

Beginnings of financial reporting and premises of consolidation of accounts in French aluminium industry,1921-1939

Didier Bensadon

▶ To cite this version:

Didier Bensadon. Beginnings of financial reporting and premises of consolidation of accounts in French aluminium industry,1921-1939. IAAER - ACCOUNTING RENAISSANCE Lessons from the Crisis and Looking into the Future. Learning from Histories and Institutions, Nov 2011, Venise, Italy. halshs-00640503

HAL Id: halshs-00640503 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-00640503

Submitted on 12 Nov 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Beginnings of financial reporting and premises of consolidation of accounts in the French aluminium industry, 1921-1939.

Didier Bensadon
Associate Professor in Financial Accounting
University Paris-Dauphine, DRM

Place du Marechal de Lattre de Tassigny 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France didier.bensadon@dauphine.fr

The expansion of groups of companies during the inter-war years is one of the most profound transformations in the structure of French capitalism. Studies in economic history have shown the importance of the subsidiary creation phenomenon in relation to Compagnie Générale d'Electricité, Energie industrielle or Schneider . By contrast, these studies are less interested in the specific arrangements for auditing subsidiaries and managing Company Groups. This article seeks to show how and why the directors of Alais, Froges et Camargue - The largest French company in the aluminum sector- established specific audit measures from the 1920s onwards. This research is essentially based on the company's archives (annual reports, general organisation chart and memoranda from the general secretariat). Even if the results published in the annual reports should be treated with the utmost caution, in particular owing to the absence of accounting regulation in France in the inter-war years, they remain essential for assessing the important position of subsidiaries and main shareholdings in assets. The scope of the subsidiary creation phenomenon, which is behind the establishment of specific controls, is highlighted. This trend, far from being linear, is strongly influenced by the economic and political situation. The size of the Group's growth gave rise to two types of requirements for the directors of Alais, Froges et Camargue, namely to audit the subsidiaries and to measure the group's net cash flow.

The response to the need for auditing the subsidiaries was provided by the introduction of financial reporting from 1921. Faced with the increasing number of subsidiaries and main shareholdings held by *Alais, Froges et Camargue*, this control mechanism was to be strengthened in 1931. Furthermore, the necessity of measuring the Group's net cash flow led the directors in 1927 to draw up a financial statement whose conceptual foundations were based on those of the consolidation of accounts.

Keywords: financial reporting, accounting history, group accounts, French aluminium industry, shareholdings.

Introduction

The expansion of groups of companies during the inter-war years is one of the most profound transformations in the structure of French capitalism. The legal consequences of this transformation have been particularly well analysed in law papers focusing on the concept of a subsidiary (Gégout, 1929; Rosset, 1932; Percerou, 1933; Sainton, 1938).

More recently, studies in economic history have shown the importance of the subsidiary creation phenomenon in relation to *Compagnie Générale d'Electricité* (Bouvier, 2005), *Energie industrielle* (Vuillermont, 2001) and *Schneider* (d'Angio, 2000). By contrast, these studies are less interested in the specific arrangements for auditing subsidiaries and managing Company Groups.

Following on from studies by Cailluet (1995), this article seeks to show how and why the directors of *Alais, Froges et Camargue* established specific audit measures from the 1920s onwards. This company, born out of the merger in 1921 of the *Produits Chimiques Alais et Camargue* Company (PCAC) and *Société électrométallurgique française* (SEMF), underwent a significant and rapid expansion in its energy and electrochemistry related activities, along with activities in the production and consequent transformation of aluminium.

This research is essentially based on the company's archives¹ (annual reports, general organisation chart and memoranda from the general secretariat) and Law PhD theses researched in French universities during 1930s. Priority was given to the latter printed source as these pieces of university research not only deal with the legal issues of the time, but also outline solutions which it would have been desirable to implement. The theses constitute rich material for understanding the legal and management practices of the day.

Following an inventory of the CUJAS law and economic sciences library (Paris), three theses were identified which deal specifically with the regulation of balance sheets and which develop, in particular, ideas on the financial information to be produced by companies at the head of corporate groups (Spire, (1931), Percerou, (1932) and Rosset, (1933)). These elements allow to present the context of financial reporting during the interwar period (I)

Even if the results published in the annual reports should be treated with the utmost caution, in particular owing to the absence of regulation of accounting functions in France in the inter-war years, they remain essential for assessing the important position of subsidiaries and main shareholdings in capital assets.

The scope of the subsidiary creation phenomenon, which is behind the establishment of specific controls, is highlighted. This trend, far from being linear, is strongly influenced by the economic and political situation (II).

The size of the Group's growth gave rise to two types of requirements for the directors of *Alais, Froges et Camarque*, namely to audit the subsidiaries and to measure the group's net cash flow.

The response to the need for auditing the subsidiaries was provided by the introduction of financial reporting from 1921. Faced with the increasing number of subsidiaries and main shareholdings held by *Alais, Froges et Camargue*, this control mechanism was to be strengthened in 1931. Furthermore, the necessity of measuring *the Group's net cash flow* led the directors in 1927 to draw up a financial statement whose conceptual foundations were based on those of the consolidation of accounts (III).

- 1. Financial reporting during inter-war period: some evidences
 - 1.1 The improbable financial reporting of corporate groups in France

François Spire embraced the subject in his thesis entitled *La réglementation des bilans en France et à l'étranger* (The regulation of balance sheets in France and abroad), researched at the University of Paris in 1931. He also discussed the effects that regulating balance sheets could have on business opportunities. Basing his arguments on the principle of individual liberty and referring to the French Revolution, Spire explained that balance sheets should not undergo any form of State intervention. Liberalists opposed to regulating balance sheets argued that regulation would do nothing to prevent fraudulent practices as managers would hide behind legislative clauses, interpreting them in their favour. The other argument put forward was that regulation would make it impossible for directors to

¹ The author would like to thank the 'Institut pour l'Histoire de l'Aluminium' [Institute for the History of Aluminium] (IHA) for its support of this research.

carry out their functions: "If regulations were to be imposed by law, we would see company directors resigning en masse and the recruitment of new board members would become almost impossible" (Spire, 1931, p. 58). One of the arguments in favour of regulation was a significant improvement in financial reporting for shareholders, creditors, bond holders, the State and tax authorities. On the whole, Spire declared that he was in favour of regulating balance sheets. However, he remained opposed to making it compulsory for companies to comply with standardised balance sheets introduced by law. He proposed that the legislature merely lay down certain principles and obligations.

