

### The Two Language Maps of the Belgian Congo

Mark van de Velde

### ▶ To cite this version:

Mark van de Velde. The Two Language Maps of the Belgian Congo. Annales Aequatoria, 1999, 20, pp.475-489. halshs-00603468

### HAL Id: halshs-00603468 https://shs.hal.science/halshs-00603468

Submitted on 25 Jun 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Annales Aequatoria 20(1999)475-489 MARK VAN DE VELDE

## THE TWO LANGUAGE MAPS OF THE BELGIAN CONGO

### Introduction

In 1948 the Jesuit father Gaston Van Bulck published a survey of all linguistic work done up till then in the Belgian Congo, called *Les Recherches Linguistiques au Congo Belge*. This survey contained a language map of the entire Congo. Two years later another language map of the Congo appeared, drawn by Gustaaf Hulstaert (Hulstaert 1950a). Although father Hulstaert - in order to facilitate comparison - adapted the layout of his map to that of Van Bulck, even a quick glance at the two maps makes clear that they are profoundly different. In the rather ferce discussion following the publication, the authors gave all kinds of reasons for this dissimilarity ranging from the trivial fact that they have not used the same blank maps, to divergence in goals and methods.

These reasons are not very convincing, though, but rather leave one

These reasons are not very convincing, though, but after really did have with more questions than before. If Van Bulck and Hulstaert really did have differing goals and consequently wanted to make a different kind of map, then why did they start an endless discussion afterwards to determine "who was right after all"? Why were these maps so important to them and how could some small variations in opinion lead to such an important incongruity between two studies mainly based on the same documentation? A more profound analysis of the way in which the maps came into existence, shows the importance of both authors' views on language, culture and ethnicity. Moreover, it leads to the recognition of some implicit views on Africa and on the role European scholars gave themselves in that continent. Thus, this article will try and use the language maps as a starting point for a reflection upon scientific work done in the Belgian colony, or at least on some of it. It is not meant as a late criticism on either of the two scholars, nor will it result in a choice for one of the maps.

The first paragraph will quickly introduce the two maps. A second part concentrates on the relation between language and ethnicity in the world view of father Hulstaert and father Van Bulck. Although at first sight it is less directly related to the maps, the so called language problem of the Belgian Congo will be tackled in the third paragraph. Here, colonial science goes hand in hand with daily administration. Hence, the importance attached to the outcome of scientific studies and - vice versa - the influence political views could have on scientific results. Eventually, the fourth paragraph will paradoxically lead to attention for similarities between P. Hulstaert and P. Van Bulck in order to account for the differences between their maps. That is, a rather essentialist view on African reality implicitly regarded as being very manipulable blurred the border between scientific conclusions and wishful thinking. This made possible that some lapidary principles influenced the entire outlook of the two maps, even though at least Hulstaert was and still is famous for his sharp observations and his huge empirical knowledge of the languages of the Congo basin.

### 1. The maps

Before describing the two maps, it might be useful to briefly introduce their authors. Readers of the *Annales Aequatoria* are most probably familiar with father Gustaaf Hulstaert (1900-1990), founder of the research centre and journal *Aequatoria*. The life and works of this missionary-linguist-ethnologist-botanist have been commented on in the previous issues of the *Annales* (12(1991)7-78), especially by father H. Vinck.

Father Gaston Van Bulck (1903-1966) is to a large extent a different kind of scholar than Hulstaert. He received a very elaborate academical education in linguistics, ethnology and "colonial studies" next to the usual schooling as a clergyman. In contrast to Hulstaert, he got his knowledge of Africa mainly from written documents, because he usually stayed in Europe to teach at the universities of Louvain and Rome (Pontificia Università Gregoriana).

