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Abstract

The archaeological site of Payre (France) yielded Middle Palaeolithic layers with Neanderthal remains, which are partly bracketed by two stalagmitic flowstones. To obtain a precise chronological framework for the human occupation and the faunal remains of this site, several dating methods were used: uranium-series (U-series by alpha spectrometry and TIMS) and electron spin resonance (ESR) on stalagmitic flowstones, combined ESR/U-series on teeth and bones, and thermoluminescence (TL) on burnt flints. Most of the ages obtained for levels H to C range from $\sim 300$ to $\sim 140$ ka. The age-spread obtained for the anthropic (burnt flints) and faunal remains (bones and teeth) suggests that the dated levels represent several human occupation periods, near the transition from MIS8 to MIS7 (levels G–F) and from MIS6 to MIS5 (levels D–E).
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1. Introduction

The site of Payre in Southern France is located in Jurassic and Cretaceous formations on the western bank of the Middle Rhône Valley (Fig. 1). It is part of a karstic complex opening to the south-east, 60 m above the Payre river, a small tributary of the Rhône (Debard, 1988). The site was first excavated in the 1960s (Combier, 1967) and quite regularly since 1990 (Moncel et al., 1993, Moncel et al., 2002; Moncel, 2003). During the last decade, the stratigraphic sequence has been the object of an interdisciplinary study, which involved geological, archaeological, palynological, palaeontological, and geochronological analyses. What is now a ca. 80 m$^2$ open-air site at the edge of a steep slope was once a cave, before the roof collapsed.
Prehistoric humans repeatedly occupied the site, perhaps because of its specific location, in the vicinity of various ecosystems (Moncel et al., 2002). Middle Palaeolithic artefacts and bones were found in several layers, but the layers showing higher density of artefacts correspond to specific phases of human occupation of the site. Since Neanderthal bones were found in some layers, the dating of the site became important for a better understanding of the human occupation of the Rhône valley during the Pleistocene.

In order to set up a chronological framework for the site formation process and human occupation of Payre, several dating methods were used: uranium-series (U-series) and electron spin resonance (ESR) dating techniques were applied to geological deposits (stalagmitic flowstones) and palaeontological remains (bones and teeth), burnt flint specimens were dated by thermoluminescence (TL).
2. Description of the geological and archaeological sequence

2.1. Description of the site

The geological study indicates that the cave, initially composed of two chambers (at first named Payre I and Payre II but now considered to be a single site) with a narrow entrance, became a rock shelter after erosion on the valley side caused a roof collapse. The excavations of large areas, up to 40 m² in the upper layers and ca. 25 m² in the lower layers, exposed a 5-m-thick stratigraphical sequence. Based on Fig. 2, Fig. 3, the following scenario can be proposed for the site formation processes:

- The most ancient remnants of the cave infilling are the stalagmitic floors labelled H7 to H2; they were deposited at the Western side of the cave, on the limestone substratum and under layer G. In squares L–M/13–14, above H2 but not attached to this floor is found another carbonated deposit (H1). An isolated flowstone J is also present in squares J11/J12, under layer G, but its exact stratigraphic location is difficult to ascertain as its connection with the H7 to H2 floors and with the archaeological layers is not apparent.

![Fig. 2. Site plan with two longitudinal sections showing the location of samples used for U-series and ESR/U-series and TL datings and the age-estimates obtained. Black thick lines show the limit of the limestone rock substratum (bedrock). In the central part of the site the brescification of layer G prevented excavation and the bottom of this layer was not reached. The limits of the excavations in layer G are shown by dashed line. Grey patches represent stalagmitic floors.](image-url)
The clastic sediment consists of the following layers:

- The overlying layer G, composed of orange clays with numerous pebbles and blocks, yielded an evidence of two main human occupation phases, which lie on the stalagmitic floors in the Eastern part of the site and directly on the substratum in the centre of the site. The contact between layer G and the substratum was not reached everywhere, because the high bresciification of layer G prevented excavations. The anthropic deposits are spread over the entire excavated surface. The top part of the sediments is eroded and also partially bresciated. This layer yielded most of the human remains, eight teeth and a fragment of a left parietal bone, within a small area. These remains belong to three or four Neanderthal individuals, from both children and adults (Moncel and Condemi, 1996, Moncel and Condemi, 1997).

- Layer F corresponds to seven cyclic deposits of grey sediment and beds of rubble and clay. It also contains four human occupation layers alternating with numerous palaeontological ones, corresponding mainly to the use of the cave by bears.

- Layer E contains large blocks of limestone from the cave roof, which had collapsed enlarging the cave entrance; the protected surface became even more reduced.

