in Public Violence in Islamic Societies: Power, Discipline, and the Construction of the Public Sphere, 7th–18th Centuries CE, ed. Ch. Lange and M. Fierro (Edinburgh: Edinburgh Univ. Press, 2009), 49-50.

²⁵ See Wiet, *L'Égypte arabe*, 104.

²⁶ Hassan, Les Tulunides, 225. Cf. Tyan, Histoire de l'organisation judiciaire, 145-46.

²⁷ Bianquis, "Autonomous Egypt," 105.

authority on Bakkar by force, but attended his hadith circle regularly and showed considerable respect for his religious knowledge.²⁸ When Ibn Ţūlūn attended a funeral, it was always he who prayed over the dead person. However, when both he and Bakkār were present, the amir let the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ lead the prayer.²⁹ The prayer over the dead was not just a religious matter: it was usually the prerogative of the highest-ranking person attending the funeral.³⁰ It was, therefore, a symbolic recognition on Ibn Ṭūlūn's part of Bakkār's superior religious authority. Moreover, Ibn Tūlūn submitted willingly to the judicial process, even renouncing some financial interests in deference to Bakkār's judgment.³¹ Bakkār was also able to blame a defendant who had taken an oath before God but who had refused to swear by the head of the amir: Bakkār argued that Ibn Tūlūn was merely a human being without suffering any consequences.³² Although Ibn Tūlūn's leniency may be the outcome of his personal respect for the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ s and the Islamic tradition they represented, it can also be read as a political posture. To establish his legitimacy, he had to show that he was supporting the judiciary and thus protecting the quotidian interests of the Egyptians.

The $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$'s legal affiliation was not a major concern of the Tūlūnids.³³ Like Bakkār, Muḥammad b. 'Abda was a Ḥanafī. However, the last Ṭūlūnid qāḍī, Abū Zur'a, was a Shāfi'ī. Although the central government usually sent Hanafī qādīs from Iraq, 34 Mālikī and Shāfi ī law had a long history within the province.³⁵ The Egyptians probably preferred a Mālikī or a Shāfi'ī judge, and it is possible that the Ṭūlūnids initially did not understand local expectations and continued to rely on the Hanafi tradition with which they were familiar. Only later did Khumārawayh understand the necessity of appointing a Shāfi'ī judge; perhaps because of his legal affiliation, Abū Zur'a was regarded as an upright ('afīf) qādī, in contrast to his Hanafī predecessor.³⁶

In exchange for their respect for the judiciary, the $T\bar{u}l\bar{u}nids$ asked for the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}s$ ' political cooperation. Bakkār was expected to attend Ibn Tūlūn's majlis (court) on a regular basis³⁷ and

²⁸ Ibn Ḥajar, Raf al-iṣr, 508/58; Ibn Taghrībirdī, al-Nujūm al-zāhira, 3: 19; Ibn Ṭūlūn, al-'Uqūd allu'lu'iyya, 32. See Hassan, Les Tulunides, 224.

²⁹ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 509/61.

³⁰ Tillier, Les Cadis d'Iraq et l'État abbasside, 473-74; and see Ibn Rushd, The Distinguished Jurist's Primer: Bidāyat al-Mujtahid, trans. I. A. K. Nyazee (Reading, UK: Garnet Publishing Ltd., 1994), 1:

³¹ After the death of one of his debtors, Ibn Ṭūlūn asked Bakkār to sell a pious foundation established by the debtor in order to recover his money. Bakkār protested and Ibn Ṭūlūn abandoned his idea. Ibn Hajar, Raf al-isr, 508-509/59-60.

³² Ibid., 511/65.

³³ Cf. Hassan, Les Tulunides, 222.

³⁴ N. Tsafrir, The History of an Islamic School of Law: The Early Spread of Hanafism (Cambridge, Mass.: The Islamic Legal Studies Program, Harvard Law School, dist. by Harvard University Press, 2004), 95-99.

³⁵ See J. E. Brockopp, Early Mālikī Law: Ibn 'Abd al-Hakam and His Major Compendium of Jurisprudence (Leiden: Brill, 2000), 18-21 and passim; on the spread of Shāfi'ī law from the early third/ninth century, see al-Kindī, Akhbār qudāt Misr, 438.

³⁶ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf al-iṣr*, 519/78.

³⁷ Ibid., 511/65. See also an anecdote about Bakkār interpreting Ibn Ṭūlūn's dreams in al-Dawādārī, *Kanz al-durar*, 5: 319.

to be seen with the amir on important occasions. 38 Both he and Ibn 'Abda acted as mediators on behalf of the government. When Ibn Tūlūn's son al-'Abbās (d. 270/884) revolted against his father in 265/879, 39 Bakkār was ordered to go and bring him back. 40 Muhammad b. Abda helped Khumārawayh regain control of the army after the governor had an argument with high-ranking officers. 41 In addition, the amirs asked the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ s to support them actively with their plan for autonomy. Ibn Tūlūn reached the height of his ambition when he invited the caliph al-Mu'tamid to join him in Egypt in 269/883. Al-Mu'tamid was ready to take refuge in Egypt—he was a figurehead in Sāmarrā' and effective power was exercised by his brother al-Muwaffag. 42 The plan failed, however, whereupon Ibn Tūlūn convened an assembly of prominent scholars and $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ s in Damascus⁴³ and asked them to depose al-Muwaffaq from his role of heir-presumptive (walī al-'ahd) and to curse him. The agreement of the Egyptian $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$, Bakkār, was expected to give a strong religious justification for the coup d'état. However, as mentioned above, Ibn Tūlūn had misjudged the qādī's loyalty; he refused to support al-Muwaffaq's deposition and Ibn Tūlūn imprisoned him until he died.⁴⁴

The $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$ political cooperation was acquired by giving financial rewards and offering high salaries. Bakkār received his stipend from the 'Abbāsid central administration, 45 yet Ibn Tūlūn tried to gain his support by offering him a bonus of 1,000 dinars a year. But the pious $a\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ refused to touch this money and eventually gave it back to the amir. 46 Khumārawayh is well known for his generosity, which he regarded as an important way of gaining support.⁴⁷ According to Ibn Hajar, he paid Muhammad b. 'Abda a salary of 3,000 dinars a month. 48

³⁸ See, e.g., Ibn Taghrībirdī, al-Nujūm al-zāhira, 2: 311. Cf. W. Popper, The Cairo Nilometer: Studies in Ibn Taghrî Birdî's Chronicles of Egypt I (Berkeley and Los Angeles: Univ. of California Press, 1951), 25.

³⁹ Al- Abbās exploited the absence of his father, who was heading a military expedition in Syria, to try a coup d'état in Fustat. When Ibn Tulun, who had been alerted, returned to Egypt, al-'Abbas left for Alexandria and then for Barqa with troops and a part of the state treasury. See Wiet, L'Égypte arabe, 95-97; Bianquis, "Autonomous Egypt," 96.