Both language maps have a scale of 1:5,000.000 and have a thick black line dividing Bantu languages from non-Bantu languages. On Van Bulck's map, however, this line does not mark the non-Bantu enclaves in the Bantu area. The most remarkable difference is that Hulstaert's map consists of a limited number of homogeneous language areas, dominated by the huge Mongo block in the middle; whereas Van Bulck gives the impression of a

highly complex, fragmented linguistical situation. His map features seven differently shaded areas, for instance, where Hulstaert only shows the undivided Mongo group. Elsewhere, Van Bulck's map differs from Hulstaert's in having lots of small enclaves dispersed all over the country. An exhaustive description of all differences would not be very appropriate here. Moreover, they have already been discussed by the authors themselves in a series of articles following the publication of Les Recherches Linguistiques au Congo Belge (Hulstaert 1950a, 1954; Van Bulck 1952a). These articles gave five reasons for the divergence, which I will sketchily reproduce here with a little comment.

First, both authors would have used different blank maps. Upon closer scrutiny of the maps, though, it becomes clear that this could only have affected such details as the exact shape of smaller language groups or the precise location of some language borders. It is by no means an explanation for the divergence in general outlook.

contemporary tongue, or otherwise found some remnants of a disappearing choice whether or not to include enclaves. As soon as Van Bulck thought to claves in that part of the Congo. And although these enclaves had to be redrew his map (Van Bulck 1952a), the latter shows a multitude of small enlinguistic documentation on the Katanga region was nonexistent when he language, he inserted a special enclave on his map. Although he claimed that perceive the influence of a now disappeared language on the dialect of a the major languages that were spoken in these days - or should be spoken in other hand, omitted everything that might disturb a neat representation of senting the linguistic situation in all its diachronic detail. Hulstaert, on the map more accurate and hence more scientific, because of this choice, prepresented far too largely in order to be perceivable, Van Bulck called his choices and why they couldn't just accept each other's choice. be concerned with finding out why Van Bulck and Hulstaert adopted these the near future according to him. The remainder of this article will largely The second reason is more fundamental. The authors called it the

The use of different sources was cited as a third reason for divergence. The mere scarcity of linguistic documentation on Congo in their time, however, makes it very unlikely that they have used different sources. Moreover, the correspondence between Hulstaert and Van Bulck (to be published in a later issue of *Annales Aequatoria*) makes clear that they generally read the same works and that they used each other's results in their own comparative studies. Finally, empirical facts about the languages of the

Congo did not play any role whatsoever in their argumentation. It is consequently very improbable that new evidence would have changed their opinions, and hence the general outlook of their maps.

The fourth point is again more important and less obvious to refute as a reason for divergence. Here, Hulstaert reproaches Van Bulck with having grouped the Congolese languages following ethnological principles rather than linguistical ones. This criticism, which Hulstaert shared with the great linguist A. Meeussen, is certainly correct, but cannot account for the differences between the maps, since Hulstaert himself confused linguistics and ethnology. Hereafter, it will become clear that this fourth reason is narrowly related to the second.

The last point in the list concerns the relation between languages and dialects. According to Hulstaert, Van Bulck has been too cautious here. The latter answered that the documentation was still too scarce to group all dialects into bigger clusters or "languages". Anyway, the distinction between language and dialect is very vague to my opinion and depends largely on personal, rather arbitrary decisions. This fifth reason boils down to the question whether or not to represent enclaves, and is consequently also related to the previous point. Let us now concentrate on the relation between language and ethnicity in the world view of both clergymen.

## Language and ethnicity

Studies in the humanities in the Belgian Congo were often based on the implicit assumption that ethnical and linguistical borders coincide (Meeuwis 1997, p. 77). Although Hulstaert accused Van Bulck thereof, the remark is equally valid for himself. An ignorant reader of the following passage would swear that it originated from an ethnological study. It is, however, a fragment of the text that accompanies Hulstaert's language map.

 Les Gbaya, souvent nommés au Congo Ngbaka, forment au Congo belge un bloc homogène, quoique séparés de leurs frères de l'A.E.F.: Gbaya-Manjia...