- Layers C and D (50–70 cm thick) contain brown clastic sediments which cover most of the terrace. They yielded the remains of the final human occupations, which were within sheltered area.

- Layers B and A at the top of the sequence are composed of sediments from the active karst but they do not contain any archaeological remains.
In summary, the stratigraphic sequence is discontinuous with gaps between sedimentation layers and erosional phases, which are probably linked to the ceiling collapse.

2.2. Palaeoenvironnemntal analysis

2.2.1. Palynological data

So far, no pollen has been found in layer G but layer F yielded a few specimens indicating a Mediterranean-type open-forest environment (Kalai et al., 2001). The pollen found in the overlying layers corresponds to a semi-open landscape, with Mediterranean influence and suggests a warming trend from layer E to D.

2.2.2. Mammals

Archaeozoological studies indicate that Cervus elaphus ssp., Bos primigenius and Equus cf mosbachensis are the most numerous in the entire sequence, followed by Diceros rhinoceros hemitoechus and Elephas. The deposits also yielded less abundant remains of some other mammals such as Stephanorinus kirchbergensis, Bison sp., Capreolus capreolus ssp., Megaloceros sp., Capra ibex, Hemitragus sp., Rupicapra sp., Sus scrofa ssp., Canis lupus ssp., Vulpes vulpes, Panthera, Panthera pardus, Crocuta crocuta, Meles meles, Martes martes, Castor fiber, Lepus sp. Remains of Ursus spelaeus and Ursus arctos are also abundant (for instance, bears represent up to 50% of the faunal remains of layer F). These remains do not show evidence of interaction with humans and consequently one can infer that the cave was most likely used as an animal den when it was not occupied by the people. The same can be said of the carnivores (hyenas, foxes, wolves).

All these animal species belong to various ecosystems that prevailed around the site (plateau, cliff, Payre and Rhône valleys). The type of mammals found suggests a forest environment in the valleys, associated with open landscape around the cave (El Hazzazi, 1998; Desclaux, in Moncel et al., 2002).

2.2.3. Micro-mammals

So far the entire sequence has yielded 23 micro-mammal species. Pliomys lenki and Microtus breccicensis (iberomys) were found in layers G and D. These species belong to the Middle Pleistocene but extend in the south of France to the beginning of the Upper Pleistocene. Four species found in the upper parts of layer D (P. lenki, M. breccicensis, Arvicola terrestris and Arvicola sapidus) point to the end of the Middle Pleistocene or the beginning of the Upper Pleistocene (El Hazzazi, 1998; Desclaux, in Moncel et al., 2002).

The species associations give evidence of two environments: an open landscape under a cold and dry climate during the deposition of layers G and F, which can be attributed either to the end of the Middle Pleistocene or the beginning of the Upper Pleistocene, and an open landscape under a cold and wet climate during the deposition of the upper layer D.

2.3. Human occupations: seasonal settlements

The main archaeological layers G, F and D, contain lithic industries attributed to the Middle Palaeolithic period. They show no technological evolution with time, even though they display great technological and typological diversity (Moncel, 2003). Most artefacts were made of flint from a Cretaceous plateau located 10–15 km to the south, but basalt, limestone and quartz from the Payre river at the foot of the site as well as quartzite from the nearby Rhône river were also used for tool making. Core reduction by the “discoid method” produced large and thick flakes. The method is mainly unifacial, practiced on flint flakes or nodules. The artefacts are less retouched (10–15%), mostly as side-scrapers and points; in some layers the points account for more than 50% of the flaked tools. The tool kit is limited in scope. It is
completed by two large bifacial flint tools (scrapers and handaxes), which were discovered in layer G whereas quartzite, basalt, and limestone large tools (handaxes, large side-scrapers, pebble-tools) were found throughout the sequence. These large tools made of stone collected in situ were worked outside the site, while most of the flint artefacts were produced within the site.

Among the mammal species found in layers D, F, and G, Cervids, Bovids and Equids are the main species with anthropic marks, associated to rhinoceros and elephants. Archaeozoological studies indicate that the first three species were hunted by humans, while rhinoceros and elephants were probably scavenged by humans and carnivores (Lamarque and Patou-Mathis, in Moncel et al., 2002). Through the fauna remains, evidence of seasonal settlements with domestic activities is visible within each layer (large breakage of the bones, narrow butchery marks, evidence of fire with a small ashes area).