⁴⁰ Al-Kindī, *Wulāt*, 221; Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 512/67.

⁴¹ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-işr, 516/74.

⁴² Bianquis, "Autonomous Egypt," 101.

⁴³ Within the framework of his expansionist agenda, Ibn Ṭūlūn occupied Syria in 264/878. He was in Damascus, on his way to Tarsūs, when he heard that al-Mu'tamid had left Sāmarrā' and was heading to Syria. Ibn Tūlūn decided to wait for him in Damascus and to escort him to Egypt. See al-Kindī, Wulāt, 224-26; Bianquis, "Autonomous Egypt," 101.

⁴⁴ Al-Kindī, *Wulāt*, 226; Ibn Khallikān, *Wafayāt al-a 'yān*, 1: 281; al-Dawādārī, *Kanz al-durar*, 5: 282; Ibn al-Mulaqqin, Nuzhat al-nuzzār, 129-30, 132; Ibn Taghrībirdī, al-Nujūm al-zāhira, 3: 19, 52; al-Nuwayrī, Kitāb al-Ilmām, ed. 'A. S. 'Aṭiyya, 7 vols. (Hyderabad: Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-'Uthmāniyya, 1970), 3: 285-86; Ibn Tūlūn, al-'Uqūd al-lu'lu'iyya, 31-32. According to Ibn Hajar (Raf' al-isr, 512/66), Bakkār accepted his deposition and only refused to curse him.

⁴⁵ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-işr, 507/57.

⁴⁶ Ibid., 512/67; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt al-a'yān, 1: 279; Ibn al-Mulaggin, Nuzhat al-nuzzār, 132; Ibn Taghrībirdī, al-Nujūm al-zāhira, 3: 19; Ibn Ṭūlūn, al-'Uqūd al-lu'lu'iyya, 32.

⁴⁷ Hassan, Les Tulunides, 130ff.

⁴⁸ Ibn Hajar, *Raf* al-isr, 516/74.

Even if this figure is exaggerated, as suggested by Zaky Mohamed Hassan, ⁴⁹ Ibn 'Abda's salary was much higher than that of his predecessors. Sixty years earlier, the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ 'Isa b. al-Munkadir earned "only" the equivalent of 200 dinars. 51 Ibn 'Abda was, therefore, a very rich man, who could afford a luxurious house in Fustat. 52 Although Abū Zur'a's salary is unknown, he appeared to have been very wealthy, too. He owned large estates in Syria⁵³ and he threw an extremely expensive feast to celebrate the wedding of his son.⁵⁴

Rewards and high salaries were not only a means to gain the support of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$, they were probably also intended to secure the legitimacy of the rulers in an indirect way. The $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ s appointed by the Tūlūnids spread their wealth and thus gained popularity. 55 By the time Ibn 'Abda was dismissed and had left Egypt, he was very well liked.⁵⁶ Abū Zur'a was known for his generosity, acting as the benefactor of Egyptians.⁵⁷ He even circumvented normal procedures by paying the debts of poor defendants himself.⁵⁸ I would like to suggest that his example was not simply a matter of personal generosity, but rather that the Tūlūnids expected such behavior. Abū Zur'a had previously lived in Damascus, where he had a bad reputation.⁵⁹ Why did his behavior change once he was appointed in Fustat? The Egyptian rulers gave him the monetary means to carve out a new reputation, one of justice and generosity, which was clearly meant to reflect back on the dynasty itself.

The religious scholars or 'ulama', who looked at the rulers with a critical eye, were not fooled by such politics. After Bakkār, the willingness of some $q\bar{a}q\bar{d}\bar{s}$ to please the governor was all too evident. When Abū Zur'a first arrived in Egypt, he went straight to Ibn Tūlūn's

⁴⁹ Hassan, Les Tulunides, 225.

⁵⁰ The influx of gold and silver since the second/eighth century, which, according to Wadād al-Qādī, could explain the increase in judges' salaries during the pre-Tūlūnid period, could also have played a role in Ibn 'Abda's large salary. I question, however, whether this influx alone can explain a fifteenfold increase in less than a century. See W. al-Qāḍī, "The Salaries of Judges in Early Islam: The Evidence of the Documentary and Literary Sources," Journal of Near Eastern Studies 68 (2009): 28.

⁵¹ Al-Kindī, Akhbār quḍāt Miṣr, 435. See Tyan, Organisation judiciaire, 339; R. G. Khoury, "Activités scientifiques et métiers libres dans les deux premiers siècles de l'Islam," Res orientales 6 (1994): 63.

⁵² Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 516/74.

⁵³ Ibid., 519/78.

⁵⁴ Ibid., 521-22/82-83.

⁵⁵ See, e.g., ibid., 517/75.

⁵⁶ Ibid., 518/77.

⁵⁷ Ibid., 521-22/82-83.

⁵⁸ Ibid., 522/83.

⁵⁹ He was apparently regarded as having had a dissolute lifestyle. Ibid., 521/81, 522/84. It is not clear whether Abū Zur'a was already qādī of Damascus when he cursed al-Muwaffaq. According to Ibn Taghrībirdī, he had been $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ of Damascus before being appointed in Egypt. However, Ibn Ţūlūn asserts that he became the $q\bar{a}d\bar{\iota}$ of Damascus only after he had been dismissed from Egypt. Ibn Taghrībirdī, al-Nujūm al-zāhira, 3: 183; Ibn Ṭūlūn, Quḍāt Dimashq: Al-Thaghr al-bassām fī dhikr man wulliya qaḍā al-Shām, ed. Ş. al-Munajjid (Damascus: al-Majma al-ʿIlmī al-ʿArabī, 1956), 22-23.

tomb, where he cried and recited the Qur'an. 60 His alliance with the government was later sealed by the marriage of his son to a daughter of the director of finances. Abū Zunbūr al-Mādharā'ī (d. 317/929).61 This type of behavior did not make the religious scholars think highly of many Tūlūnid qādīs. Despite his popularity, Ibn 'Abda was accused of dishonesty when teaching *hadīth*: he was suspected of transmitting *hadīth*s that he did not actually hear but stole from great masters. 62 Ibn Zūlāq later tried to refute this accusation and suggested that it was due to a misunderstanding. According to him, two hadīth collections containing similar reports were circulating at the same time, and it is only by chance that Ibn 'Abda's hadīths were identical to those of other masters. 63 In this light it is highly possible that rumors about his teaching were spread to discredit a $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ who was regarded as being too close to the dynasty.