One might be surprised to find that the list of obligations did not state anything about subsidiaries and shareholdings. Spire's view on this topic was surprising. He stated: "It does not seem necessary and even seems dangerous to us to oblige companies to publish the breakdown of their share portfolio in their balance sheet" (Spire, 1931, p. 129). The reasons given to justify this view are unconvincing: "Such a requirement, disregarding the crucial difference between the balance sheet and inventory [...]" (Spire, 1931, p. 129).

It is in the thesis Lois actuelles et projets récents en matière de sociétés par actions (Allemagne, Angleterre, Italie) (Current laws and recent draft bills concerning companies limited by shares (Germany, England, Italy)), produced by André Percerou at the University of Paris in 1932, that the first advances in accounting standards for corporate groups and subsidiaries can be found. Percerou's work was part of the reflection on potential reforms on company law in France which would concern balance sheet practices, corporate groups and multiple-vote shares. His definition of corporate groups was as follows: "The existence of a corporate group can be acknowledged in situations where several legally distinct companies are brought together under a common source of control; the control by common masters being in many cases a result of one or several of the companies possessing share capital in one or several of the others" (Percerou, 1932, p. 528).

Percerou criticised this form of economic concentration in two fundamental ways. The first concerned the behaviour of company directors whose role was to favour one or several of the group's companies to the detriment of other companies belonging to the same group. The second regarded reciprocal shareholdings which, according the author, created "a dangerous mirage of power and volume". One of the measures taken to limit such consequences was the implementation of legislation to restrict reciprocal shareholdings. Percerou did not believe, on the other hand, that publishing overall financial information or a list of shareholdings and their values, as was the practice in the United States or United Kingdom, would offer an effective solution. The reason given by the author was based on the widely shared attitude of the business world, highlighted in the following quote: "[...] And yet, such a measure has almost no chance of being accepted. People would oppose it, rightly or wrongly, for creating a barrier to 'business confidentiality" (Percerou, 1932, p. 541).

The same concerns were raised in 1933 in the thesis by Paul-René Rosset, entitled *Traité théorique et pratique des sociétés financières : holdings companies et investments trusts* (A theoretical and practical treatise on financial companies: holding companies and investment trusts). Chapter XXII entitled *Les comptes finals des sociétés financières* (The final accounts of financial companies) dealt with the issues surrounding the production of financial statements for financial companies. The author was apparently unfamiliar with consolidated accounting techniques as there is no mention of them in his work. He did, however, query the quality of balance sheets produced by financial companies in cases where, due to the multiplicity of activities of the companies belonging to the financial company, financial statements arrived several weeks or even several months late. This problem was even greater where there were sub-subsidiaries and when the dates of the financial end of year differed:

"If out of two directly controlled operating companies, one ends its financial year on 31st March and the other on 30th September, while another company operating in the same group closes its accounts on 30th June and also depends on an intermediary shareholding company for whom the financial year follows the calendar year, it is easy to imagine that the figures showing these companies' situations consequently go against the aim of the final accounts of holding companies, that is, to offer a view of the group's situation at a given time in its life" (Rosset, 1933, p. 312).

Lateness in the production of financial statements allowed camouflaging to take place and fictitious dividends to be paid out. Rosset also indicated that with the current state of the regulations, the balance sheets produced were of purely relative value: "Moreover, in general we can only regret the lack of clarity and detail found in financial companies' balance sheets, often resulting in documents which have no true meaning at all" (Rosset, 1933, p. 315). The reasons for this are simple and widely acknowledged: on one the hand, the lack of regulation on holding companies and on the other, the lack of regulation on balance sheets which allowed company directors to blithely confuse shares,

portfolios, shareholdings and trade unions. Rosset concluded that shareholders could not expect to find the information, to which they had a right, in the balance sheet. In order to find this information, shareholders should examine the board of directors' report and if possible, the full published list of shareholdings. However, the author made sure to add: "It is understandable that it is not always possible for a holding company to provide, in such a way, information which is perhaps of a confidential nature to the business, in a document generally published in thousands of copies" (Rosset, 1933, p. 318).

However, in order to circumvent this untouchable corporate confidentiality, the author suggested that shareholdings be grouped together, either by currency or by currency and by business activity.

1.2) Financial reporting in the United Kingdom and Germany

The United Kingdom Companies Act of 1929 contained several provisions concerning subsidiaries; in particular, in regard to the power and remuneration of directors in common and to balance sheets. Article 123 of the Act laid down companies' obligation to supply three documents to shareholders: the director's report, balance sheet and the profit and loss account. The Companies Act of 1929 also demanded that companies include details of their relationship with subsidiaries in their balance sheet. The report produced by the Greene committee which was used in drawing up the Companies Act, stated that companies who wished to conceal their trading results had found a way to do so by creating "inextricable confusion between their interests and those of their subsidiaries". Shareholders were complaining that information provided by holding companies was unintelligible if it was not accompanied by comprehensive reporting on the situation with regard to its subsidiaries.

In response to these demands, articles 125 to 129 were devoted to the issue. Article 125 obliged parent companies to present their subsidiaries' stocks as well as accounts receivable and payable, in clearly distinguishable entries. In order to preserve business confidentiality, overall figures were to be provided.

Article 126 outlined the duty of directors to report on how profits and losses were calculated:

"Where a company has a direct shareholding or a shareholding through an intermediary, in one or several subsidiaries, a declaration stating how the subsidiary's or subsidiaries' profit and loss have been calculated in the holding company's accounts, will be included in the annexes of the balance sheet. This statement should explain how and to what extent:

a) the holding company has provided for the losses of the subsidiary, whether it be in the accounts of the subsidiary or in those of the holding company, or in both; b) the directors of the holding have taken account of the losses of a subsidiary in establishing the holding company's profits and loss account ".

Article 127 clarified the definition of a subsidiary and the checking criteria:

"When the assets of a company consist fully or partially of shares in another company held either directly or through an intermediary, and that: a) the value of these shares (at the time at which the holding company's accounts are produced) represents more than 50% of the issued capital of that other company or when this value is such that it gives the holding company more than 50% of the votes in the said company, or that:

b) the company has direct or indirect control of the appointment of the majority of the directors in the said company, this other company will be considered to be a subsidiary company."

