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LAGOS AND THE INVENTION OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY IN NIGERIA, 1920–60* 

BY LAURENT FOURCHARD 
Fondation Nationale des Sciences Politiques, Centre d’Étude d’Afrique Noire, Bordeaux 

ABSTRACT: This paper seeks to trace the origins of offences by youths as a distinct social concern in Lagos and examines the categorization of a group, the ‘juvenile delinquent’, by colonial administrators and welfare officers. While organized pickpocketing and prostitution by young people emerged as an issue in Nigerian newspapers in the 1920s, it was largely ignored by local administrators until the appointment, in 1941, of the first Social Welfare Officer. This led to the implementation of new administrative and judiciary machinery which combined two processes: it legislated ‘juvenile delinquency’ into existence as a clearly identifiable social problem; and criminalized a large portion of urban youth, especially female hawkers. The combination of these processes constitutes what can be called the invention of juvenile delinquency in Nigeria. 
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It was the Second World War that made juvenile delinquency a ‘problem’ in Africa.¹ John Iliffe does not consider ‘juvenile delinquency’ as new in the 1940s, but suggests that it was becoming a central issue, an obsession of the ‘compassionate period’, after 1945. His argument establishes an important difference between the historical presence of young offenders in Africa and its late discovery by colonial officers. Our knowledge of urban youth crime dates back to the Second World War when social welfare services were set up in the British and French colonies and international institutions started to consider juvenile delinquency as one of the major issues of urbanization in Africa.² Since the 1950s child destitution and the phenomenon of so-called ‘street children’ have received continuing but unequal attention.³ The literature, mainly devoted to contemporary analysis, has been complemented for the past fifteen years by historical research.⁴

* I want to thank Richard Waller, Ayodeji Olukoju and the two anonymous readers of Journal of African History for their comments on an early version of this paper.

This article is based on Nigerian newspapers and administrative reports. Both sources represent the perspective of older people: they do not express the voices of the young, for these require an investigation of other sources. In focusing on the Lagos case, I would like to trace the origins of offences by youths as a distinct social concern and to demonstrate how some children and youths came to be considered as criminal from the 1940s onward. The late discovery of juvenile offenders and the criminalization of some African youth practices constitute what I call the invention of juvenile delinquency. This process is quite similar to what occurred in Britain at the beginning of the nineteenth century when British reformers invented the notion of the ‘Delinquent Child’. The development of an administrative and judiciary machinery legislated ‘juvenile delinquency’ into existence: henceforth clearly identifiable as a social problem. Such a process was engineered in Lagos by the new Welfare Service set up during the Second World War. Lagos was not, however, unique, and specific judicial machinery for the ‘treatment of juvenile offenders’ was also established in the French, Belgian, British and Portuguese empires in the 1940s and 1950s.

Urbanization and Poverty in Colonial Lagos

The original site of Lagos is an island located between the sea and the lagoon that gave Lagos its name in Portuguese. At the beginning of the nineteenth century, Lagos became the main slave port along the Slave Coast but it was still a small town when the British annexed it in 1861. During the second half of the nineteenth century, the population increased slowly – from 25,000 in 1866 to 38,387 in 1901 (see Table 1). The progressive export substitution of palm oil for slaves and the consolidation of the position of Lagos on the
West African coast attracted European merchants, ex-slaves from Brazil and Cuba, slaves freed by the British on the coast and refugees from the interior. However, the high death rate at the close of the nineteenth century (40 per 1,000) limited the rapid expansion of the city. All the inhabitants, including Europeans, were living on the same place in Lagos Island.

From the 1900s onward, the progressive concentration of administrative, commercial and industrial activities in Lagos, combined with a permanent influx of migrants and improved health conditions, increased the population dramatically. At Independence in 1960, Lagos had become the largest city in Nigeria and West Africa (665,000 inhabitants in 1963). Some scholars have suggested that the rate of immigration decreased between the wars as the pace of economic development slowed, and more thorough research on the matter has demonstrated that without migration the population of Lagos would have decreased after the First World War due to the influenza epidemic of 1918 and the bubonic plague outbreaks between 1924 and 1928.

The very high percentage of the population born outside Lagos (59 per cent in 1931, 63 per cent in 1950) indicates that, almost since the 1920s, migrants have contributed significantly to the growth of the city (see Fig. 1).