THE 'ABBĀSID INTERREGNUM (292-323/905-935)

The 'Abbāsid caliphate re-established its authority in Egypt in 292/905. During the next thirty years, the governors were all sent from central government. The $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ s were likewise appointed from Iraq. From 311/924 onward, a hierarchical system of delegation developed: the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ of Fustat was theoretically one of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ s of Baghdad, but the latter never actually came to Egypt, rather he delegated his authority to a deputy (khalīfa), who was in practice the head of the Egyptian judiciary. 64 Such a centralized system of delegation, which developed simultaneously in other provinces, 65 was a way of asserting the caliphate's authority while seeming to offer flexibility to the local administration of justice.

Despite this system of delegation, which in theory would have allowed the appointment of local jurists, almost all the $q\bar{a}q\bar{t}$ s in this period still came from outside, mostly from Iraq. 66 The only exception is Abū l-Dhikr, an Egyptian from Ikhmīm.⁶⁷ This was not a new phenomenon: the Tūlūnids had maintained the tradition of "importing" qādīs from outside, ever since the aftermath of the fourth *fitna* (civil war) in the early third/ninth century. ⁶⁸ After

⁶⁴ Tillier, *Vies des cadis de Misr*, 23.

⁶⁰ Ibn Hajar, Raf al-isr, 519/78. During the assembly of Damascus, he had also distinguished himself by his readiness to curse al-Muwaffaq, as demanded by Ibn Tūlūn. Ibid., 520/79-80; Ibn Tūlūn, *Qudāt* Dimasha, 23.

⁶¹ Ibn Ḥajar, Raf al-iṣr, 521/82. On Abū Zunbūr, see H. L. Gottschalk, "al-Mādharā ī," in EI².

⁶² Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 514/71.

⁶³ Ibid., 515/72.

⁶⁵ Tillier, Les Cadis d'Iraq et l'État abbasside, 324ff.

⁶⁶ Ibn Burd, in al-Kindī, Wulāt, 364; Ibn Hajar, Raf al-isr, 534/101, 535/102, 536/103, 537/105, 539/108, 546/119, 549/122.

⁶⁷ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 532/99. According to Qāḍī 'Iyāḍ, he was from Aswān. Al-Qāḍī 'Iyāḍ, *Tartīb* al-madārik wa-tagrīb al-masālik li-ma'rifat a'lām madhhab Mālik, ed. A. B. Mahmūd, 4 vols. (Beirut-Tripoli: Dār Maktabat al-hayāt-Dār Maktabat al-fikr, 1967), 3: 297. On Ikhmīm, a town of Upper Egypt, see G. Wiet, "Akhmīm," in EI^2 .

⁶⁸ H. Kennedy, "Central Government and Provincial Elites in the Early 'Abbāsid Caliphate," Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies 44 (1981): 38. The fourth fitna comprised the civil war between the caliph al-Amīn and his brother al-Ma'mūn (between 195/811 and 198/813) and the thirteen-year disorder that followed.

the restoration of 'Abbāsid rule in Egypt, however, the continuing reliance on Iraqi qādīs even non-Hanafis, since Mālikīs and Shāfi'īs also came from Iraq—reinforced the impression that the main offices of state were held by foreigners, and that Egypt was back under the direct supervision of the caliphate.

During the first part of this interregnum, the judiciary symbolized the restoration of legitimate order. The $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ would not have been suspected of collaborating with the ruler. To prove their rectitude, the new governors were respectful to the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ s and submitted to their authority. The governor Takīn (r. 297-302/910-15, 307-309/919-21, and 311-21/924-33)⁶⁹ sat lower than his station merited (yajlisu dūna martabatihi) while waiting for the qādī Abū 'Ubayd 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Ḥarb in his court, 70 and Abū Zunbūr al-Mādharā'ī treated the latter with great honor.⁷¹ When a man converted, and then changed his mind and rejected Islam, Takīn began hearing the case at his own court session (majlis), summoning the qāḍī Abū 'Ubayd as a mere advisor. Eventually, however, he did not adjudicate the case himself but let Abū 'Ubayd do it, suggesting that even at the governor's court the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ was the highest judicial authority. ⁷² Some $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$ used the ruler's positive attitude toward them to assert their autonomy. For example, the same Abū 'Ubayd refused to call Takīn by his title of "Amir."⁷³ When he arrived in Fustat, al-Kurayzī went directly to the mosque and read out his appointment diploma instead of first going to the governor's palace and greeting him, as was required by custom. ⁷⁴ And Ahmad b. Ibrāhīm b. Hammād, whom Takīn held in high esteem, disobeyed the governor's instructions at least once.⁷⁵

Some of the earliest $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$ during this interregnum had close relationships with the governors, but the overall picture is that the judge was a highly independent moral referee in the province. Abū 'Ubayd was an advisor to Takīn in times of crisis, 76 but he also criticized him and reminded him of the legal consequences of his actions. Thus, the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ established himself as a representative of the people⁷⁸ rather than of the government. Abū 'Ubayd took seriously his duty of summoning high-ranking officials accused of misconduct by commoners, and he defended a woman who had complained about al-Mādharā'ī. 79 The

⁶⁹ Al-Kindī, *Wulāt*, 267-73, 276-78, 280-81.

⁷⁰ Ibn Hajar, Raf al-isr, 525/88. In an assembly, people sat according to their social rank. In the absence of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ in court, the governor could have claimed his seat. Out of respect for the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$, however, he sat at a lower place and stood up to welcome him, as if he was just an ordinary man.

⁷¹ Ibid., 527/90.

⁷² Ibid., 530/96-97.

⁷³ Ibid., 528/93.

⁷⁴ Ibid., 534/102.

⁷⁵ Ibid., 537/105.

⁷⁶ Ibid., 516-17/74.

⁷⁷ Ibid., 529/94.

⁷⁸ By "people," I mean the group that was not in power. Very rarely do the sources refer to "popular" classes" (even the term *al-nās* often means the notables), and what that might mean in these times can hardly be apprehended. Therefore, the word "people" refers here especially to the civilian elites (to whom belonged the transmitters of our sources) who, although they did not take part in the government, were directly affected by its policy.

⁷⁹ Ibid., 529-30/95-96.

second $q\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}$ of the interregnum, Abū l-Dhikr, was appointed in accordance with the wishes of four civil notables, among whom was the Ḥanafī jurist al-Ṭaḥāwī (d. 321/933).⁸⁰

The re-establishment of 'Abbāsid rule in Egypt thus gave a boost to the development of judicial autonomy. As in Iraq, the government had to show increasing deference to the law and the judiciary. At a time when the prestige of the Tūlūnids was still sharp in everyone's mind, ⁸¹ this was meant to please the Egyptians and to affirm the legitimacy of caliphal rule over the province. However, one may suspect that the increased freedom of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ also opened the way for some of them to commit abuses. Al-Kurayzī apparently appropriated deposits (sg. $wad\bar{a}$) and revenue from pious foundations, ⁸² and, perhaps not coincidentally, had a bad reputation as a scholar. ⁸³ Paradoxically, a higher degree of judicial autonomy facilitated greater corruption. The main purpose of allowing such autonomy—to show the ruler to be just and legitimate—was not achieved.