2.3.1. Conclusion on the archaeological and palaeoenvironmental approach

Because of their fragmentary nature, micro-mammal and palynological data yield partial information on the palaeoenvironment and they do not allow us to correlate the Payre sequence with the global marine palaeoclimatic record. Neither do the characteristics of the lithic assemblages allow the placement of the archaeological sequence within a precise period of the Middle Palaeolithic, which lasts for over 250 ka (from ca. MIS9 to the MIS3). Consequently, several dating techniques were used to set up a chronological framework for the site formation processes and human occupation of Payre.

3. Geochronological studies

The dating techniques were used at the Département de Préhistoire du Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle (MNHN) and the Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l’Environnement (LSCE): U-series and ESR methods were applied to the stalagmitic deposits, TL method was used to date burnt flint specimens, which are abundant in layers G and F. Combined ESR/U-series method was also applied to several bones and two teeth.

3.1. U-series and ESR dating of stalagmitic formations

Initially, the U-series method (Ivanovich and Harmon, 1992) was applied to stalagmitic flowstones (Masaoudi, 1995). Samples PC94-01 to 05 were collected from the stalagmitic floors in squares M15/M16, deposited at the bottom of the sequence, whereas samples PC94-06 and 07 were taken from the isolated flowstone J (squares J11/J12). The radiotopic data deduced from α-spectrometric measurements are listed in Table 1. The age estimates fall within a large time interval ranging from MIS8 to MIS6 or MIS5 (Bassinot et al., 1994): from $281+74/-42$ to $135+10/-9$ ka for samples from M15/M16 and from $264+60/-38$ to $159+10/-9$ ka for the isolated flowstone. Two of these samples (PC94-01 and PC94-04) also dated by ESR (Table 2) gave results consistent with those obtained by the U-series method.
Table 1. Radioisotopic data and U-series ages from $\alpha$-spectrometric measurements on samples from the isolated stalagmite J (sampled in squares J11/J12) and from the stalagmitic floors collected in squares M15/M16 (from Masaoudi, 1995)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$z$ (cm)</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{234}$U/$^{238}$U</th>
<th>$^{230}$Th/$^{232}$Th</th>
<th>$^{230}$Th/$^{234}$U</th>
<th>U-series age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stalagmite J</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC94-06</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.138±0.019</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>0.788±0.019</td>
<td>159+1/-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC94-07</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.098±0.018</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.935±0.037</td>
<td>264+60/-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalagmitic floors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC94-01</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.080±0.025</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>0.703±0.022</td>
<td>135+10/-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC94-02</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.058±0.024</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>0.876±0.025</td>
<td>216+26/-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC94-03</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.082±0.022</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>0.922±0.022</td>
<td>253+32/-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC94-04</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.042±0.023</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.936±0.032</td>
<td>281+74/-42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC94-05</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.109±0.016</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.902±0.022</td>
<td>230+23/-19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The error on the uranium content is ca. 5%.

Table 2. ESR results obtained by Masaoudi (1995) for 2 samples coming from the stalagmitic floors (sampled in squares M15/M16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>$D_e$ (Gy)</th>
<th>Dose-rate (µGy/a)</th>
<th>ESR age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stalagmitic floors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC94-01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>47.8±2.5</td>
<td>362±36</td>
<td>132±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC94-04</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>87.7±6.5</td>
<td>346±34</td>
<td>253±26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$D_e$: equivalent dose deduced from ESR measurements of the $g=2.0007$ signal. Dose-rate: mean annual dose estimated by taking into account disequilibrium in the U-series and the environmental (gamma+cosmic) contribution. A k-value (alpha efficiency) of 0.05 was used for the dose-rate calculation (Lyons and Brennan, 1991). The error on the uranium content is ca. 5%.

As the large size of the samples (several grams) and their possible contamination by uranium and thorium from detritical origin could be partly responsible for the spread of the age estimates based on alpha spectrometric measurements, we tried to refine the chronology of the flowstone deposits by using the thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS) U-series method (Edwards et al., 1986/87) on samples of ca. 1 g, at the LSCE: three additional samples (depth below datum between 304 and 387 cm, Fig. 2, Fig. 3) were collected from the bottom to the top of the stalagmitic floors in H5, H3 and H1, respectively (Table 3).
Table 3. TIMS activity ratios and age estimates for the Payre stalagmitic floors sampled in squares L-M/13-14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (depth, z, cm)</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>²³⁰Th/²³⁸Th (measured)</th>
<th>²³⁰Th/²³⁸Th (initial)</th>
<th>²³⁴Th/²³²Th TIMS age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stalagmitic floors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1 (z=285–305)</td>
<td>0.15680±0.00004</td>
<td>0.937±0.002</td>
<td>1.053±0.001</td>
<td>1.101±0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL H3 (z=300–310)</td>
<td>0.14180±0.00003</td>
<td>0.991±0.002</td>
<td>1.050±0.001</td>
<td>1.113±0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL H5a (z=325–340)</td>
<td>0.16201±0.00003</td>
<td>0.982±0.002</td>
<td>1.048±0.001</td>
<td>1.107±0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analytical procedures follow Cabioch and Ayliffe (2001). 1σ errors are calculated by error propagation. Decay constants used in the age calculations are: λ²³⁰Th=9.1954×10⁻⁶±7.19×10⁻⁸ and λ²³⁴U=2.8349×10⁻⁶±5.7×10⁻⁹. The ²³⁴U/²³⁸U and ²³⁰Th/²³²Th activity ratios of each sample were normalised on the HU-1 standard which was assumed to be in secular equilibrium. This procedure, originally advocated by Ludwig et al. (1992), automatically corrects the data for slight machine biases and minimizes any errors introduced during spike calibration.