The last of these provisions, Article 128, focused on the disclosure of amounts paid to company directors. Companies were required to publish: "the total value of amounts paid to directors in remuneration for their services, including all salaries, percentages and other benefits (emoluments) paid to them or likely to be received by them from the company or any of its subsidiaries".

The situation in Germany was somewhat different due to the existence of extremely powerful corporate groups known as *Konzerns*. It was Article N°261 of the ruling of December 1 9th, 1931 which gave the Reich government the power "to introduce clauses for Konzerns that would require end-of-year financial reporting and the production of a balance sheet and profit and loss accounts showing the whole group's situation" (Sainton, 1938, p. 165). While Article N° 226 of the Commercial Code prohibited dependant firms from purchasing or applying for shares in the holding company, Article N° 240 prevented the granting of credit by the corporation to its own directors or to the directors of a company in which it held a majority shareholding.

Article N°260 contained details of financial reporting for *Konzerns* under which they were required to publish a report exposing any existing links with dependent companies or another *Konzern*. Instructions on the information required to appear on the balance sheet were given in Article N°261. The latter aimed at having companies include the various details on any shareholdings they may have had in their balance sheets. Thus, accounts receivable belonging to the *Konzern* had to be shown as a clearly distinguishable entry. Accounts payable were expected to be handled in the same distinct manner. The last requirement concerned the profit and loss account in which revenue had to be presented in two categories: that generated by the parent company and that generated by subsidiaries.

While the Companies Act of 1929 already included a range of provisions on subsidiaries in the United Kingdom, the arrival of the corporate group phenomenon in France drove directors to investigate ways of making financial reporting more usufull.

- 2. A policy of subsidiary creation by Alais, Froges et Camargue (1921-1939)
 - 2.1. Increase in the balance sheet item: 'Shares and Shareholdings'

Analysis of the subsidiary creation policy conducted by the directors of *Alais, Froges and Camargue* between 1921 and 1939 was achieved from balance sheets published in the annual reports². Conscious of the limits of this financial and accounting information in a context marked by an almost total absence of accounting regulation, analysis of the growth in Stocks and Industrial Shareholdings, which appeared on the balance sheet, gives us information about the policy of external growth operated by the directors of *Alais, Froges et Camargue*.

Stocks and Industrial Shareholdings comprise three headings:

- industrial shares and shareholdings;
- French government bonds;
- salt mines.

The last two items were of negligible quantity³ and the analysis is based on the first item. This encompasses the subsidiaries in which AFC exercises a control and the shareholdings judged to be significant by the Company directors.

It was hardly possible to calculate the weight of the shares and industrial shareholdings in absolute stocks because of the large monetary variations characteristic of the 1920s and 30s. In order to better understand the policy of subsidiary creation, a ratio (R1) has been calculated. This latter emphasises the part that relates to shares and industrial shareholdings in capital assets (Table 1). This ratio has been calculated as follows:

R1 = Shares and Shareholdings/ (Factory assets⁴ + Stocks and Industrial Shareholdings)

³ Between 1921 and 1939, French government bonds and salt mines represented less than 2% of stocks and industrial holdings.

² Pechiney archives: Doc 4 B01 to B022, AFC Annual Reports 1921-1939.

⁴ The balance sheet item 'factory assets' brings together the Giraud chemical and salt factories, hydro-electrical and electrometallurgical plants, miscellaneous establishments, mines and quarries as well as property companies.

Table 1: Increase in the R1 ratio

Year	R1 Ratio
	(in %)
1921	21.83
1922	21.64
1923	17.43
1924	22.75
1925	23.28
1926	26.52
1927	23.64
1928	25.98
1929	26.88
1930	31.36
1931	36.78
1932	39.27
1933	39.00
1934	38.70
1935	38.05
1936	34.52
1937	32.98
1938	35.76
1939	29.69

Graph 1: Increase in the weight of shares and industrial shareholdings (1921-1939)



The weight of shares and industrial shareholdings increased irregularly between 1921 and 1927. By contrast, we observe a continual increase in the R1 ratio which increased from 23% in 1927 to 39% in 1933. The arrival of the French political party, 'Front Populaire' [Popular Front], and the increasing threat of war deflected this policy of subsidiary creation between 1934 and 1939.

2.2. 'Subsidiary Fever'

Explanations for the policy of subsidiary creation conducted by the directors can partly be found in the annual reports of *Alais, Froges and Camargue*. These had an unchanging structure from 1921 to 1939. They begin with a list of members of the board of directors. A brief description of the macroeconomic climate follows, then a sector-based study of the aluminium market. The shareholders are then informed of the state of progress of the company's main industrial strategies.

Financial information on the subsidiaries and main shareholdings always begins with the same introductory phase, "Finally, we will, as we do every year, give you the key information on the subsidiaries and shareholdings that we have not had the opportunity to mention apropos our other activities". Despite the importance of the subsidiary creation phenomenon between 1921 and 1939, 5 there is no list of subsidiaries.

2.2.1 The expansion of Alais, Froges et Camargue due to external factors (1921-1932)

In 1922 the directors of *Alais, Froges and Camargue* concluded an agreement with an important group of coalmines from the North and the East in order to create two companies. This scheduled the creation of *Amonia*, which was intended to exploit coal gas with a view to producing synthetic ammonia. It also defined methods for creating and operating *Huiles, goudrons et dérivés*, formed for the purpose of distilling tar produced by the coalmining industry and extracting from that all of the derivatives that might be saleable. The reduction in the weight of shares and industrial shareholdings in the capital assets of *Alais, Froges and Camargue* in 1923 originated from the desire to liquidate a number of shareholdings which were either created during the war or immediately after the armistice and which no longer needed to appear in the Company's portfolio of activities.

The increase in shares and industrial shareholdings in AFC balance sheet assets in 1924 is explained to a large extent by the acquisition of a considerable number of shares in *Aluminio Italiano* and the creation of two 'Sociétés de Forces Motrices' (SFM) [power companies]: *SFM du Vicdessos* and *SFM de la Haute-Romanche*. Furthermore, AFC formed the *Société des produits chimiques de Roche-la-Molière* together with the *Compagnie houillère des bassins de la Loire*.