This situation developed toward the end of the interregnum, from 317/929—the appointment of Ibn Zabr—onward. The military unrest that roiled Egypt in the late 310s/920s—as a consequence of the perennial difficulty to pay the soldiers⁸⁴—led the governors to build new political alliances with the $q\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ s, but the $q\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ s role in the unrest is sometimes far from clear. Ibn Zabr was apparently involved in a plot against the vizier 'Alī b. 'Īsā (d. 334/946). 'S Other $q\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ s, such as Aḥmad b. Qutayba (in office 321/933) or al-Sarakhsī (in office 322/934), took part in intrigues that opposed political factions trying to impose their authority over Egypt. ⁸⁶ It seems that the $q\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ s no longer appeared completely impartial vis-àvis the governing body.

As said above, most of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ s were not local, or even of Egyptian origin, during the interregnum. They did not know the inhabitants of Fustāt and they had to carry out investigations to find out about them and ascertain their reliability. Furthermore, the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ s themselves were unknown when they arrived in Egypt and they were probably regarded more as members of the ruling administration than as reliable scholars and jurists. To assert their authority and be accepted, they had to prove their legal skills and win over the local scholars. A $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ could hardly manage the judiciary—especially the administration of pious foundations and other properties—without any knowledge of the local area. Therefore, they usually hired an Egyptian auxiliary ($tawall\bar{a}$ bi-amrihi) to acquaint them with the local inhabitants and their

 $^{^{80}}$ Ibid., 532/98; al-Qāḍī 'Iyāḍ, $Tart\bar{\imath}b$ al-madārik, 3: 298. On Abū Ja'far Aḥmad al-Ṭaḥāwī, see N. Calder, "al-Ṭaḥāwī," in EI^2 .

⁸¹ On the prestige of the Ṭūlūnids and the attempt of one of their last officers, Ibn al-Khalīj, to restore the dynasty, see Wiet, *L'Égypte arabe*, 113.

⁸² Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf al-iṣr*, 535/102.

⁸³ Ibid., 534/101.

⁸⁴ Wiet, *L'Égypte arabe*, 124-27. Taxes now left Egypt for Iraq, resulting in the inability to pay the military and the development of factionalism within the army. See Bianquis, "Autonomous Egypt," 109-11.

⁸⁵ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf al-iṣr*, 541/110-11.

⁸⁶ Ibid., 546/119, 550/124.

 $^{^{87}}$ For example, Abū 'Ubayd had to carry out an inquiry into the imam from his neighborhood. Ibid., 526/90.

practices, and who probably served as an intermediary between the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ and the notables. Nevertheless, $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ faced difficulties integrating into the local society and were not easily accepted. The arrival in 293/906 of the second $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ in the interregnum period, Abū 'Ubayd 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Ḥarb, was followed by a period of tension between him and the religious elite. He argued with the imam of the mosque where he prayed, then he quarreled with his neighbor, a secretary ($k\bar{l}$), who organized drinking parties and insinuated that Abū 'Ubayd was himself responsible for noise in the neighborhood at night. The Egyptian jurist Ibn al-Ḥaddād (d. 344/955) disliked him initially and compared him (pejoratively) to the $q\bar{l}$ Yaḥyā b. Aktham (d. 242/857), famous for his love of young men. Abū 'Ubayd was still very unpopular when in 304/916-7 (or perhaps in 306/918), he was accused of being responsible for the death of a popular Shāfi 'ī jurist ($faq\bar{l}$ h), Manṣūr b. Ismā 'īl. Shāfi 'I

To be accepted, the $q\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}$ s had to establish peaceful relationships with prominent notables and with the religious leaders of Fusṭāṭ. Abū 'Ubayd eventually acquired a good reputation by showing himself to be thoroughly incorruptible, to the extent that he paid for the fire $(n\bar{a}r)$ that he took from the baker. Aḥmad b. Ibrāhīm b. Ḥammād won over the jurist al-Ṭaḥāwī by attending his lessons and showing him deep respect. Ibn Zabr likewise tried to build a good relationship with al-Ṭaḥāwī.

These strategies were sometimes successful. After a few years in Fusṭāṭ, Abū 'Ubayd's reputation was so good that he became a major legal authority. His written decisions $(tawq\bar{\imath}\,'\bar{a}t)$ were collected in books and his sayings turned into proverbs. Other $q\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}$ s failed to be accepted, however. Despite his efforts, Ibn Zabr could not rid himself of his reputation as a bad scholar and was very unpopular. Ismāʻīl b. 'Abd al-Wāḥid earned the hatred of several prominent jurists such as Ibn al-Ḥaddād and al-Ṭaḥāwī after he threw them out of the great mosque. Some Mālikīs conspired against him, and during the civil war that broke out after the governor Takīn's death in 321/933, his house was plundered. The unfortunate $q\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}$ had no choice but to run away. Toward the end of the interregnum, the local inhabitants

⁸⁸ Ibid., 546/119.

⁸⁹ Ibid., 526/90.

⁹⁰ Ibid., 527/91. On Yaḥyā b. Aktham, see Tillier, *Les Cadis d'Iraq et l'État abbasside*, 443ff. and index.

⁹¹ Ibn Saʿīd, *Kitāb al-Mughrib fī ḥulā al-maghrib*, ed. K. L. Tallqvist (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1899), 95. Manṣūr b. Ismāʿīl b. ʿUmar al-Tamīmī (d. 306/918) was both a *faqīh* and a poet. The account is that, although he was once very close to the $q\bar{a}d\bar{\iota}$ Abū ʿUbayd, they quarrelled about a legal matter. The tension between the two men escalated until which point a witness testified that Manṣūr had said heretical words. The $q\bar{a}d\bar{\iota}$ Abū ʿUbayd threatened him with the death penalty if a second witness happened to give the same testimony, and Manṣūr was so scared that he died. See Ibn Khallikān, *Wafayāt al-aʿyān*, 5: 291.

⁹² Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 529/94.

⁹³ Ibid., 538/107.

⁹⁴ Ibid., 541/112.

⁹⁵ Ibid., 529/94.

⁹⁶ Ibid., 540/109-10.

⁹⁷ On this event, see Bianquis, "Autonomous Egypt," 110-11.

⁹⁸ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf al-iṣr*, 544-45/115-16.