The U-series age-estimates are 280±3 ka (H5), 291±3 ka (H3) and 229±2 ka (H1), indicating that the crystallization processes took place during several periods: for H5 and H3 during MIS8 and for H1 during MIS7. The TIMS measurements confirm the oldest α-spectrometric results and, thanks to the high resolution of this technique, allow the chronology of the formation process to be refined. It is interesting to note that the TIMS analyses, contrarily to alpha spectrometric measurements, do not yield any results corresponding to the end of MIS6 or the beginning of MIS5 and, consequently, one can infer that the age-estimates of 135 and 153 ka reflect recrystallization events.

These U-series results suggest that the archaeological layers G, F, and E are younger than MIS9, but they do not provide a more precise age for the deposition of these layers. In order to date directly the anthropic and palaeontological remains found in archaeological layers G, F, E and D, we turned to the dosimetric methods listed below.

3.2. Thermoluminescence dating

This method was used to date fragments of burnt flints from the Middle Palaeolithic layers of Payre. The upper part of layer D was not dated because it is only a few centimeters below the actual soil surface and hence reliable dosimetric measurements could not be obtained. Twenty samples from layers F and G showing signs of firing were selected. Preliminary TL measurements showed that only 12 samples were suitable for TL dating: their natural TL (NTL) and their natural plus artificial TL (NTL+ATL) glow curves showed the same profile as a function of temperature, which indicates that they had been heated at temperatures in excess of 450 °C. Of these, 5 specimens came from layer H and 7 from layer G (Fig. 2, Fig. 3).

Each flint was treated according to the procedure described by Valladas (1992): the extracted core of each specimen was crushed, sieved and the 100–160 µm grain size washed with hydrochloric acid. The palaeodose or equivalent dose ($D_E$) values were determined by the additive-dose technique (Mercier et al., 1995), using a $^{137}$Cs gamma-ray source delivering a dose-rate of 1.48 Gy/min (Valladas, 1978). TL measurements were done with an automatic apparatus (Valladas et al., 1994) at a heating rate of 5 °C/s, equipped with a Thorn EMI 9635QB photomultiplier. A MTO 380 nm optical filter selected the UV-blue component of the emission spectrum. In Fig. 4 are shown the TL glow curves (NTL and (NTL+ATL)) of a flint (PAY3) which allowed to construct the “natural” growth curve. A “regenerative” growth curve was obtained after the sample had been heated at 350 °C for 90 min to erase the NTL (Mercier et al., 1992). For PAY3 and other samples, the $D_E$ was determined by extrapolating the natural
and regenerated TL growth curves along the dose axis using an exponential or a polynomial function. For the PAY3 sample the values of the apparent accumulated dose as a function of temperature (plateau test) are plotted on the same figure. The $D_{E}$ of this sample (152±8 Gy) was obtained by integrating the 380 °C peak from 340 to 400 °C, where the plateau test was satisfied (Aitken, 1985). The estimated $D_{E}$ values of the 12 selected specimens ranged from 150 to 320 Gy (Table 4).