The increase recorded in 1926 was the result almost exclusively of applications for increases in capital for three important companies specialising in water flow management (SFM de la Durance, SFM de la Haute-Romanche, SFM de Bonne et Drac). The company also strengthened its interests in the chemicals sector by contributing to the increase in the capital of *Prodotti Chimici Napoli*.

Between 1927 and 1932 the rise in the R1 ratio is explained by the acquisition of shareholdings in Société d'Electro-chimie d'Electro-métallurgie et des Aciéries Electriques d'Ugine, the Société des Produits Azotés and in Société Lyonnaise de Produits Benzoïques, a large consumer of materials produced by AFC.

In 1927 and 1928 AFC continued to invest heavily in power companies (*Vicdessos*, *Durance*, *Haute-Romanche* and *Bonne et Drac*) within the energy sector. The prospect of profits in the nascent petroleum industry prompted the directors of AFC to form a partnership with the directors of *Saint-Gobain* to create the *Société des Produits Chimiques et Raffineries de Berre* in 1928. Owing to differences of opinion with the directors of *Saint-Gobain*, AFC's experiment came to a sudden end as it sold its shares again the following year. Nevertheless, AFC retained its investments in the petroleum industry with the *Compagnie française des Pétroles*:

"In short, not wanting to remain strangers to the industries that are involved in the treatment of petroleum oils and its by-products, we have taken advantage of the recent increase in capital by Compagnie française des pétroles to acquire an interest in this large concern" (AFC Annual Report, 1930: 8).

The large increase in 'Shares and Shareholdings' is also explained by the acquisition of stakes in *Minorga* (specialist consultants in the storing of chemical products) and *Studal* (specialists in the promotion and sale of aluminium products) in 1931. In the chemical production sector, investments focussed on the Spanish company *Potassas Ibericas* and the *Société Générale du Magnésium* for which the directors of the company anticipated making substantial profits. Finally, in 1932 *Alais, Froges et Camargue* approved the twofold increase in the capital of its subsidiary, *l'Aluminium Français*.

⁵ The weight of shares and industrial holdings represents on average more than 31% of capital assets (cf. Table 1)

2.2.2. The Economy Slows Down (1933-1939)

This increase in 'Shares and Industrial Shareholdings' was interrupted in 1933. The shock wave of the American economic crisis at the end of the 1920s had spread to France. From 1933 to 1939 'Shares and Industrial Shareholdings' were reduced by 2% per annum on average, although this decline was not evenly distributed throughout the period. It was relatively small between 1933 and 1936, by contrast, increasing when the 'Front populaire' political party came to power.

This decline is explained in terms of the disposal of some subsidiaries whose presence in the portfolio of businesses could no longer be justified. For example, this was the case with the *Société de Production et de Distribution d'Energie du Sud-Est* that was sold in 1937. The imminent war led the directors of AFC to draw up a balance sheet for the period to 31st August 1939. In this, 'Shares and Shareholdings' showed a decline of around a million francs. There were two reasons for this decrease. On the one hand, the small prospects for growth in Haute Maurienne prompted the directors of AFC to sell their shareholdings in *Société des Forces Motrices de Bonne et Drac* in 1939. On the other hand, exceptional circumstances required the downward revision of the value of some shareholdings.

The second explanation for the decline in the R1 ratio is found in modifications to accounting methods and valuation rules. Thus, in 1934 AFC directors decided to transfer *French government bonds and funds* into an available account, which appeared under the heading of stocks and industrial shareholdings, on the grounds that real opportunities for mobilisation existed. Furthermore, the partly paid-up shares were accounted for afterwards at the value that had actually been paid and the subscribed capital liabilities were then recorded in a contingent liabilities account. In 1936 the auditors (Pol Aubriot, Paul Savigny and Olry Terquem) justified a decrease of nearly 21.5 million francs in 'Shares and Industrial Shareholdings' with their valuation being conducted under the *rules of rigour and prudency*.

In 1939 thirty-three out of a total of fifty-one 'subsidiaries and main shareholdings' were considered as second category subsidiaries. ⁶ Classification of these companies by sector (Table 2) enabled the directors of AFC to identify the major strategic priorities⁷.

Activity	Primary	Secondary	Total	Percentage
Energy	5	7	12	24%
Chemical production	4	5	9	18%
Miscellaneous activities	3	5	8	16%
Raw materials	1	6	7	14%
Conversion of raw materials and WIP	2	4	6	11%
Factory assets	0	4	4	7%
Electrolysis	2	1	3	6%
Electrochemistry	1	1	2	4%
Total	18	33	51	100%

(Taken from studying the monthly and quarterly monitoring of subsidiaries, 1939)

We can thus state that the strategy of external growth concentrated largely on two activities, namely energy supply and chemical production. For the former, investment was essentially more consistent in power companies, those companies involved in energy supply and hydro-electric companies. The acquisition of shareholdings in the chemical production sector demonstrated AFC's desire to strengthen its presence in this sector. This was the case for the *Société Chimique de Ribecourt* and the *Société Chimique de Voorheide* acquired in 1934 and 1937 respectively.

In conclusion, analysis of the growth in 'shares and industrial shareholdings' led to two principal lessons being learned. Despite large variations, the weight of equity shares in capital assets increased. For the period 1921 to 1932, the share of subsidiaries and shareholdings in capital assets

⁶ The distinction between primary and secondary subsidiaries appeared in the policies and procedures of 1921. The first category contains those subsidiaries in which: "The Company has almost all the shares and the board of directors is made up almost entirely of AFC representatives". Secondary subsidiaries are defined in the following way: "AFC only has a part of the shares and the board of directors only includes a certain number of AFC representatives". (From the Pechiney archives: 00-10-10018, AFC Policies and Procedures: 17).

⁷ The author would like to thank M. Maurice Laparra, director of the 'Institut pour l'Histoire de l'Aluminium' for his valuable contribution to this categorisation.

represented on average nearly 27% of capital assets. It would exceed 35% between 1933 and 1939. This subsidiary creation was carried out in the strategic sectors before aluminium was produced (energy supply and chemical production).

There were repercussions of this strategy of external growth on the introduction of new mechanisms for auditing the subsidiaries and managing the group. On the one hand, financial reporting was established from 1921. On the other, the necessity of measuring the group's net cash flow led AFC's directors to draw up financial statements in 1927 and 1932 whose conceptual foundations were those of the consolidation of accounts.