(whether influenced by the leading scholars or not) were apparently fed up with these foreign $q\bar{a}q\bar{t}s$, whom they saw as corrupt and in league with an incompetent government. This could explain why Aḥmad b. Qutayba was so unwelcome when he arrived in 321/933, three years before the end of the 'Abbāsid rule, that the ' $\bar{a}mma$ threw stones at him and tore his black robes, which themselves were a symbol of his political allegiance. ⁹⁹

THE IKHSHĪDIDS (323-358/935-969)

The position of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$ changed dramatically under the Ikhshīdids. The first Ikhshīd, Muḥammad b. Tughj (r. 323-34/935-46), extended his authority over the judiciary in 324/936, soon after his appointment as governor. From then on, most of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$ were recruited from among the local scholars—either native Egyptians or men who had lived in Egypt for some time—perhaps to please the discontented Egyptian elite. Egyptian elite.

However, this change in recruitment had pernicious consequences, for it stirred up feelings of rivalry and competition between the jurists of Fusṭāṭ. Now, any *faqīh* of high standing could be a potential candidate. The professionalization of the judgeship, which had been well established in Iraq since the second half of the third/ninth century, ¹⁰³ reached Egypt and offered new opportunities to ambitious scholars. Moreover, the appointing power was in Fusṭāṭ itself, close to the scholars who could expect to be noticed by the ruler or gain his confidence. Therefore, the number of schemes hatched so as to be appointed a judge increased dramatically during the Ikhshīdid period. Several methods were tried out. ¹⁰⁴ One of the easiest was to eliminate a competitor by denigrating him in the eyes of the ruler. This happened to al-Ḥasan b. 'Abd Allāh al-Jawharī, who was dismissed in 331/942-3 after Bakrān al-Ṣabbāgh—who wanted his position, and ended up getting it—complained about him to al-Ikhshīd. ¹⁰⁵ To keep his position, 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. al-Khaṣīb led a group who disparaged Abū Ṭāhir al-Dhuhlī before the regent Kāfūr (r. 335-57/946-68). ¹⁰⁶ His son and successor to the

⁹⁹ Ibid., 546/119. On black as the official color of the 'Abbāsids, see Kh. Athamina, "Black Banners and the Socio-Political Significance of Flags and Slogans in Medieval Islam," *Arabica* 36 (1989), 317-21.

¹⁰⁰ On al-Ikhshīd, see Bacharach, "The Career of Muḥammad Ibn Ṭughj al-Ikhshīd," 588ff.

¹⁰¹ Al-Dawādārī, *Kanz al-durar*, 5: 371; Ibn Ḥajar, *Rafʿ al-iṣr*, 552/127. See Tillier, *Vies des cadis de Miṣr*, 24; cf. Kāshif, *Miṣr fī ʿaṣr al-ikhshīdiyyīn*, 209-10, 214.

There are some exceptions, however: Ibn Walīd was perhaps not Egyptian (Ibn Ḥajar, Raf° al-iṣr, 565/147) and al-Kishshī might have come from Palestine (ibid., 572/158). Two appointees were not native Egyptians but had settled in Egypt: 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. al-Khaṣīb was originally from Iṣfahān (ibid., 576/164) and Abū Ṭāhir al-Dhuhlī was from Baṣra (ibid., 581/173). In stating that "some" $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}s$ were Egyptians and "others" foreigners, Sayyida Kāshif did not take into account the important difference between "theoretical" $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}s$ (who were formally appointed in Baghdad) and "actual" $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}s$, nor did she notice that the majority of $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}s$ in this latter category were Egyptian. Kāshif, Misr $f\bar{t}$ 'aṣr al-ikhshīdiyyīn, 219.

¹⁰³ Tillier, Les Cadis d'Iraq et l'État abbasside, 357ff.

¹⁰⁴ See Tyan, *Histoire de l'organisation judiciaire*, 305-307.

¹⁰⁵ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 571/157. Ibn Ḥajar does not explain the nature of his complaint.

¹⁰⁶ Ibid., 578/166.

judgeship, if only for a year, Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. al-Khaṣīb, laid traps (*makāyid*, sg. *makīda*) at the amir's court in order to steer his potential rivals off course. ¹⁰⁷

A $q\bar{a}q\bar{t}$ who wanted to keep his position had to join forces with the ruler. Ibn Walīd (who secured the judgeship for three separate periods, between 329/941 to 336/948) collected for al-Ikhshīd the ransom for Muslim prisoners of war held by the Byzantines, but in order to make a good impression by bringing in a large amount of money, he abused his power and was accused of extortion. Later, Ibn Walīd paid Kāfūr in order to be reinstated in his position. Such a practice was also entered into by Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. al-Khaṣīb and his son Muḥammad. The civilian population of Fusṭāṭ must have been aware of the competition between the jurists. The madman Sībawayh (d. 358/969), able to articulate what everyone was thinking since his madness protected him from punishment, could therefore publicly warn the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ Ibn al-Ḥaddād of the intrigues of his colleague Ibn al-Walīd in 333/944-5.

The recruitment of $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ s by the Ikhshīdids from among the local jurists deepened the gap between professional witnesses (*shuhūd*) and the Egyptian people. The witnesses, whose number fluctuated but was always limited in quantity, represented an important part of the social elite and they wanted to keep their official positions. The proximity of the appointing power allowed them to play a significant role in judicial policy. Some of them were close to the ruler and could influence him. If a $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ reduced the number of witnesses even further by rejecting their integrity, the social position of the whole group could be threatened. They

¹⁰⁷ Ibid., 580/169, and Tillier, Vies des cadis de Mişr, 186.

¹⁰⁸ Ibn Hajar, Raf al-işr, 568/151-52. On this ransom, see Wiet, L'Égypte arabe, 138.

¹⁰⁹ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 567/151, 570/155. Ibn Ḥajar does not specify the amount of money paid to Kāfūr. The practice was seen as corruption; see Tillier, *Vies des cadis de Miṣr*, 32. Cf. Tyan, *Histoire de l'organisation judiciaire*, 302-303.

¹¹⁰ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 578/166, 579/168.