The major regional sources of immigrants were Abeokuta, Ijebu and Oyo Provinces. The most important factor stimulating immigration to Lagos was the widening gap in employment opportunities between Lagos and the rest of the country. Largely due to the inflow of migrants, Lagos remained a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1866</th>
<th>1891</th>
<th>1901</th>
<th>1911</th>
<th>1921</th>
<th>1931</th>
<th>1951–3</th>
<th>1960–3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lagos</td>
<td>25,083</td>
<td>32,508</td>
<td>38,387</td>
<td>73,766</td>
<td>99,700</td>
<td>126,000</td>
<td>230,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibadan</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>175,000</td>
<td>238,000</td>
<td>387,000</td>
<td>459,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kano</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96,000</td>
<td>127,205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rough estimate for 1824 from D. Denham, H. Clapperton and N. Oudney, Narrative of Travels and Discoveries in Northern and Central Africa in the Years 1822, 1823 and 1824 (London, 1828).

Sources: Akin Mabogunje, Urbanisation in Nigeria (New York, 1968), 259.
In 1914 the Protectorate of Northern Nigeria was amalgamated with the Southern Protectorate to form Nigeria with Lagos as capital.


Mabogunje, Urbanisation, 261.
predominantly male and very youthful city throughout the period.\textsuperscript{16} The age composition shows an increasing proportion in the under-thirty age group, climbing from 62 per cent in 1921 to 78 per cent in 1972. Within this population the proportion of children under 15 years of age rose from 27 per cent in 1931 to 43 per cent in 1963 (Fig. 1).\textsuperscript{17}

A number of factors have been advanced to explain the development of delinquent behaviour among the youth. The most common explanation advanced by the colonial administration centred on the breakdown of tribal life and family ties. A comprehensive report compiled in 1948 stressed that a ‘high incidence and constant increase of juvenile delinquency is reported from areas where the decline of the tribal system is fairly advanced and where this decline has its counterpart in the simultaneous growth of urban and industrial centres’.\textsuperscript{18} This, it was agreed, was the case in Nigeria, Kenya and Northern Rhodesia, where juvenile delinquency appeared to be a specifically urban phenomenon.

I have indicated elsewhere that the lack of native authority institutions, of any form of social organization and of any indigenous or colonial police force were important reasons why criminality was developing in two migrant settlements on the outskirts of Ibadan and Lagos respectively before the Second World War.\textsuperscript{19} Moreover as the value of urban land increased, pre-colonial patterns of land tenure, generally based on lineage and family, changed progressively to a new colonial pattern based on rent.\textsuperscript{20} In Lagos and other Nigerian cities, the Yoruba family compound broke down into smaller residential units rented out to migrants.\textsuperscript{21} With renting, control over tenants and social control over the ward tended to diminish. To keep control over their communities both indigenous dwellers and migrant settlers created

\textsuperscript{16} Ibid. 265. \textsuperscript{17} Baker, \textit{Urbanization}, 40; Peil, Lagos, 22.
\textsuperscript{18} NAI, Oyo Prof, 1352, Juvenile Delinquency and its treatment, 1948.
political, religious, ethnic or neighbourhood associations.\textsuperscript{22} It is obvious however that most local unions were too ‘loosely organised and short of funds to aid more than a small minority of the poor’.\textsuperscript{23} Social workers also pointed lack of parental control, family disintegration and the harsh discipline in local schools as factors pushing so many children on to the street (see below). According to Peter Marris ‘much of the delinquency of children in Lagos is a reaction to the severity and lack of understanding of their guardians’.\textsuperscript{24}

Poverty was, however, the root cause of delinquency. Employment was the main attraction for youth migrants from the country and, if trade or administrative and industrial opportunities gave rise to a rich African elite, poverty had also been a permanent part of the port city’s history. According to Iliffe, towns pioneered the transformation of the nature of poverty in Africa when, at the end of the nineteenth century, poverty took new forms dominated by unemployment, proletarianization, prostitution and delinquency that gradually supplemented older forms of incapacitation, servitude and hunger.\textsuperscript{25} The poor in Lagos were at that time mainly escaped slaves from the hinterland, girls sent to Lagos for cheap child labour, elderly beggars, the handicapped and friendless women.\textsuperscript{26} This poverty of age, sickness and slavery was still the chief form of poverty in late Victorian Lagos, but new forms of it were also appearing such as unemployment, which became a recurrent issue in the twentieth century. With closer integration into the colonial economy, Lagos became more vulnerable to international crisis. According to Susan Martin, Nigeria was hit by a long depression between 1914 and 1945.\textsuperscript{27} During this time, however, the expansion in the export volume of cocoa in the 1920s ensured an unprecedented burst of prosperity for western Nigerian farmers.\textsuperscript{28}