- 3. From the audit of subsidiaries and measurement of *the Group's net cash flow* to the beginnings of financial reporting and the consolidation of accounts at AFC.
- 3.1. The establishment of financial reporting
- 3.1.1 Classification and monitoring of subsidiaries in accordance with the Policies and Procedures of 1921

The increasing number of subsidiaries and shareholdings was making it necessary for the directors of *Alais, Froges et Camargue* to examine the question of monitoring and auditing. Even in 1921 (the year that AFC was incorporated), elements of a financial reporting system were already contained in the Policies and Procedures.

This document shows that two categories of subsidiaries were defined (cf. note 6). Even though the concept of *shareholdings* was not made explicit, it would appear (based on the clear distinction from subsidiaries) to include those companies where the number of shares owned did not allow AFC to exercise control or any AFC representative to sit on the board of directors.

The audit rules that were defined are different depending on the category of subsidiary. For primary subsidiaries, they were limited during the 1920s to the mere presence of members of the *Alais, Froges et Camargue* board of directors on the subsidiaries' executive committees. This control was easier to operate than the subsidiaries' business affairs being handled directly by AFC's executive committee. The job of monitoring secondary subsidiaries was assigned to a managing director or a division

manager. His or her task was to inform the AFC directors about the subsidiary board of directors' work and to hand over any useful files, statistics, statements or reports to AFC's special secretariat service. The mission statement also explained that "Company representatives must, as far as possible, ensure that the AFC executive committee is in agreement with all the important measures that have to be decided upon within the committee groups of which the representatives are members, in particular where these measures have an impact on the financial position of the Company". 8

3.1.2 Reinforcement of the control of subsidiaries and shareholdings (November 1931)

The control exercised over the subsidiaries only intensified from the beginning of the 1930s under the combined effect of the large increase in the number of subsidiaries and the financial difficulties born out of the global economic crisis.

It was in the meeting of 26th October 1931 that the board of directors defined new methods for auditing and monitoring subsidiaries. One memorandum dated 4th November 1931 explained this new organisation very clearly.⁹

The Company directors tasked with the general control of the subsidiaries and shareholdings (Jean Dupin¹⁰ and Raoul de Vitry¹¹) had to submit a general report to the board of directors once a year.

This report relied on the conclusions arising from the monthly monitoring of every primary subsidiary and sub-subsidiary. In fact, "a single officer responsible for managing the business" must submit a monthly report on the progress of that subsidiary. On the subject of secondary subsidiaries, the single officer responsible for defending the interests of AFC must submit a report on the subsidiary's activities every three months.

Where there is a monthly report (primary subsidiary) or (secondary subsidiary) the executive committee specified the same structure. The principal points revolved around:

- issues examined in the annual general meeting;
- issues raised by the board of directors;
- contentious national issues:
- main agreements and contracts;
- the sale and purchase of shares and various other transactions.

The memorandum also emphasised the accounting and financial monitoring of subsidiaries, since their directors had to submit details to the Managing Directors on the cash flow position, comparative accounting situations (monthly or quarterly), trading accounts, details of the main financial programmes and expenditure, and consequently any commercial and technical information selected from those company activities that are most typical of it. Table 3 shows that the financial reporting frequency did not follow the specified rules.

Table 3: Financial Reporting Intervals (1931)

Table 9: 1 mandar reporting intervals (1901)							
	Subsidiaries ((21)		Shareholdings (94)			
Intervals	Primary	Secondary		Large		2 nd tier	
	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Sub-	Direct	Indirect	Direct	Indirect
			subsidiaries				
Monthly (22)	8	5	3	4	2	0	0
Quarterly (17)	0	2	3	11	1	0	0
No report (76)	0	0	0	16	1	55	4
Total	8	7	6	31	4	55	4

Source: from Pechiney archives: 00-10-10018

In fact, the number of reports submitted largely exceeds the total for the only subsidiaries in the first category (twenty-two reports were produced [Table 4]). It demonstrated the desire of the AFC directors to exercise a tighter control over the activities of the subsidiaries and some shareholdings. Furthermore, even if the note stipulated that the officers responsible for monitoring the shareholdings ought to limit themselves to devoting their time to collecting the maximum information possible, we can see that six companies (in the 'shareholdings' category) were closely monitored.

Table 4: Subsidiaries and shareholdings closely monitored in 1931

Subsidiaries and Shareholdings	Companies		
Primary subsidiaries (8)	Société des Forces Motrices du Vicdessos, Société Hydroélectrique de Bissorte,		
	Société Nouvelle du Quartz Fondu Minorga, Société Générale des Bauxites Société Nouvelle des Bauxites du Sud-Est Société Immobilière Balzac- Saint-Honoré Société Auxiliaire d'Approvisionnement		
Secondary subsidiaries and sub-	Aluminium Français, Société du Duralumin,		

⁸ Pechinev archives: 00-10-10018. AFC Policies and Procedures: 18.

⁹ Pechiney archives: 00-10-10018, General Organisation: Memorandum.

¹⁰ Jean Dupin (1892-1976) Bachelor of Law, graduate of the prestigious engineering École polytechnique and engineer in the association of bridges and highways, he was engaged as an engineer with Aluminium Français in 1927. Deputy Managing Director in 1930, he then became a director of the Company. In 1939 he became an AFC director and the AFC's representative in numerous metallurgic subsidiaries. (From Cailluet, *Stratégies, structures d'organisation et pratiques de gestion de Pechiney des années 1880 à 1971*, thesis on contemporary history, Université Lyon II, 1995: 771).