¹¹¹ Ibn Zūlāg, *Akhbār Sībawayh al-misrī*, ed. M. I. Sa'd and H. al-Dīb (Cairo: Maktabat al-ādāb, 1933), 37. Muḥammad b. Mūsā b. 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Kindī al-Şayrafī Sībawayh was also a scholar and a Shāfi'ī jurist, student of Ibn al-Ḥaddād. He belonged to the category of the "wise fools" ('uqalā' almajānīn) who, according to Michael Dols, "were often unofficial preachers or free-wheeling admonishers of the people, reminiscent of the Old Testament prophets." M. W. Dols, Majnūn: The Madman in Medieval Islamic Society (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1992), 363; see also F. Rosenthal, Humor in Early Islam (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1956), 15. His biographer, Ibn Zūlāq, was his contemporary and knew him personally. As Katia Zakharia reminds us in an article about the Kitāb 'uqalā' almajānīn by al-Nīsābūrī (d. 406/1016), "la voix du fou, quand elle s'élève, hors des normes et des valeurs de l'adab ou du figh, peut avoir dans le cœur du sage, quand il accepte de l'entendre, la grave résonance d'une ardente vérité." K. Zakharia, "Le statut du fou dans le Kitāb 'uqalā' al-maǧānīn d'al-Nīsābūrī, modalités d'une exclusion," Bulletin d'études orientales 49 (1997): 286. For Sībawayh, see Yāqūt, Mu'jam al-udabā', ed. I. 'Abbās, 7 vols. (Beirut: Dār al-Gharb al-Islāmī, 1993), 6: 2651-652; Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī, *Lisān al-mīzān*, 7 vols. (Beirut: Mu'assasat al-A'lāmī li-l-Maṭbūʿāt, 1986), 5: 400. See also I. 'Abbās, Fann al-sīra (Amman-Beirut: Dār Ṣādir-Dār al-Shurūq, 1996), 24-26; Th. Bianquis, "La Prise du pouvoir par les Fatimides en Égypte," Annales islamologiques 11 (1972): 56. As we will see below, Sībawayh was generally critical of the Ikhshīdids (for example, he publicly insulted al-Ikhshīd's vizier: Ibn Zūlāq, Akhbār Sībawayh, 26).

¹¹² Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 576/163.

would therefore do their best to harm that particular $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ by discrediting him with the ruler. A $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ who increased the number of witnesses, however, would gain their support. This brought about a rift between their hopes and the expectations of litigants for witnesses could be swayed in court by a $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ who supported them. Examples of both instances are the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ 'Umar b. al-Ḥasan al-Hāshimī, who was apparently respected for his judicial acumen but was dismissed by Kāfūr after the witnesses complained about him; ¹¹³ and Ibn Walīd, who was hated by the Egyptians for his misdeeds, but was supported by many witnesses whom he had admitted to the profession or whose social position he had strengthened. ¹¹⁴ The involvement of leading scholars and professional witnesses in the political hierarchy and in the competition for judgeship became so intense that they apparently no longer responded to the needs of the civilian population.

Because of the proximity between the ruler and the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$, the latter was more than ever an important political tool in the hands of the government. The $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ Ibn al-Ḥaddād was appointed to the $maz\bar{a}lim$ court by al-Ikhshīd, between 324/936 and 327/939, becoming thus a judicial and political rival of the Shari a-court $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$. He was therefore heavily criticized by the inhabitants of Fustāt. Ibn Badr helped al-Ikhshīd transfer the income of a waqf and assign it to the construction of a $qays\bar{a}riyya$. The same $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ was punished, however, when he refused to cooperate—he was put under house arrest upon his request that a political prisoner be treated with full respect for the judicial procedure. When Ibn Walīd received an official appointment from Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan al-ʿAbbāsī ($q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ in Baghdad, 336-39/948-51), he supported Ikhshīdid autonomy by reading the document in the privacy of his home—and not in the public arena of the mosque, as the 'Abbāsid power would have expected. Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh b. al-Khaṣīb gave all his time ($inqata a il\bar{a}$) to Kāfūr and supervised the construction of his palace. Abū Ṭāhir al-Dhuhlī was supported financially by Kāfūr, presumably in return for his political support.

The mad scholar Sībawayh's repeated criticism of al-Ikhshīd and his $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ s suggests that some Egyptians were not fooled by the political use of judgeship. ¹²³ On the whole, the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ s

¹¹³ Ibid., 575/163.

¹¹⁴ Ibid., 569/154, 576/163. There were still witnesses who hated him, however. See ibid., 568/152.

¹¹⁵ Kāshif, Mişr fī 'aşr al-ikhshīdiyyīn, 217.

¹¹⁶ Tillier, "*Qādīs* and the Political Use of the *Mazālim* Jurisdiction," 51.

¹¹⁷ Ibn Hajar, *Raf^c al-isr*, 556/134; Ibn Zūlāq, *Akhbār Sībawayh*, 33.

¹¹⁸ Ibn Ḥajar, Raf^c al-iṣr, 562/143. A $qayṣ\bar{a}riyya$ is "a large system of public buildings laid out in the form of cloisters with shops, workshops, warehouses and frequently also living-rooms." M. Streck, "Ķayṣāriyya," in EI^2 .

¹¹⁹ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 560/139.

¹²⁰ Ibid., 568/152. As recounted above, he also collected ransom money for al-Ikhshīd.

¹²¹ Ibid., 580/170.

¹²² Ibid., 583/176.

Ibn Zūlāq, $Akhb\bar{a}r$ $S\bar{\imath}bawayh$, 28, 32, 42, 44, 49. The fact that reports about Sībawayh were collected in a book by Ibn Zūlāq implies that his words and deeds were not considered simply anecdotal, but rather socially and/or politically significant. One of his criticisms, of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}$ Bakrān's homosexual behavior, was meant to point out his close contact with the Egyptian rulers. Sībawayh

under the Ikhshīdids had a sullied reputation. Ibn Ḥajar's biographies chronicle the many accusations of corruption made against them. Ibn Zabr, who had already been unpopular under the governorship of Takīn, was reappointed twice by al-Ikhshīd (or with his authorization)¹²⁴—in addition to various abuses, he asked for money to accept new witnesses. Ibn Walīd was corrupt ($madhk\bar{u}r$ bi-l- $irtish\bar{u}$) and abused his power. Ibn Walīd was enhanced by the Egyptians, Ibn Walīd was Bakrān. Even Ibn Badr, whose reputation was enhanced by his rigorous administration of the estates of orphans at the end of the 'Abbāsid interregnum, Was now considered corrupt Habrarian the harmed witnesses and, in a pretense of increased meticulousness, doubled the number of witnesses required to testify to the appointment of an agent ($mak\bar{u}$). It is possible that the increasing professionalization of the judgeship led to an actual change in judicial practice, which was then seen as corrupt by contemporaries. But the Ikhshīdid $q\bar{a}d\bar{u}$ s' undeniable close association with the government and cooperation with the ruler led to a growing chasm between them and the local civilian elite, and this probably helped to damage the aura of judicial independence and impartiality.

The general anger against the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ led to several riots. Some unclear troubles that occurred in Fustāt in 331/942-3 might have been caused by the unpopularity of Bakrān. A riot broke out when Ibn Walīd paid Kāfūr to be reappointed as a $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$. When 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. al-Khaṣīb punished the inhabitants of al-Faramā who had complained about him, the populace revolted and forced him to run away. And another riot developed when his son Muḥammad b. 'Abd Allāh was appointed $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ in 348/959—Kāfūr had to launch an investigation into the reason for the discontent this caused. Frustration was sometimes so deep that the ruler had no choice but to take the populace's aspirations into account. For example, when a complaint was lodged against Bakrān, al-Ikhshīd summoned him and punished him. Eventually, he gathered the notables of Fustāt (wujūh al-nās) and appointed a new $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ in accordance with their wishes. In 348/959, after a series of unpopular $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ and several revolts against them, Kāfūr eventually agreed to appoint Abū Ṭāhir al-Dhuhlī, again in

declared that, to purify him, the $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ should go down on all fours, have a funnel put up his rectum, and be flushed out with the waters of the Nile. Ibid., 42.