While there are no comprehensive statistics, fragmentary evidence suggests that unemployment was low during the 1920s and high during the world wars and the 1930s Great Depression. In 1927, only 1,000 people were identified as unemployed by the Lagos Commissioner of Police.\textsuperscript{29} Effectively, there was a relative scarcity of labour in 1920s Lagos.\textsuperscript{30} But, by the late 1920s this situation had dramatically changed. The cocoa price fall in 1928 combined with the growing concentration of ownership among European and Levantine firms had serious consequences for many African traders in the city. The Nigerian National Democratic Party (NNDP) asserted that 27,000 African traders had lost their jobs in Lagos by 1929.\textsuperscript{31}


\textsuperscript{24} Marris, \textit{Family}, 62. It should be noted that the author was writing shortly after Independence. \textsuperscript{25} Iliffe, \textit{The African Poor}, 164. \textsuperscript{26} Ibid. 164–7.


\textsuperscript{29} NAI, Comcol 1, 69, Report by the Commissioner of Police of Lagos, 2 Sept. 1927; NAI, Comcol 1, 69, Letter of the Resident of the Colony to the Secretary of Southern Provinces, Lagos, 5 Sept. 1927.


\textsuperscript{31} NAI, Comcol 1, 804, Memorandum on trade depression, unemployment and income tax collection prepared by the NNDP, n.d.
Simultaneously, the stoppage of public work caused great hardship for casual labourers. A total of 20,104 railway workers also lost their jobs. In April 1935, the government set up an Unemployment Inquiry Commission which was particularly concerned with the high number of clerical workers registered as unemployed and the failure of school leavers to find work. In 1938 the Governor was informed that:

In Lagos and most other major towns law and order conditions have rapidly deteriorated. Government interest will have to be directed at the growing number of unemployed workers, at the large numbers of vagrant children who throng the market places and at political agitators who prey on the ignorance and misery of the unemployed.

During the Second World War, owing to the shortage of supplies, many employers in the country had to dismiss most of their staff. To limit the influx of unemployed people into Lagos, a series of orders implemented in 1944 and 1945 closed worker registration to people from the provinces. Industrial and general economic growth in the 1950s did not succeed in eradicating poverty in the city because of the rapid immigration rate. By 1963, a United Nations team of experts considered that key problems in Lagos included the shortage of housing, the lack of housing finance, the large size of slum areas and the insanitary condition of most of the houses.

While persistent poverty can be observed from the nineteenth century, it should be noted that the social geography of the twentieth-century city tended to exacerbate differences between rich residential areas and poor overcrowded wards. After Lagos was made the capital, it was decided that the city should combine a residential area reserved to Europeans and a commercial area in which Europeans lived, worked, traded and interacted with Africans. The two main islands of Lagos gradually became distinct. In Ikoyi, a European Reservation Area was laid out in 1928 to accommodate exclusively the increasing number of Europeans (301 in 1901 to 4,000 in 1931). Restrictions on residence continued till 1947 based on race and thereafter based on ‘standard of living’. On Lagos Island, the commercial core of the city, anyone was allowed to live and work (see Map 1). Overcrowding and the lack of housing rapidly gave rise to a large slum. A few thousand inhabitants were resettled after the bubonic plague outbreaks in Yaba, a late 1920s development on the mainland. In 1951, the Central Lagos Slum Clearance Scheme scheduled the transfer of another 30,000 people to Suruélé, six kilometres from the centre. Despite these schemes, Lagos Island remained

34 Ibid. 36.
35 Department of Labour, Annual Report, 1945 and 1946 quoted in Mabogunje, Urbanisation, 261.
38 Ibid. 282.
overcrowded, and had a unique mix of an educated elite, wealthy traders and a large poor African population. It was also for decades the main youth crime spot in the city. Other African migrants settled on the mainland along the railway at stations which gradually became small towns (Yaba, Surulere, Oshodi, Ikeja, Agege). Except for a few wards (Ebutte Metta, Yaba and Surulere) most of the mainland was largely unplanned. It was in the framework of rapid urban expansion and persistent poverty, henceforth dominated by unemployment and lack of housing, that youth crime took place.

YOUTH AND CRIME IN COLONIAL LAGOS

From a legal point of view, the young as a group were not defined until the 1940s. In the various successive ordinances, there was, rather, a generic category called ‘children’ with fluctuating age limits: 17 in the Alien Children Ordinance of 1878, 15 in the Native Children ( Custody and Reformation) Ordinance of 1928, 16 in the same 1928 Ordinance amended in 1932. The term ‘young person’ apparently first appeared with the Children and Young Person’s Ordinance (CYPO), promulgated in Nigeria in 1943, which clearly defined two categories: a child is under 14 and a young person is over 14 and under 17. Later, the expression ‘juvenile delinquency’ included both children and young people. Thus, after 1945, juvenile delinquency statistics appearing in the Nigerian Police Annual Reports were divided into two groups: junior (under 14 years of age) and senior (under 17 years of age). Because this paper deals with ‘juvenile delinquency’ it consequently refers to all the persons up to the age of seventeen.