¹¹ Raoul de Vitry (1895-1977) Mining engineer, he joined AFC in 1928 and became Deputy Managing Director from 1930. He was made a director of the Company in 1931 and became Director General in 1938. (From Cailluet, *op. cit:* 784).

branches (8)	Société Nouvelle des Etablissements Silvestre, STIMA, Société Générale du Magnésium, Alumium du Sud Ouest, Italienne du Duralumin and l'Aluminium Espagnol	
Shareholdings (6)	Société des Forces Motrices de Bonne et Drac, Union pour l'Industrie et l'Electricité Société Norvégienne des Nitrures, Société des produits Chimiques Saponifiés, Société de Fonderie de Précision and Manufacture Métallurgique de Tournus	

Source: from Pechiney archives: 00-10-10018

The same memorandum specified that occasional or repeated administrative and accountancy audits of the thirteen principal subsidiaries would be carried out. These inspections applied to: Société des Forces Motrices du Vicdessos, Société Hydroélectrique de Bissorte, Société Nouvelle du Quartz Fondu, Minorga, Société Générale des Bauxites, Société Nouvelles des Bauxites du Sud-Est, Société immobilière Balzac-Saint-Honoré, Société Auxiliaire d'Approvisionnement, Société du Duralumin, Société Nouvelle des Etablissements Silvestre, Société Générale du Magnésium, Aluminium du Sud-Ouest and Aluminium Espagnol.

The archiving within the general secretariat of a complete collection of documents on the progress of subsidiaries and shareholdings was implemented. The archives were to contain the company's articles of incorporation, the notice of meetings and the minutes of ordinary and extraordinary general meetings, balance sheets and profit and loss accounts, minutes of board meetings, copies of large contracts, the complete set of annual reports and various other reports (especially those affecting financial programmes) for the subsidiaries.

Financial liabilities by *Alais, Froges et Camargue* were also closely monitored. Organisational memo No. 1 of 10th November 1933 stipulated that a half-yearly statement should be specified and include the name and capital of the company guaranteed, interest rate, tax regulations, repayment period of the loan, amount outstanding as at 30th June and 31st December and a brief assessment of the position of the company owing the money (*unchanged, good, bad, doubtful, in receivership*). Finally, in the event of a solid guarantee, a list of co-guarantors should be submitted accompanied by a brief assessment of each¹².

The information provided from the financial reporting did not however allow an overview of the whole of the net cash flow within the Group. In order to use an additional tool for managing the Company, the directors of *Alais, Froges et Camargue* employed certain accounting principles that were accepted in the United States, but that were completely unknown in France: the consolidation of accounts.

3.2. Using the principles of the consolidation of accounts for measuring the Group's net cash flow.

3.2.1 Funding for the Alais, Froges et Camargue Group 1923-1927

AFC directors very quickly aimed to carry out the measurement of the parent company's financial commitment to its subsidiaries and to value its net cash flow. A paper dated 19th November 1927 (Document 1) detailed the sums invested over the previous four financial years. The choice of period was due to a vast alumina and aluminium production programme that began in 1923. This study, entitled *L'effort financier du groupe Alais, Froges et Camargue de 1923 à 1927*¹³ is of great interest because it expresses the directors' concerns with regard to managing the Group and the perception they had of the economic entity. The use of the word 'groupe' in the document title might be a surprise because AFC alone and only three of the thirteen primary subsidiaries were taken into account in defining the overall scope of the Group. An examination of the activities of the subsidiaries revealed two electricity supply companies (*Société des Forces Motrices de la Durance* and *Société des Forces Motrices du Vicdessos*) and one property company, *Société immobilière Balzac Saint-Honoré*. The

¹² Pechiney archives: 00-10-10019: the whole general secretariat organisation 1933-1939, section 'Filiales et participations' (Subsidiaries and shareholdings).

Pechiney archives: 001-10-20530, English: Financial outlay for the Alais, Froges and Camargue Group from 1923 to 1927.

balance sheet items analysed relate to assets, new capital acquisitions, the movement of working capital 14 and exceptional gains.

The assets consolidate those investments that clearly *correspond to improvements or expansions.* ¹⁵ Exceptional gains include funds from increases in capital, issuing bonds invested in the State and the disposal of property or shares.

Exhibit 1: Financial outlay by the *Alais, Froges et Camargue* Group from 1923 to 1927 in thousands of francs

Gloup from 1923 to 1927 in thousands of francs		
- Expenditure on new work by AFC	200,792	
- Expenditure on new work by 3 subsidiaries	<u>83,367</u>	
Total	284,159	
- New shareholdings		
Total	327,319	
- Increase in working capital by the Company and		
3 subsidiaries	<u>189,642</u>	
Total	516,961	
- To be deducted: Exceptional gains	183,892	
Net cash flow	333,069	

Thus, for the 4 year period from 30th September 1923 to 30th September 1927, AFC has achieved (and retained in order to expand) profits amounting to 333,069,000 francs, that is, a total of approximately 83 million francs on average per annum.

During the same period it has also distributed in dividends and directors' remuneration 73,323,000 francs, which brings total net cash flow to 406,392,000 francs, in other words, approximately 102 million on average per annum.

Source: Pechiney archives: 001-10-20530

As their intentions were on being thorough, the directors drew up an identical document for the *Aluminium Français* Group consisting of *Aluminium Français*, *Société du Duralumin* and *Société de l'Aluminium du Sud-Ouest (ASO)*. By following the same calculation rules, the *Aluminium Français* group's share of the AFC Group's net cash flow increased to 39,854 (thousand francs).

In total, the overall flow shows more than 446 million francs. The detailed account of purchases and sales of shareholdings provides information about the corporate name, the number of companies, the proportion of subscribed and paid-up capital, but never about the percentage of shareholdings held in subsidiaries. There were some hydro-electric companies amongst the subsidiaries cited, as well as mining companies (bauxite, lignite and potash) and companies concerned with chemical production (Société des Engrais Azotés, Société des Huiles, Goudrons et Dérivés).

The justification for the calculation of movements of working capital shows that internal transactions within the group were removed for certain items. This is the case for the 'Member Loan Capital' entry, for which one note explains,

"After deducting 8,826,000 francs owed by Société immobilière Balzac Saint-Honoré (antichresis contract), 6,237,000 francs owing to the Société des Forces Motrices de la Durance and 1,726,000 francs owed by the Société Barlet Langea; the first two of these sums have been taken into account within the expenses for new work undertaken by subsidiaries and the third in expenditure relating to the acquisition of shareholdings" 16.

In their search to specify the overall limits for the entity, both by removing intercompany transactions between the parent company and its subsidiaries, and by identifying the share of minority shareholders, AFC's directors did nothing other than employ the principles of consolidation of accounts to measure the group's net cash flow.

¹⁴ The concept of working capital that has been shown is not one we recognise as being specified as a surplus of stable resources over stable requirements. It is more similar to the concept of *working capital liquidity* that was specified in the accounting standards of 1957 and that corresponds to the excess of available funds in the short-term over liabilities payable in the short-term.