¹²⁴ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 542/113, 561/141.

¹²⁵ Ibid., 559/138.

¹²⁶ For example, he asked witnesses to sign a legal document without letting them read it first. Ibid., 568/152.

¹²⁷ Ibid., 565/148, 568/152.

¹²⁸ Ibid., 545/116, 573/159.

¹²⁹ Ibid., 560/139.

¹³⁰ Ibid., 562/142.

¹³¹ Ibid., 560/140.

¹³² Ibid., 573/159.

¹³³ Ibid., 570/155.

¹³⁴ Ibid., 578/166.

¹³⁵ Ibid., 579/168.

¹³⁶ Ibid., 573/159.

accordance with the wishes of the notables and the judiciary staff¹³⁷ (however, he limited the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$'s power by hearing litigants himself at the $maz\bar{a}lim$ court¹³⁸).

The Ikhshīdids had to maintain a balance between their policy of judicial autonomy from the central administration (which required that they impose their authority by controlling appointments) and the gratification of local scholars (and its corollary, the satisfaction of the public's expectations). Because of the increasing complexity of the legal field, this was often problematic. Kāfūr faced more difficulties than his predecessors because he did not initially understand how necessary it was to gain the support of major scholars such as Ibn al-Ḥaddād. His appointment of 'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. al-Khaṣīb, whom Ibn al-Ḥaddād deeply disliked, 139 was a mistake that eventually led to urban riots.

On the whole, Ibn al-Ḥaddād, who was head of the Shāfiʿī school at that time, 140 represented a challenge for the dynasty. On the one hand, because of his prestige and his reputation as a scholar, 141 the Ikhshīdids could not ignore him. He was therefore appointed $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ in 324/936¹⁴² and regularly attended the governor's court ($k\bar{a}na$ kat $\bar{t}r$ al-taraddud ilayhim). 143 On the other hand, he was to a large extent beyond the Ikhshīdids' control. Like his fellow $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ Ibn Abī Zur'a, ¹⁴⁴ he showed his fidelity to the 'Abbāsids by wearing black robes. 145 Even if the Ikhshīdids were still loyal to the 'Abbāsids, as their coinage suggested, 146 they soon claimed their autonomy in judicial matters and tried their hardest to weaken the formal links between the Egyptian judiciary and the Baghdadi qāḍīs. 147 Ibn al-Ḥaddād's behavior could therefore be regarded as provocative. Like Abū 'Ubayd 'Alī b. al-Husayn b. Harb under Takīn, he adopted a critical attitude towards the government. He dared to criticize Kāfūr in public¹⁴⁸ and he was suspected of Shi'ite sympathies. ¹⁴⁹ Moreover, he strongly maintained his judicial authority against the will of the government. He kept in prison a debtor whom al-Ikhshīd's brother and deputy (khalīfa), Abū l-Muzaffar al-Hasan b. Tughi (d. 342/953), 150 wanted to release, and although the latter controlled the prison, he did not dare oppose the $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$. The Ikhshīdids found themselves facing an insoluble problem: they could not do without him, but he was a thorn in their side. This is probably why Ibn al-Haddād was

¹³⁷ Ibid., 583-84/177.

¹³⁸ Ibid., 584/178.

¹³⁹ Ibid., 554/130, 576/164, 578/166.

¹⁴⁰ Kāshif, Miṣr fī 'aṣr al-ikhshīdiyyīn, 306.

¹⁴¹ See Ibn Zūlāq, *Akhbār Sībawayh*, 19.

¹⁴² Ibn Hajar, *Raf* al-isr, 552/127.

¹⁴³ Ibid., 555/131.

¹⁴⁴ Ibid., 562/143.

¹⁴⁵ Ibid., 552/127, 555/162.

¹⁴⁶ Bacharach, "The Career of Muhammad Ibn Tughj," 604-607.

¹⁴⁷ Tillier, Vies des cadis de Misr, 24-25.

¹⁴⁸ Ibn Hajar, *Raf* al-isr, 553/127, 555/131.

¹⁴⁹ Ibid., 555-56/133.

¹⁵⁰ For Abū l-Muzaffar, see Ibn ʿAsākir, *Taʾrīkh Dimashq*, ed. ʿU. b. Gharāma al-ʿAmrawī, 80 vols. (Beirut: Dār al-Fikr, 1995), 13: 117-18; Ibn Taghrībirdī, *al-Nujūm al-zāhira*, 3: 252, 254, 310.

¹⁵¹ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf* al-iṣr, 567/150-51. On the key issue of prisons in the struggle for judicial autonomy, see Tillier, *Les Cadis d'Iraq et l'État abbasside*, 492ff.

appointed $q\bar{a}d\bar{t}$ twice under their rule, but only for short periods. Al-Ikhshīd even tried to ruin his reputation: he sent him a singer on whose behalf Ibn al-Ḥaddād had interceded, and could therefore claim that the famous jurist was secretly listening to music. 153

CONCLUSION

The judiciary in Egypt was of political importance for both the Tūlūnids and the Ikhshīdids. Nevertheless, the two dynasties adopted different attitudes toward the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ s. The Tūlūnids were more interested in the legitimacy that the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ s could offer them rather than in the direct control of their appointments, while the Ikhshīdids were more preoccupied with their authority and they tried to control the appointments of their $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ s. In between the two dynasties, after the fall of the Tūlūnids, the 'Abbāsids had to re-establish their control over and legitimacy in the province and prove their ability to dispense justice according to the law. Political change and competition for legitimacy advanced the development of judicial autonomy. However, the loosening of the links between the judiciary and the 'Abbāsid governors during the first half of the fourth/tenth century probably furthered corruption among the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ s, and the foreign origin of most of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$ s was also responsible for their increasing unpopularity.

The Ikhshīdids recruited their judges more and more from among the Egyptian scholars as a way to enforce their control, and perhaps also to satisfy the populace's desire for local $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}s$. However, this had an unexpected and insidious effect. By creating new opportunities for the Egyptian jurists, the Ikhshīdids brought the judiciary into the political arena. As a consequence, the $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}s$ no longer acted as intermediaries between the people and the government. Their abuses led to several uprisings, while private scholars such as Ibn al-Ḥaddād stood up for the public's interests. ¹⁵⁴ Ibn al-Ḥaddād (in his capacity of $muft\bar{l}^{155}$) was pious and enjoyed judicial independence and he was therefore more revered than any other $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}$.