Fig. 4. Natural TL (NTL) and additive (NTL+TLA) glow curves of sample PAY3, induced by artificial gamma doses of: 19.6, 39.3 and 59.0 Gy, respectively, together with the $D_{E}$ plateau test.
### Table 4. TL data and age estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>Th (ppm)</th>
<th>K (%)</th>
<th>α - sensitivity (µGy/a/103 a)</th>
<th>Dose rate (µGy/a)</th>
<th>Error ± statistical total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pay3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>210 95 309</td>
<td>25 265 140 405 35 714 43 152 8 213 16 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>514 298 823</td>
<td>67 266 140 408 35 1231 76 317 20 257 20 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay26</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>329 180 520</td>
<td>43 259 140 399 34 919 55 243 12 264 19 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay27</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>73 70 145</td>
<td>10 266 140 406 35 551 36 154 11 280 25 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay28</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>439 286 737</td>
<td>67 266 140 406 35 1143 75 277 14 242 20 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay4</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>943 366 1333</td>
<td>119 197 132 329 25 1662 122 267 10 161 14 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay5</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>198 114 316</td>
<td>25 205 132 337 27 653 37 147 8 225 16 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay6</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>467 239 721</td>
<td>60 199 132 331 26 1051 66 265 13 252 19 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay10</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>192 142 341</td>
<td>25 228 132 360 37 701 45 190 8 271 19 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay11</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>92 70 165</td>
<td>11 230 132 362 38 527 39 179 20 339 40 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay12</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>266 321 598</td>
<td>39 259 132 391 34 988 52 208 8 210 13 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay14</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>262 152 422</td>
<td>35 256 132 388 33 810 49 226 14 279 21 27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The combined statistical and systematic error on U, Th and K, ±10%, is essentially caused by uncertainties in the reference standard.

The alpha sensitivity was determined by comparing the TL-α and TL-β signals induced by α and β particles from 238Pu and Y/90Sr sources, respectively (Valladas and Valladas, 1982). Depending on the sample, the error is between 8 and 16%.

The internal dose-rate (and statistical error) of each flint was computed from its U, Th and K contents and from the specific dose-rates given by Adamiec and Aitken (1998).

The external dose-rate (and its statistical error) was deduced from measurements taken in the field by dosimeters. The gamma contribution was corrected to take into account the absorption of the gamma rays passing through the sample. The cosmic dose-rate was estimated by assuming that the thickness of overburden (cave ceiling and sediment) was ca. 6 meters before the collapse of the cave ceiling, which occurred ca. 150 ka ago during the deposition of layer E, and only 1 meter after this collapse. The systematic error on the cosmic dose-rate has been estimated at ±15%.
The $D_0$ value (and its statistical error) was determined by extrapolating the natural and regenerated TL growth curves along the dose axis. The latter was measured after the natural powder was reheated for 1.5 h at 350 °C. Following Aitken's (1985) recommendations, the statistical (column 20) and systematic errors were calculated separately for each flint. This systematic error includes uncertainties in: (1) artificial sources calibration; (2) water content estimate at ±15%; (3) cosmic contribution; (4) radioisotopic contents of the standard used for the NAA. The tabulated overall error (column 21) represents the square root of the sum of the squared (systematic and statistical) errors.
The internal dose-rate of each flint was computed from its U, Th and K contents measured by neutron activation at the Pierre Süe Laboratory, CEN, Saclay (Joron, 1974) and from the specific dose-rates given by Adamiec and Aitken (1998). The uranium and thorium contents varied from flint to flint, from 0.40 to 2.38 ppm and from 0.01 to 0.13 ppm, respectively, and the potassium content from 0.01% to 0.21%. As a result of this variability the internal dose-rates ranged from 160 to 1350 µGy/a and, consequently, could account for as little as 25% to as much as 80% of the total annual dose. Therefore the contribution of the environmental dose-rate varied from 75% to 20%.

As the available archaeological sections around the excavated area were small and sparse, only four dosimeters (Fig. 3) could be inserted in layers F (squares L7 and M4) and G (squares O8 and L5) to measure the gamma dose-rate emitted by the radioisotopes present in the sediment and the current cosmic contribution. This last parameter was estimated to be between 140 and 132 µGy/a, by taking into account the present thickness of sediments above the dated layers and by assuming a 6 m thickness before the collapse of the roof. The gamma dose-rates reached 216 and 275 µGy/a in layer G and were slightly higher in layer F (between 262 and 300 µGy/a) but are typical of calcareous environments. Moreover, the scatter of these values can be explained by the variable relative proportion of calcareous cobbles mixed with the terra rossa, which constitutes the sedimentological infill. Considering this information, the gamma dose-rate received by a sample was estimated from the dose recorded by the nearest dosimeters. An uncertainty of ±13% which represents the standard deviation associated with the mean dose-rate value of 263±35 µGy/a was used in the age calculations.