4. Les Furu ne forment qu'un petit groupe. Selon feu Mgr. Tanghe (...) leur langue se rattache au groupe Tshadien (Sara, etc.). Plusieurs petits clans sont dispersés au milieu des autres tribus de cette région, de sorte que notre carte n'en tient pas compte.

The difference between both authors, accounting for much of the divergence between the maps, lies in their exact views on ethnicity.

# 2.1. Gustaaf Hulstaert: the people's community

of the region he studies. (I consider the terms ethnic group - ethnie in order to establish the exact outlook and spread of the different ethnic groups ethnologist's task to surmount local differences in language and culture in always perceived as such by its members. According to Hulstaert it is the language and culture. A people is a God-created all or none category, not Peoples for him were natural communities having more or less the same diverge because of geographical reasons: different subgroups of a people can get isolated from each other. Although this evolution does not necessarigist's task to make people aware of their ethnic affiliation and of the intriintellectual and spiritual deteriorisation of its members. Hence, the ethnololoss of a people's mother tongue would cause its total destruction and the Europe.) Language and ethnicity are so closely related for Hulstaert, that the French - and people to be synonymous, the latter usually being reserved for ly threaten the natural ethnic unities in a region, it certainly weakens their cate value of their mother tongue. Of course, languages and cultures can resistance against any corrupting "anti-popular" influences. Father Hulstaert held a rather static view on the notion of "people"

According to Hulstaert, the colonial regime in the Congo formed According to Hulstaert, the colonial regime in the Congo formed such a threat to the people's community. He was convinced that the "artificial" Belgian state tried to replace the "natural" ethnical structures in the colony by slowly imposing the use of some lingua franca. This would result in the loss of the proper language and eventually in the complete adoption of French. Inspired by his Flemish nationalism, he compared the francisation of the Congo with the situation in Belgium. In the first part of the twentieth century, in theory there was freedom of language use. Whomever wanted to profit from higher education, though, or wanted to reach a certain political profit importance, had to be fluent in French. According to Hulstaert, this or social importance, had to be fluent in French. According to Hulstaert, this kind of hypocrisy was exported to Congo, and it was this aspect of colonialism he criticised and acted against. One of his instruments in this struggle was his language map. This allows us to respectively further explain the second and fifth reason for divergence between the maps given in the above paragraph, at least as far as Hulstaert is concerned.

Hulstaert's language map depicts an ideal situation, rather than the actual one, in which monolithical linguistical (and hence ethnical) blocks are no easy victims for language loss. Of course, the existence of a lot of enclaves does not fit in such an ideal. Here, linguistic cartography was complementary to another of Hulstaert's activities, viz. linguistic unification. In order not to desintegrate, the large areas on the map needed the centripetal force of a highly standardized "cultural" language variety. Also part of this program was Hulstaert's enormous production of school manuals in his self-made standardized variety of the Mongo language. Grouping dialects into languages and tribes into peoples is in this philosophy the linguist-ethnologist's first and foremost task.

## 2.2. Gaston Van Bulck: the big puzzle

For Gaston Van Bulck, all disciplines of the humanities formed one big puzzle, the solution of which has to be found in a remote past, perhaps at the beginning of mankind. The distribution of tribes and accompanying cultures and languages on the African continent is the result of an endess series of migrations and intertribal conflicts. Peoples are by no means well-established, God-given categories, but are the highly variable outcomes of a history that, however chaotic, can be mechanically reconstructed by taking into consideration every piece of information available. His classification of the Bantu languages in Les Recherches Linguistiques is often presented in terms of an extended battle-metaphor, reflecting his view on today's languages as a winners in the survival of the fittest. His classification of the Gbandalanguages is an example of this (also remark how a linguistical classification is again represented in terms of ehnic groups):

l'avant-garde: Mono, Togbo, Ngobu les Banda centraux: Mbanja, Ngbugu, Langba de Libenge, 'Ngbandi débris dispersés de diverses tribus