To a large extent, this development limited the actual impact of the newly cultivated judicial independence. Theoretically, the legal field now enjoyed complete autonomy from government; Iraqi jurists claimed that judges were largely independent. In practice, however, as the Egyptian attempt at judicial autonomy shows, involvement of the $q\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ in political networks increased, and their practical independence was therefore seriously weakened. The professionalization of the judgeship, with its attractive salaries, also added to their willingness to become $q\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ or to keep their judicial positions, and therefore they were more receptive to political pressure. The Fāṭimid conquest of Egypt in 358/969 changed the situation once again. Since the Fāṭimids could hardly dismiss the much-esteemed Abū Ṭāhir al-Dhuhlī, who had been appointed by the Ikhshīdid Kāfūr to agree with the wishes of the

¹⁵² Tillier, Vies des cadis de Misr, 12-13.

¹⁵³ Ibn Ḥajar, *Rafʿ al-iṣr*, 555/132; Ibn Saʿīd, *al-Mughrib*, 18.

¹⁵⁴ Ibid., 555/132.

¹⁵⁵ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf[°] al-iṣr*, 553/128; Ibn Sa[°]īd, *al-Mughrib*, 32.

¹⁵⁶ Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf al-iṣr*, 551/126, 552/126.

¹⁵⁷ Ibid., 552/126, 552/128.

¹⁵⁸ Ibid., 552/126-27.

¹⁵⁹ Tillier, Les Cadis d'Iraq et l'État abbasside, 631ff.

Egyptian notables — he had even changed one of his judgments to comply with the inhabitants' desires —, ¹⁶⁰ they simply restored the *mazālim* court and appointed a rival judge. ¹⁶¹ The judiciary was again, more than ever, a major political concern.

List of Egyptian governors and qādīs¹⁶²

Governor	Qāḍī
Ţūlūnids	
Aḥmad b. Ṭūlūn (254-70/868-84)	Bakkār b. Qutayba (246-70/860-84)
Khumārawayh (270-82/884-96)	Muḥammad b. 'Abda b. Ḥarb (277 or 278-83/890 or 891-96)
Jaysh b. Khumārawayh (282-3/896)	
Hārūn b. Khumārawayh (283-92/896-904)	Abū Zur'a Muḥammad b. 'Uthmān (284-
Shaybān b. Aḥmad (292/905)	92/896-905)
`Abbāsid interregnum	
ʿĪsā al-Nūsharī (292–97/905-10)	Muḥammad b. 'Abda b. Ḥarb (292/905)
	Abū 'Ubayd 'Alī b. al-Ḥusayn b. Ḥarb (293-311/906-24)
Abū Manşūr Takīn (297-302/910-15)	
Dhakā al-A'war (303-307/915-19)	
Abū Manṣūr Takīn (307-309/919-21)	
Hilāl b. Badr (309-11/921-23)	
Aḥmad b. Kayghalagh (311/923-4)	

¹⁶⁰ Abū Ṭāhir al-Duhlī had ruled that the son of a Christian woman who had converted to Islam would remain Christian like his father. The caused a furor, with people arguing that it was contrary to the Shī 'ite and the Šāfī 'ī doctrine, and the judge finally reversed his decision. Ibn Ḥajar, *Raf al-iṣr*, 586/181-82.

¹⁶¹ Ibn Hajar, Raf al-isr, 584/179.

¹⁶² The following list does not take into account the "theoretical" $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}s$ of Fustat who were appointed in Baghdad and never came to Egypt. For a list including these $q\bar{a}d\bar{l}s$, see Tillier, *Vies des cadis de Misr*, 11-14.

Governor	Qāḍī
Abū Manşūr Takīn (311-21/924-33)	Abū l-Dhikr Muḥammad b. Yaḥyā al-Aswānī (311-2/924)
	Ibrāhīm b. Muḥammad al-Kurayzī (312-13/924-25)
	'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Isḥāq al-Jawharī (313-14/925-26)
	Aḥmad b. Ibrāhīm b. Ḥammād (314-16/926-29)
	'Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad b. Zabr (317/929)
	Aḥmad b. Ibrāhīm b. Ḥammād (317-20/929-32)
	'Abd Allāh b. Ahmad b. Zabr (320-21/932-33)
Aḥmad b. Kayghalagh (321-22/933-34)	Ismāʿīl b. ʿAbd al-Wāḥid al-Maqdisī (321/933)
	Aḥmad b. ʿAbd Allāh b. Qutayba (321/933)
	Aḥmad b. Ibrāhīm b. Ḥammād (321-22/933-34)
Dispute between Muḥammad b. Takīn and Aḥmad b. Kayghalagh (322-23/934-35)	Muḥammad b. Mūsā al-Sarakhsī (322/934)
	Muḥammad b. Badr al-Ṣayrafī (322-24/934-36)
Ikhshīdids	
Muḥammad b. Ṭughj al-Ikhshīd (323-34/935-46)	Muḥammad b. Badr al-Ṣayrafī (322-24/934-36)
	'Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad b. Zabr (324/936)
	Миḥаmmad b. Aḥmad b. al-Ḥaddād (324- 25/936-37)
	al-Ḥusayn b. Abī Zurʿa (325-27/937-39)
	Muḥammad b. Badr al-Ṣayrafī (327-29/939-40)
	'Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad b. Zabr (329/940-41)
	'Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad b. Shu'ayb (Ibn Walīd) (329/941)

Governor	Qāḍī
	Muḥammad b. Badr al-Ṣayrafī (329-30/941-42)
	Abū l-Dhikr Muḥammad b. Yaḥyā (330/942)
	al-Ḥasan b. ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Jawharī (330-31/942-43)
	Aḥmad b. 'Abd Allāh al-Kishshī (331/943)
	'Atīq b. al-Ḥasan al-Ṣabbāgh, Bakrān (331/943)
	'Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad b. Shu'ayb (Ibn Walīd) (331-33/943-45)
	al-Ḥasan b. ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Jawharī (333/945)
	Muḥammad b. Aḥmad b. al-Ḥaddād (333-34/944-45)
	'Abd Allāh b. Aḥmad b. Shu'ayb (Ibn Walīd) (334-36/945-48)
Regency of Kāfūr (335-55/946-66)	'Umar b. al-Ḥasan al-Hāshimī (336-39/948-51)
Unūjūr b. al-Ikhshīd (335-49/946-60)	'Abd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. al-Khaṣīb (339-47/951-59)
'Alī b. al-Ikhshīd (349-55/960-66)	Muḥammad b. ʿAbd Allāh b. Muḥammad b. al-Khaṣīb (348/959)
Kāfūr (355-57/966-68)	Abū Ṭāhir al-Dhuhlī (348-66/959-76)
Aḥmad b. ʿAlī b. al-Ikhshīd (357-58/968-69)	