3.2.1. Results and discussion

The ages obtained for the 12 samples from layers F and G range from ∼160 to 340 ka (Table 4, Fig. 3). For layer F, the five results are statistically compatible (Chi-square test satisfied) and cluster between 213±19 and 280±29 ka with an arithmetic mean of 251±25 ka. A larger spread is observed for the seven samples from the underlying layer G, whose ages range from 161±18 to 339±44 ka, with five falling in the 210–280 ka age interval. This large dispersion may be due to an incorrect evaluation of the external dose-rate, especially in the case of samples with low radioisotopic contents, such as PAY11 (339±44 ka), for which the gamma contribution reaches 44% of the total dose-rate. Thus, if the presence of a clay pocket at the burial spot of this sample had raised the gamma-external dose-rate by as much as 75% above the estimated value used in the age calculation (403 instead of 230 µGy/a), the recalculated age would have been ca. 255 ka, indistinguishable from the other results obtained for this layer. The fact that the surface of layer G is eroded and partially brescia detectives could also explain some dose-rate variations. Unfortunately, these points cannot be confirmed as the excavators have removed the archaeological layers at this place. Of course, one wonders if PAY11 is not really older than the other samples, which would raise the possibility that the site was visited before the archaeological layer G was deposited.

If we now look at PAY4, it is also unlikely that a bad estimate of the external dose-rate could explain such a recent age (161±18 ka), as this component contributes no more than 20% of the total dose-rate: for this sample to be 200 ka old, the external dose-rate would have to be zero, something obviously impossible. However, it should be noted that the internal and especially the alpha dose-rate of this sample is very high (943 µGy/a) due to both its high uranium and alpha sensitivity values. The model used to calculate the alpha dose-rate assuming an homogeneous distribution of both the radioisotopes and the TL emission could therefore not be well suited to this particular sample and yields an overestimate of the alpha dose-rate, and consequently would produce an under-estimated age. However, one cannot exclude the possibility that PAY4 was derived by intrusion from the overlying layer D (whose age could be close to 159+10/−9 ka according to the U-series method); note that layer D is full of roots which may have pushed things underneath.

If these two extreme results (PAY11 and PAY4) are excluded, the arithmetic mean age of layer G is 247±29 ka. This result is statistically indistinguishable from the mean age obtained for the overlying layer F (251±25 ka), which suggests that these layers were deposited by the end of MIS8 (301–242 ka) and at the beginning of MIS7 (242–186 ka). The precision of the TL results is not good enough to specifically attribute the deposition of these sediments to one of these two climatic periods.
3.3. Combined ESR/U-series dating method

The ESR and U-series methods are both dependent on the uranium uptake by buried fossil teeth and bones. Several models have been proposed to describe the uranium accumulation, for example, the early uptake (EU) and linear uptake (LU) models (see details in Grün, 1989; Ikeya, 1993; Rink, 1997), but the ESR method by itself does not allow one to determine this parameter. In order to circumvent this difficulty we applied an approach coupling the U-series and ESR dating methods: combining them permits to model the history of uranium uptake in a sample, which can then be characterized by a single parameter, \( p \) (Grün et al., 1988; Grün and McDermott, 1994; Falguères et al., 1997).

3.3.1. Samples and methodology

Layer E provided only two rhinoceros teeth, which contained enamel and dentine for dating. In addition, nine fragments of large mammal bones (one from layer G, two from E and three from F and D, see Fig. 3) were also analysed (Moncel et al., 1993; Masaoudi, 1995; Masaoudi et al., 1997), even though such samples are known not to be reliable for dating (Grün and Schwarcz, 1987). The different tissues of the well-preserved tooth samples were separated mechanically and the outer surface of the enamel of the bones was removed using an electric drill to eliminate the material exposed to external alpha radiation. To apply the additive-dose method, nine aliquots were irradiated using a calibrated \( ^{60}\text{Co} \) gamma-ray source with doses of 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 800, 1500, 3000, and 6000 Gy. The ESR measurements were performed on an EMX Bruker ESR spectrometer (X-band, 9.82 GHz). The following parameters (10 mW microwave power, 0.1 mT modulation amplitude, room temperature) were used and the \( g=2.0018 \) hydroxyapatite signal intensities were recorded. Each ESR measurement was repeated three times for each dose. The equivalent dose \( (D_E) \) was then determined by fitting an exponential model through the experimental points (see details in Yokoyama et al., 1985).

U-series analyses using \( \alpha \)-spectrometry were made on the different palaeontological tissues at the MNHN, according to the standard protocols described by Bischoff et al. (1988).