## Other examples are:

D'autres Ekonda ont été assujetis sur le Ruki et le Luilaka (=Momboyo), où ils sont devenus vassaux (Nkole) des Nkundu.
Les MoBati sont venus de la Haute Likati en deux colonnes: ...
Pour le groupe envahisseur des Abarambo (=Auro) on distingue:

1. L'avant-garde, les Amiangbwa; "ils sont les termites"; 2. les Mâya et les

a) Dans la plus grande partie du territoire primitivement conquis, ils sont restés indépendants et leur langue y est prédominante; b)...; c) Ndugé, refoulés chez les MaNgbetu, furent Mangbetuisés et perdirent leur langue.

In his Ph.D. dissertation Beiträge zur Methodik der Völkerkunde, Van Bulck criticised what he calls "Schematiker". The passage reads as a negative comment on Hulstaert's work before it existed.

Der "Schematiker" seht nur noch die Bildung der Kultur in isolierender Abgeschlossenheit, die spezifischen Eigentümlichkeiten, die fest mit dem ganzen Wesen des Volkes verwachsen sind, das Forbestehen der heimälichen Kultur im Laufe der Wanderungen. Nicht nur die Akkulturationserscheinungen in den Grenz- und Mischgebieten, sondern auch die Entwicklungsformen innerhalb der Kulturgebiete wird er vernachlässigen. Er bestrebt sich, überall die Kontaktgebiete und die Mischkulturen zu meiden und nur einfarbige Hauptgebiete zu finden, wo die Kultureinheit noch quasi isoliert mit ihrem ursprünglichen reichen Volkstum auftritt.

To avoid a schematic approach to a reality which is a priori very complex, one has to bear in mind every little detail. In cartography this means representing every possible clue for the reconstruction of cultural history, in other words: every enclave. For areas on which little or no linguistic documentation existed, Van Bulck might have used other kinds of information, such as birth rate indexes, in order to establish his map. He thought that a language with a high birth rate (I use this metonymical construction on purpose) is automatically a language in expansion and vice vertact, such as Africa, than elsewhere, which proves Van Bulck to be wrong rate, such as Africa, than elsewhere, which proves Van Bulck to be wrong on this point. Van Bulck probably supposed a mother-daughter relation between a language and her dialects, rather than the part-whole relation of Hulstaert's theory.

In conclusion, Hulstaert and Van Bulck both supposed a rather "mechanical" relation between language and ethnicity, in which ethnic conscience does not play a role in defining the latter. Language was not viewed as something people might use for construing their own ethnic identity, but rather as an intrinsic characteristic of pre-existing ethnic entities. A people losing its language is lost according to Hulstaert, and just transforms into a

different ethno-lingual unit, entering a new stage of the "Kulturgeschichte" according to Van Bulck.

# 3. The language problem of the Belgian Congo

so it might be useful to give one here. to be done. Opinions differed largely, however, on what an ideal linguistic As far as I know, an overview of this discussion has not yet been published situation would be like, and consequently on what exactly had to be done spoken in Central Africa. There was a general consensus that something had was a quantitative problem. It was thought that too many languages were usually considered to be insufficient for higher communication. The second grammar, was certainly outdated. Only the so called trade languages were Congo. The idea that African languages would be primitive, lacking a decent cited. The first one concerned the quality of the languages spoken in the desperate, or at least far too chaotic. Two kinds of problems were generally Belgian Congo. It was said that the linguistic situation of the colony was than exact representations of the empirical knowledge of their authors. Esmaps were to a large extent philosophical or scientific statements, rather very popular, nationwide debate on the so-called language problem of the pecially Hulstaert's map had a clear socio-political goal. It fitted into the The previous paragraph tried to make clear that the two language

The attitudes on the language problem can be situated on three overlapping dimensions, viz.:

1.the kind of languages that had to be chosen and the degree of interference considered to be necessary or acceptable

2. the degree of linguistic unification they wanted to reach

3. the exact goals of language planning, i.e. the ideal linguistic situation These dimensions will be discussed here.