¹⁵ Pechiney archives: 001-10-20530, Financial outlay for the Alais, Froges and Camargue Group from 1923 to 1927.

¹⁶ Pechiney archives: 001-10-20530, Financial outlay for the Alais, Froges and Camargue Group from 1923 to 1927.

3.2.2. Financial outlay by the Alais, Froges et Camargue Group 1927-1932

The desire to gain an overall knowledge of the Group again occurred in 1932 when a second internal study of AFC's financial outlay was carried out (Document 2). It is interesting to analyse it in order to identify any potential differences in its structure. The definitions of balance sheet items are identical. Expenditure on new work is either the result of a growth in new activities or an increase in power or even of exceptional improvements. The introductory remarks for the financial results in the study have much to say about the selection of companies included and the permanency of accounting methods:

"As before, we have incorporated the assets of some subsidiaries in those of the Company. In order not to overly complicate matters, we have restricted ourselves only to those companies that have had a notable growth in activities during the period in question. These companies are Aluminium Français, Société du Duralumin, Société des Forces Motrices du Vicdessos and Aluminium du Sud-Ouest. After examination we have decided to include the totality of the activity for each subsidiary, despite the fact that third parties (usually from Electrochemistry) own a share that is equal to 8% for Aluminium Français, 12% for Duralumin and 29% for Aluminium du Sud-Ouest. In fact, we only handle issues of liquidity and surplus, and the figures for those subsidiaries are such that the results of the study are not modified to an appreciable measure by the adoption of any other agreement on this point. The other subsidiaries, even where the Company owns a large share, have simply been included at the value of their shares on the holding company's Register of Members." ¹⁷

Exhibit 2: Financial outlay for Alais, Froges et CamargueFrom 1927 to 1931 in thousands of francs

Exhibit 2: Financial outlay for Alais, Froges et Camargue-From 1927 to 1931 in thousands of francs
Expenditure on major improvements and expansions in the factories of the Company and
incorporated subsidiaries
For the Company
For incorporated subsidiaries, we principally find:
Vicdessos (end of the collapse of Sabart)
Duralumin (Couzon plant)
Aluminium Français and ASO4,066
Total
Total purchases and stock applications have reached 195,797,000 francs
(realisation sales, totalling 36,293,000 francs, were recovered
in exceptional gains).
AFC
Aluminium Français
Duralumin and ASO
Total
For Aluminium Français we find:
Alliance
Aluminium espagnol
Fairmont
Tournus
Miscellaneous <u>919</u>
Total49,873*
Analysis of the increase of working capital:
Available or realisable 523,449
To be deducted:
Liability accounts
Working capital
Opening balance - working capital as at 31 st December 1926,
i.e. an increase of
But subsidiaries' working capital accounts that have been generally eroded during the
same period must be taken into account. After studying the position of each one, we

 $^{^{17}}$ Pechiney archives: 001-10-20530, Financial outlay for the Alais, Froges and Camargue group from 1927 to 1931.

arrive at the following movements	
Aluminium Français	
Duralumin	
ASO	2,062
Vicdessos	<u>- 12,093</u>
Total	56,045
In total, an increase in overall working capital is thus recorded between	een the
beginning of 1927 and the end of 1931 that reached	112,774
	·
Combining the turnover for five Group companies, excluding interr	nal accounts, we are led to assess
our overall working capital to be 370 million:	,
AFC	422,521
Subsidiaries	
Total	
i.e.	*
Dividends received by the Company from its subsidiaries or paid by	
subsidiaries between themselves have been eliminated	
Analysis of exceptional gains	
Increase in AFC capital increase in 1929	152 870
Increase in Duralumin capital increase in 1930	
Total	
Net proceeds for transactions representing issues and bond conver	•
Sale of factories/plant	
Sale of shares	
Miscellaneous exceptional inflows	
Total exceptional gains	

Sources: Pechiney archives: 001-10-20530

A comparison of the structure of the two documents has revealed few differences since the financial outlay by AFC and its subsidiaries is assessed using the following calculation:

Expenditure on assets by the Company and its subsidiaries

- + Acquisition of new shareholdings by the Company and its subsidiaries
- + Movement of working capital
- + Payment of dividends and directors' remuneration
- Exceptional gains (increases in capital, bonds and debentures, sale of factories, sales of shares, miscellaneous)
- = Net of cash flow for the Company and its subsidiaries.

As this deals with non-deflated data, it is difficult to carry out comparisons for periods characterised by large currency fluctuations. Nevertheless, from one period to another, net cash flow is relatively stable. By contrast, gross financial outlay (investments in assets + acquisition of shareholdings + increase in working capital + distribution of dividends and directors' remunerations) underwent a notable increase from 630,000,000 francs in 1927 to 870,000,000 in 1932. 'Acquisition of Shareholdings' increased the most (+353%). Furthermore, we can see the policy of external growth followed by the directors of *Alais, Froges et Camargue* translated into facts and figures within these documents. In fact, the share of net cash flow devoted to the acquisition of new shareholdings moved from 10% of the group's net cash flow for the period 1923-1927 to 42% between 1927 and 1931.

By contrast, if we compare this with incorporated subsidiaries, we can see that the appearance of the Group changed. Although they were still part of *Alais, Froges et Camargue's* portfolio of shares, the two power companies and the property company had disappeared from the calculation of net cash flow. In this way, the Group's structure integrated the companies involved in the aluminium production process more than those companies specialising in supply.

Here we have the first consolidated statements prepared by *Alais, Froges et Camargue*. They are not a balance sheet or a profit and loss account, but financial statements intended to measure *the Group's net cash flow*. The concept of consolidation in accounting terms is at the heart of the documents that include the parent company and some of its subsidiaries. Defining the scope of the Group obliged the directors to think about the structure of a group composed in this way. The accompanying development in scope reinforced AFC's role in the production of aluminium. In fact, whereas some energy supply companies and one property company used to appear in the document dated 1927,

another dated 1932 only mentioned companies directly involved in aluminium production i.e. *Aluminium Français* and its industrial subsidiaries, Société du Duralumin and Aluminium du Sud-Ouest.

Furthermore, these financial statements were not simple accumulations. Their designers eliminated internal group transactions where possible.