3.3.2. Results and discussion

The experimental data and the combined ESR/U–Th age estimates deduced are given in Table 5 and Fig.3.
Table 5. Combined ESR/U-series data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{234}$U/$^{238}$U</th>
<th>$^{230}$Th/$^{234}$U</th>
<th>$^{222}$Rn/$^{230}$Th</th>
<th>Thickness (µm)</th>
<th>$D_i$(Gy)</th>
<th>Dose rate (µGy/a)</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>ESR/U-series Ages (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>I6 182</td>
<td>41.62±0.50</td>
<td>1.141±0.016</td>
<td>0.611±0.013</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>5900</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>353±34</td>
<td>1934±638</td>
<td>580±116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>L8 210</td>
<td>25.72±0.30</td>
<td>1.263±0.024</td>
<td>0.536±0.017</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>12,650</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>374±22</td>
<td>823±226</td>
<td>1164±231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>L8 219</td>
<td>14.39±0.20</td>
<td>1.329±0.030</td>
<td>0.869±0.027</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>16,675</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>375±26</td>
<td>1532±59</td>
<td>1160±231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Tooth 3: Enamel</td>
<td>0.11±0.02</td>
<td>1.055±0.081</td>
<td>0.534±0.067</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>138±6</td>
<td>40±11</td>
<td>912±172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dentine</td>
<td>15.90±0.24</td>
<td>1.072±0.018</td>
<td>0.235±0.007</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Tooth 4: Enamel</td>
<td>1.30±0.02</td>
<td>1.185±0.038</td>
<td>0.522±0.027</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>166±6</td>
<td>245±52</td>
<td>912±172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dentine</td>
<td>24.43±0.25</td>
<td>1.154±0.016</td>
<td>0.523±0.012</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>K6 8</td>
<td>59.33±0.60</td>
<td>1.080±0.011</td>
<td>0.289±0.006</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>6750</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>223±27</td>
<td>950±294</td>
<td>572±112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>L7 230</td>
<td>29.75±0.35</td>
<td>1.222±0.032</td>
<td>0.769±0.026</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>3375</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>335±28</td>
<td>1685±408</td>
<td>586±113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>L6 30</td>
<td>69.01±1.01</td>
<td>1.084±0.016</td>
<td>0.331±0.010</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>12,660</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>302±13</td>
<td>905±297</td>
<td>412±53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>M6 256</td>
<td>44.24±0.50</td>
<td>1.079±0.018</td>
<td>0.162±0.009</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>4219</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>152±13</td>
<td>213±86</td>
<td>435±56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>M6 303</td>
<td>21.93±0.50</td>
<td>1.113±0.015</td>
<td>0.301±0.008</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>8440</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>177±25</td>
<td>216±77</td>
<td>416±53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>O5 471</td>
<td>52.31±0.60</td>
<td>1.143±0.041</td>
<td>0.352±0.012</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>283±20</td>
<td>684±211</td>
<td>374±47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ESR ages were calculated using the following parameters:
a $k$-value of 0.13±0.02 was used for the dental samples determined by Grün and Katzenberger-Apel (1994) and according to Grün and Schwarcz (2000). For the bones, the calculations were performed using the USIC hypothesis (US model with inverse correlation between U-content and ESR signal; $k=0$; Bahain et al., 1992). Hence, the internal dose corresponds only to the internal beta contribution.

The water content was estimated to be ±3 wt%, ±10 wt% and ±15 wt% in the enamel, dentine and sediment, respectively, with an error of ±33%.

The initial thickness of the enamel layers was 0.2 cm and a part of each side of the enamel layer was removed during the sample preparation (Masaoudi, 1995). So, the β-dose rate was calculated taking into account this fact and using “One Group” beta attenuations in the enamel (Brennan et al., 1997).

All the calculations were performed using the program “Data-IPH” provided by Rainer Grün.

The internal dose rate corresponds to the sum of the alpha and beta doses in the enamel, plus depending on the sample the beta dose from the dentine, or the beta dose in the bone. The effect of Rn losses in enamel, dentine and bone was determined by combining α- and γ-spectrometric measurements (Bahain et al., 1992).

In computing the annual dose, the external dose rate values deduced from the TL dosimeters placed in situ in the G and F layers were used with all associated measurements errors (around ±13%). When these data were not available (D and E layers), the γ dose rate (and its error) was calculated from the U, Th and K contents of the sediment as proposed by Adamiec and Aiken (1998). An error of ±20% was assumed on these calculated doses to take into account the heterogeneity of these two layers.
The two teeth from layer D yielded statistically compatible ages (145+33/−23 and 144+31/−22 ka, respectively). The three bones from this layer gave more scattered results, between 139±11 and 186+45/−33 ka, pointing out the difficulty of using the combined ESR/U-series method for such material.