In the Belgian Congo there were four big languages, used in contacts between speakers of different languages and to a certain extent also formed by these contacts. These are: Lingala, Kikongo, Kiswahili and Tshiluba. They are generally called *lingua franca* or trade language. Some people found that their general distribution was an advantage that had to be used by the colonial administration. Reducing the number of languages used in, amongst others, schools and law courts to these four would quickly solve the problem of the multitude of languages. Mere adoption of these languages, however, would not suffice, because of their alleged lack of structure and

lexical profusion. A possible solution, preferred by Mgr. De Boeck of Lisala, was to "clean these languages up" by making normative grammars introducing invented rules.

found active linguistic unification unrealistic. They fancied a natural emergence of some national languages. The role of the language planner would Kuba or Bemba. The problem with these, was that they did not exist (yet). There were two possible solutions to this problem. The first is to actively stated that these languages are too artificial and will never reach a sufficient in the area where these varieties are spoken. on the neighbouring dialects, by establishing important institutes and media be to provide certain varieties with sufficient prestige to impose themselves ty and then making normative grammars and dictionaries to be used in the unite closely related dialects by choosing one of them as the "correct" variecalled "national languages" (langues de culture), such as standard Mongo, be educated in a foreign language, something especially the adherents of them, using them as official languages would imply that everybody would quality. Moreover, since these tongues have no native speakers according to Swahili from his map, though. People like Leo Stappers on the other hand, tion. Van Bulck equally omitted the cursed trade languages Lingala and was a socio-political question, not to be mentioned in a scholarly publicawing the national languages as if they already existed. For Van Bulck, this taert and Van Bulck. Hulstaert showed this preference on his map by shoschools of the entire language area. This was the preferred solution of Huls-"indigénisme" strongly disliked. An alternative for trade languages were so-Opponents of the use of trade languages, such as Gustaaf Hulstaert,

To conclude the discussion of the first dimension in the debate, something has to be said about the use of European languages. In the articles and comments I read, almost nobody defended the general use of French. For some people that would be too unauthentic, a betrayal of the African cultures. Others saw French as a carrier of knowledge they preferred not to give into Congolese hands.

There were three possible answers to the question as to how far linguistic unification had to go. People like De Jonghe and De Cleene found that the Congo could only be efficiently managed if there was only one official language. Adversaries of this solution found that any attempt to impose a single language to everybody be a hazardous and unrealistic experiment. On the other hand, they found limiting the knowledge and use of the unitary language to a small intellectual elite socially unacceptable. An alternative

was picking out three or four official languages. Thirdly, one could allow the exact number of official languages to depend on the linguistic situation: first create national languages by means of unification, then see how many languages remain.

Finally, there were two opinions about what should be the ultimate goal of language planning. Should an ideal linguistic situation lead to a well integrated, easily manageable colony, or to a colony in which the colonised subjects have the best chances to develop themselves in their own culture? Especially in the discussion about the ideal language of education, the choice between a solution that is practically achievable and one that is ideologically justified, was very prominent. Lots of educationalists found it essential for the quality of schooling that the language of education be the mother tongue.

## 4. Africanism

from the obscurity, alienation and strangeness which he himself had proper-Insubstantial phenomena such as language are objectified, made surveyable and manipulable, by putting them on a map. The flagship of the Institut nist works. Geography has often played a central role in colonial studies could call Africanism. The two language maps are good examples of Africait is typical for the scientific discourse Said calls Orientalism, and wich we creative dimension of Hulstaert's work is by no means exceptional. In fact explaining the Congolese reality, but also at giving it shape, in a sense. This res of the Congo basin. His scientific work not only aimed at describing and as a kind of prophet who had the divine task to rescue the endangered cultuthe corrupting influence of colonialism. Thus, father Hulstaert saw himself not only be caused by their moral and intellectual degeneration but also by alienation from which the "primitive" populations alledgedly suffered, could scholars specialised in the overseas territories. Moreover, I think that the ly distinguished". In fact, this could be said not only of orientalists but of all the self-image of European orientalists as one of "a hero rescuing the Orient taining maps of about everything that was known about the region. Royal Colonial Belge, for instance, was the general atlas of the Congo, con-In his classic monograph Orientalism, Edward Said characterised