Finally, the issue was raised as to what share of minority companies should be included, but in the absence of a thorough understanding of all the principles of the consolidation of accounts, the only solutions open to the directors were to include its subsidiaries in their entirety in the calculation of financial outlay.

Conclusion

The large expansion that *Alais, Froges et Camargue* experienced in the inter-war years led the directors of this company to specify auditing and monitoring procedures for its subsidiaries and shareholdings from 1921 onwards. These would be strengthened in 1931 in order to exercise tighter control over those companies. An analysis of the frequency of financial reporting shows that the directors wanted to have access to accounting and financial information which went well beyond that expected in the general notes and general organisation chart.

Faced with increased shareholdings, as of 1927 the directors expressed the need to measure financial outlay within the Group. From then on they were faced with the problem of preparing Group accounts and they had to answer essential questions on how to choose which companies to retain and which intercompany transactions to remove and also how to value the minority shareholders' share.

The drawing up financial statements intended to value the net cash flow by *Alais, Froges et Camargue* directors in 1927 shows that the consolidation of accounts was initially a tool used for managing the Group. It was many years later that this accounting technique would, under pressure from the financial markets, become the preferred medium for accounting and financial information for Groups.

Archives

Archives Alais, Froges et Camargue (Pechiney) :

- Doc 4 B01 à B022 : rapports annuels d'AFC, 1921-1939
- 00-10-10018 : Organisation, notes et schémas d'organisation générale, 1921 à 1934.
- 00-10-10019 : Organisation, notes et schémas d'organisation générale, 1935 à 1948.
- 001-10-20530 : L'effort financier du groupe Alais, Froges et Camargue de 1923 à 1927.
- 001-10-20530 : L'effort financier du groupe Alais, Froges et Camarque de 1927 à 1931.
- 072-11-70111 : Secrétariat général (SG), Département Administration Générale (DAG),

Comptes rendus trimestriels des filiales 1932 à 1935.

- 072-11-70112 : SG, DAG, comptes rendus trimestriels des filiales 1936 à 1938.
- 072-11-70113 : SG, DAG, comptes rendus mensuels des filiales 1932 et 1933.
- 072-11-70114 : SG, DAG, comptes rendus mensuels des filiales 1934 et 1935.
- 072-11-70115 : SG, DAG, comptes rendus mensuels des filiales 1936 et 1937.
- 072-11-70116 : SG, DAG, comptes rendus mensuels des filiales 1938 et 1939.

Bibliography

Bircher, P. (1988), "The adoption of consolidated accounting in Great Britain", *Accounting and Business Research*, vol. 19, n°73, pp. 3-13.

Bouvier, Y. (2005), La Compagnie générale d'électricité: un grand groupe industriel et l'Etat: Technologies, hommes et marchés (1898-1992), Thèse de doctorat en histoire, Paris, Université Paris.

Cailluet, L. (1997) "Accounting and accountants as essential elements in the development of central administration during the inter-war period management ideology and technology at Alais, Froges et Camargue", *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, vol. 7, n°3, pp. 295-314.

Camfferman, K. et Zeff, S. A. (2002), "The apotheosis of holding company accounting: Univeler's financial reporting innovations from the 1920s to the 1940s", Paper read at 25th Annual congress of the European Accounting Association, Copenhagen.

D'angio, A. (2000), Schneider et Cie et la naissance de l'ingénierie : des pratiques internes à l'aventure internationale (1836-1949), CNRS éditions, Paris.

De Kerviler, I and Standish, P. (1992) "French accounting law: origins, development and scope", in A.G. Hopwood and M. Bromwich, *Accounting and law*, London, Prentice-Hall.

Edwards, J. R. & Webb, K. (1984) "The development of group accounting in the United Kingdom to 1933", *The Accounting Historians Journal* vol 11, n^o1, pp 31-57.

Edwards, J. R. (1989), A history of financial accounting, Routledge, Chapman and Hall, London.

Edwards, J. R. (1991) " The process of accounting innovation : the publication of consolidated accounts in Britain in 1910", *The Accounting Historians Journal*, Vol. 18, n°2, pp. 113-132.

Franko, L. G. (1974) "The Origins of Multinational Manufacturing by Continental European Firms ", *The Business History Review*, vol. 48, n°3, pp. 277-302...

Fridenson, P. (1997) "France: the relatively slow development of big business in the twentieth century ", In A. D. Chandler Jr, F. Amatori and T. Hikino (eds.), *Big business and the wealth of nations*, Cambridge, Cambridge UniversityPress, pp. 207-245.

Kanamori, E. (2007), «Some Evidences of Group Accounting Practices in the United Kingdom 1927-1951", *The Ritsumeikan Business Review*, vol XLV, n°6, pp. 41-71

Kitchen, P. (1972) "The accounts of British holding company groups: development and attitudesto disclosure in the early years", *Accounting and Business Research*, vol. 2, spring, pp. 114-136.

Kogut, B. (1998) "Evolution of the large firm in France in comparative perspective", *Entreprises et histoire*, n°19, pp. 113-152.

Miller, P. (1990) « On the interrelations between accounting and the state", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, vol 15, n^o4, pp. 315-338.

Percerou, A. (1932) Lois actuelles et projets récents en matière de sociétés par actions (Allemagne, Angleterre, Italie), Thèse de doctorat en droit, Université de Paris.

Richard, J. (1995) « The evolution of accounting chart models in Europe from 1900 to 1945 : some historicals elements", *European Accounting Review*, vol 4, n^{\pi}, pp.87-124.

Rosset, P-R (1933) Traité théorique et pratique des sociétés financières : "holdings companies et investment trusts", Thèse de doctorat en droit, Université de Paris.

Sainton, P. (1938) Sociétés mères et filiales : contribution à l'étude du régime juridique des sociétés de capitaux, Thèse de doctorat en droit, Université de Paris.

Scheid, J-C. and Walton, P. (1992), European Financial Reporting: France, London, Routledge.

Vuillermot, C. (2001) Pierre-Marie Durand et l'Energie industrielle : l'histoire d'un groupe électrique 1906-1945, CNRS éditions, Paris.

Walker, R. G. (1978), Consolidated statements: A history and analysis, New-York, Arno Press

Walton, P. (1995), "International accounting: a history", In P. Walton (ed.), *European financial reporting*, London, Academic Press