The two bones from layer E gave indistinguishable ages (145+37/−27 and 146+34/−26 ka), which are also similar to those obtained for layer D, suggesting that the deposition of these two layers was rapid and took place during MIS6 or at the beginning of MIS5.

The ages obtained for the bone specimens from layers F (from 272+69/−65 to 223+59/−58 ka) and G (265+59/−54 ka), which are difficult to separate because of overlapping ranges, fall in MIS8 and MIS7.

4. Discussion and conclusion on the dating results

Most of the age estimates obtained with the different dating methods are consistent with the stratigraphy and range from ∼339 to 160 ka, i.e. from MIS9 to MIS6 (Fig. 5).

![Fig. 5. Synthetic profile and age-estimates obtained on bones, teeth and burnt flints by radiometric methods (ESR/U-series and TL); the ages are plotted as a function of depth and of the isotopic marine sequence (Bassinot et al., 1994).](image)

Thanks to its high resolution, which permits to select carefully the carbonated samples to be dated, the TIMS measurements definitively showed that the stalagmitic floors H7 to H1 crystallized mostly during MIS8 (H5 and H3 dated to ∼290 ka) and during MIS7 (H1: 229±2 ka), as it was suggested before by the more scattered and less precise alpha spectrometric results. Most of the TL dating on burnt flints indicated that the deposition of the archaeological layers G and F took place during the same time
interval (G: 247±29 ka and F: 251±25 ka), at the end of MIS8 and the beginning of MIS7, but one sample dated at ~339 ka suggests a possible prior occupation. These average TL ages are also globally concordant with the combined ESR/uranium results obtained on bones from the same layers in spite of the inherent difficulties associated with the dating of such samples. The combined ESR/uranium yielded age estimates for layers D and E, which can be associated with the end of MIS6 or the beginning of MIS5. Actually, what are missing are samples attributable to the beginning of MIS6.

5. Archaeological implication of the dating results

The dating results clearly indicate that the site of Payre was occupied during the Middle Pleistocene; they also complete the chronological framework of the human settlement in the Middle Rhône Valley (Combier, 1967; Moncel, 2002, Moncel, 2003, Moncel, 2004). According to the radiometric data presented above, Payre remains chronologically between Orgnac 3 (dated to MIS9 and the beginning of MIS8), and some rare sites attributed to MIS6 and 5, for example, the bases of the sequences of Abri Moula (MIS6 and 5) and of the Abri des Pêcheurs (MIS5). Most of the other sites located along the Ardeche River, are dated to MIS4 and 3 (Debard, 1988; Defleur et al., 1990, Defleur et al., 1994; Moncel and Michel, 2000; Moncel, 2003). Thus, the archaeological data suggest that the Middle Rhône Valley was inhabited by Neanderthal people from ~350 to ~35 ka, perhaps due to its Southern location, while the northern part of Europe was relatively abandoned by human groups during the coldest periods (Debard, 1988; Defleur et al., 2001; Moncel, 1998, Moncel, 2003; Moncel and Michel, 2000). The mosaic landscape context could explain this quasi-continuous occupation, as well as the availability of raw material regardless of the vegetation cover.

Payre's stratigraphy brings also new information about the human behaviour inside a period, which was badly known. Orgnac 3 yielded the first evidence of Levallois knapping in this area, and one of the earliest examples of this technique in Europe (Debard and Pastre, 1988; Falgueres et al., 1988; Gauthier, 1992; El Hazzazi, 1998; Moncel, 1999; Moncel et al., 2005). The Abri des Pêcheurs, as well as Payre’s sequence, attest the practice of discoid debitage on quartz during the periods of human occupations (Masaoudi et al., 1994; Prucca, 2001; Moncel et Lhomme, 2007). The Abri Moula, with its numerous human remains in level XV dated to MIS5, shows also points in common with Payre (Defleur et al., 1999, Defleur et al., 2001). All these sites suggest various kinds of Neanderthal occupations along the Rhône corridor. The sites become more numerous from MIS5-4, as is the case elsewhere in Europe, when Neanderthal features stabilized.

6. Conclusion

The application of the TIMS uranium-series (U-series) dating to the geological remains of Payre and the stratigraphic data shed light on the site formation process and allows do describe the evolution of the site, from a cave to an open-air site; the comparison of the dates obtained by TL on flints and by combined ESR/U-series on bones and teeth for the same layer allows to check the internal coherence of the different dates and to propose a coherent framework for Payre’s human occupations. This multidisciplinary approach, which associates environmental investigations and radiometric analysis, gives new information on the human occupation of the Rhône valley during the Pleistocene.
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