Thus, part of the explanation for why their maps are so different, lies paradoxically in something Hulstaert and Van Bulck had in common they both worked in an Africanist tradition. Let us now specify a bit further

what kinds of perspectives on Africa and the Africans are implied in Africans. First, Africanism is based on an essentialist, reductionist view on the Africans. The exact nature of such an essentialist view, however, could differ from person to person. For Hulstaert, an African individual is essentially fer from person to person. For Hulstaert, an African individual is essentially a member of his ethnic group. Ideally, he shows every characteristic the European scholar ascribes to his people. Van Bulck rather searched the nature of an African in prehistory, where things such as language, race and ethnic soul were not yet mixed up. The fact that Van Bulck did not want to make a difference between synchrony and diachrony on his language map, is symptomatical for his essentialist view: in order to understand the African, we have to go back to his roots. Fundamentally he doesn't really change after all.

It is said above, that for Hulstaert an African should show every definitional feature of his ethnic group. If this was not the case, he was regarded to having lost his essence and to be in need of help. That is a second Africanist perspective on the subjects of the Belgian colony. Africanism justified colonialism by asserting that the African peoples are in a deplorable state from which they have to be released. They cannot do this themselves, because they lack scientific insight into their own situation. The following fragment from a letter of Hulstaert to Mgr. De Boeck about the lack of linguistic insight of the Mongo, is illustrative of this view:

L'explication des noirs est un peu la même partout; chacun tire sur son côté Et il n'y en a pas qui ont un aperçu global dans ces questions. Il n'en est d'ailleurs pas possible: ils n'en ont pas eu la formation.

This implied – and that is a third Africanist view – that the African reality can be easily manipulated and positively changed by "those who

really know Africa".

By way of conclusion, let us consider how this essentialist view on a By way of conclusion, let us consider how this essentialist view on a By way of conclusion, let us consider how the making of the reality regarded as being highly manipulable, influenced the making of the reality regarded as being highly manipulable, influenced the maps, it becomes maps. When reading both clergymen's texts about the maps, it becomes clear that they have used a limited number of lapidary principles to solve nearly all classificatory problems. As has been said, empirical facts are never cited. For Hulstaert, the most central principle was: grouping as much as ver cited. For Hulstaert, the most central principle, schould have become clear possible. Why he has adopted this principle, schould have become clear when reading the second paragraph of this article. The exceptions on his map, that is, the few areas where Hulstaert shows a less homogeneous situa-

tion than Van Bulck, are located in the possible expansion domain of his that his conclusions are mainly based on this one principle: favourite Mongo language. In a letter to Mgr. De Boeck, Hulstaert admitted

ments, donner priorité à la langue. Je me base donc sur un axiome, un aprio-Contrairement à d'autres, j'ai comme principe: grouper le plus que possible. En cas de doute donner la préférance à l'unification. Parmi tous les élérisme si vous voulez.

detail of the Kulturgeschichte. complicated representation, being convinced that this is a priori the most between different authors, he used exactly the opposite solution from that of rather than on personal investigation. When he found contradictions advanced and correct one. He would never take the risk of becoming a Hulstaert to solve his problem. He would choose for the more scattered and "Schematiker", who could be accused of not taking into consideration every Van Bulck's classifications are largely based on written documents,

discrepancy in the outcome of two linguistic studies has upon closer scrutiny situation, socio-political views and the general tradition of the sciences of proved to be due to some minor differences in opinion the overseas territories have influenced scolarly work in the Congo. A huge Using the two language maps, I have tried to show how the colonial

### Bibliography

BASTIN YVONNE

1975. Bibliographie bantoue selective, Tervuren

BLOMMAERT JAN

-1996. Language Planning as a Discourse on Language and Society, in: Language Problems and Language Planning 20:3, 199-222

BOKULA MOISO, -1995. A propos de l' Atlas linguistique du Zaire, in: Annales Aequatoria 16, 403-

CALVET JEAN-LOUIS

-1974. Linguistique et colonialisme, Payot, Paris CHAMBERS J. K. AND TRUDGILL P.

DE BOECK EGIDE -1980. Dialectology, Cambridge University Press

-1942. Theoretische en practische cursus in Lingala met woordenlijst en sa menspraken

DE BOECK L.

-1949. Taalkunde en talenkwestie in Belgisch-Kongo. Mémoires de l' Institut Royal

Colonial Belge, Tome 17, fascicule 1, 94 pages 1952a. Manuel de Lingala. Tenant compte du language parlé et du langage litté raire, Editions de Scheut, Bruxelles, Lisala, 109 pages

-1952b. Contribution à l' Atlas linguistique du Congo Belge, in: Orbis. Bulletin In ternational de Documentation Linguistique, Tome I, nº 1, 104-108

-1952c. Het Lingala op de weegschaal, in Zaire, 6, 115-153

DE CLEENE NORBERT

-1936. Onze koloniale verhouding in verband met het streven naar een nationale taal

in Kongo. in: Elckertyc II, 3 (18 januari), p. 25 -1967. P. Vaast van Bulck, (24 september 1903-6 juli 1966), Bulletin des DE JONGHE EDUARD. Séances de l' Académie Royale des Sciences d'Outre-Mer, 143-155

-1940. L'enseignement colonial. Congrès Colonial National, Ve session, nº 9, Bruxelles 1940, 11 pages

DE ROP ALBERT.

-1970. A l'occasion du 70e anniversaire de G. Hulstaert, M.S.C. in: Africa-Tervuren -1955. Het lomongo als cultuurtaal, in: Kongo-Overzee 21, 265-271

16, 107-112

1986. Language And Colonial Power, Cambridge University Press **FABIAN JOHANNES** 

HULSTAERT GUSTAAF.

-1936. Het talenvraagstuk in Belgisch Kongo. in : Kongo-Overzee 3, 1936-37, 1, 49-

-1938. Praktische grammatica van het Lonkundo (Lomongo), Antwerpen, De Sikkel,

-1950a. Carte linguistique du Congo Belge. Mémoires de l'Institut Royal Colonial Kongo-Overzee Bibliotheek, 272 pages

Belge, Tome XIX, 66 pages

-1950b. Taaleenmaking in het Mongo gebied: Kongo-Overzee 16, 292-298

-1953. Civilisation occidentale et langage au Congo belge. in: Aequatoria. 16, 23-25 -1951. Les langues de la Cuvette Centrale Congolaise. Aequatoria. 14, 18-24

-1954 Au sujet des deux cartes linguistiques au Congo belge. Mémoires de l'Institut

Royal Colonial Belge, Tome XXXVIII, fasc. 1, 53 pages

-1961a. Les Mongo. Aperçu Général. Musée Royale de l'Afrique Centrale, Archives

d'Ethnographie n° 5, Tervuren,

-1961b. Grammaire du Lomongo.Première Partie: La phonologie, Annales du Musée Royal de l' Afrique Centrale, Sciences Humaines n° 57, -1988. L'ethnie mongo. in: Les Nouvelles Rationalités Africaines. 3, n°11,

-1995. The Family Romance of Colonial Linguistics. Gender and Family in Nine teenth Century Representations of African Languages, in: Pragmatics 5,

LECLERCQ JACQUES -1938. De la communauté populaire. Editions Du Cerf

LEKENS BENJAMIN

LIESENBORGHS O.

-1951. Nota over het Ngbandi als voertaal in Ubangi, in: Kongo-Overzee 17, 162-

MEEUSSEN A.E.
-1949. G. Van Bulck: Les recherches linguistiques au Congo belge; Recension dans: -1941-1942. Beschouwingen over wezen, nut en toekomst der zoogenaamde "linguae francae", in: Kongo-Overzee, 7-8, p. 87-99

Kongo-Overzee 15, 59
-1952. L-B. De Boeck: Manuel de Lingála; Recension dans : Kongo-Overzee 18, 442

Flemisch Nationalism in the Belgian Congo vs Zairian

MEEUWIS MICHAËL.

MORTIER RODOLF
-1936-1937. Volken en volksverhuizingen in Ubangi, in: Kongo-Overzee 3, 209-214 tes. in: Jan Blommaert (ed.), Language ideological debates, Mouton, Berlin, p. 381-423 imperialism: Continuity and Discontinuity in Language Ideological Deba-

-1985. Orientalism. Peregrine

SPENCER JOHN W. -1974. Colonial Language policies and their legacies in sub-saharan Africa, in: Fishman J. (éd), Advancing in language planning

-1952. Het Tshiluba als omgangstaal, of unificatie van de Luba-dialecten? in: Kongo-Overzee.18, 50-65 STAPPERS LEO.

-1952. Taaleenmaking in Oost-Afrika, in: Kongo-Overzee 18, 312-317

VAN BULCK GASTON, (=VAN BULCK VAAST) -1931. Beiträge zur Methodik der Völkerkunde. Universitäts-Institut für Völker

-1948. Les recherches linguistiques au Congo Belge. Mémoires de l'Institut kunde, Wien; 256 pages

Tome 16; 767 pages
-1949. L-B. De Boeck: Taalkunde en talenkwestie in Belgisch-Kongo; Recension in: Royal Colonial Belge, Section des Sciences Morales et Politiques,

Kongo-Overzee, 15, p. 286

- 1950. La stratification culturelle de l' Afrique d'après H. Baumann, in : Anthropos;

-1952a. Les deux cartes linguistiques du Congo Belge. Mémoire de l'Institut Royal Colonial Belge, Section des Sciences Morales et Politiques, Tome 25, fascicule 2; 68 pages

-1952b. Taalstudie op de Bantoetaalgrens. in: Kongo-Overzee 18, p. 35-49

-1952c. G. Hulstaert: Carte Linguistique du Congo belge; Recension in: Kongo-Overzee 18, p. 79

-1953. Het taalprobleem in het Kongolees universitair onderwijs, in: Kongo--1954a. Nota bij de talenkaart van Belgisch Kongo en Ruanda-Urundi, in : Algemene Overzee. 19, 342-356

Colonial Belge, 8 pages -1954b. G. Hulstaert: Dictionnaire français-lomongo; Recension in: Aequatoria 17, Atlas van Kongol Atlas Général du Congo Belge, Bruxelles, Institut Royal

p. 125

-1956. D'où sont venus les fondateurs d'Etats dans l'entre Kwango Lualaba? (Civilisation sud-erythréenne?). in: Die Wiener Schule der Völkerkunde - The -1954c. Het onderwijs in de hedendaagse Missies. in: Streven, VII, 1 Vienna School of Ethnology. Festschrift anlässlich des 25-jährigen Bestan-

-1991. In memoriam G. Hulstaert (1900-1990). in: Annales Aequatoria 12, 7-76 VINCK HONORE desdes Institutes für Völkerkunde des Universität Wien, 1929-1954, Horn-Wien, Fred Berger, p. 205-217

-1994. Correspondance scientifique Hulstaert-De Boeck. in: Annales Aequatoria 15,

Mark Van De Velde, ULB, < mvdvelde@ulb.ac.be>

This contribution is a summary of a M.A. thesis presented by the author at the Katholieke Universiteit te Leuven in 1988: De twee taalkaarten van Belgisch Kongo,

13 pages + Illustrations