Youth offenders were becoming more organized and more visible in Lagos from the mid-1920s. Before then there is no mention either in African newspapers like the Lagos Daily Times and the Nigerian Pioneer or in police reports of specific offences involving youths. The first indications appeared in official correspondence, police reports and Nigerian newspapers in the later 1920s. From the 1920s to the 1960s, three important features shaped the youth crime milieu: the increase in the number of young offenders, the affirmation of the existence of male offender youth groups and the emergence of an organized network of juvenile prostitution. These three points will be examined in turn.

A superficial reading of the scarce crime statistics would suggest an extraordinary increase in the number of youth offenders in Nigeria from the 1920s to the 1960s, even given the growth of both the urban and the total population of the country (see Table 2). But statistics of juvenile offences are

40 25,000 peoples per square mile in 1901, 125,000 people per square mile in 1963. Baker, Urbanization, 35.
41 CO 859/73/11, Treatment of juvenile offenders in the colonies, around 1930; NAI, Comcol 1, 2844, Faulkner, hawking by children in Lagos, Sept. 1942.
42 NAI, Comcol 2600, Patterson, Crime and its treatment in the Colony and Protectorate, Mar. 1944; CO 859/1352, Advisory committee on the treatment of offenders in the colonies, draft memorandum on the care and treatment of children and young persons, 1961.
43 Annual Reports, the Nigeria Police Force, 1945–7.
44 However, while there is little evidence of juvenile offences in the early colonial period, further work still needs to be done.
like other criminal statistics: they represent both the activities of the judicial system and the activities of the offenders.

The difference in the level of convictions between the late 1920s and 1945–7 is to be explained both by the increase in the number of offenders and by the implementation of a new judicial procedure to deal with youth offenders in 1945 (see below). The Senior Resident in Lagos considered that the average number of cases involving juveniles convicted in Nigeria was only 30 a year between 1927 and 1930, but rose to 112 cases in 1934 and 158 cases in 1935. The very high proportion of petty offences against property was a structural feature of youth offences during the colonial period as a whole: thefts of less than five pounds comprised half of the cases brought before the Juvenile Court of Lagos in 1945–7 and in the 1960s.

The second feature of the period is the emergence of youth criminal groups. There is only limited evidence of offences committed by youth gangs in early colonial Lagos, but this period needs further research. Cases reported by the Nigerian Pioneer in 1923 and 1924 involve single young pickpockets operating in crowded places (the post office, railway stations and Tinubu square). Later in the 1920s, criminal activities reported both in Lagos and Ibadan are more often by youth groups known as Jaguda Boys. The term Jaguda means pickpocket in Yoruba. The first recorded instance concerns a well-known Lagos pickpocket called Salami Jaguda who was sentenced to nine months’ hard labour for stealing a huge sum of money from a rich merchant in 1924. The term, however, does not designate an organized group of youth offenders before the end of the 1920s in Lagos and the beginning of the 1930s in Ibadan. The way that Lagos newspapers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>1927–30</th>
<th>1945–7</th>
<th>1963–5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True cases</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>3,049</td>
<td>6,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convicted</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2,537</td>
<td>3,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases awaiting trials</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>1,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convicted per year</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>1,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offences relative to Nigerian pop. per 100,000</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offences relative to urban pop. per 100,000</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


reported these cases actually shows the discovery of an apparently new phenomenon. In 1926, the attention of the *Nigerian Pioneer* ‘has been called to the frequency of assaults on girls by young men and boys ... the molestations which follow usually elicit retorts and a squabble follows [sic]’.$^{50}$ The *Lagos Daily Times* mentioned ‘certain bands of young men who parade certain portions of the town armed with sticks or whips. This particular type of hooliganism shows no sign of decreasing and is particularly prevalent during Christmas week’.\(^5\) In 1929, several reports reached the *Lagos Daily Times* about repeated attacks on Carter Bridge between Iddo Station (the terminal station of the Kano–Lagos railway) and Lagos Island:

These pickpockets ... would follow (the strangers) to the middle of the bridge, and pretend to be fighting one with the other and eventually knock or push themselves against the strangers who would be confused, and in such confusion they rob the poor fellows of whatever cash they may be having on them.$^{52}$

*Jaguda* Boys were originally practical associations of destitute street boys who operated in small groups in order to frighten their victims.$^{53}$ They are the historical parents of the present Area Boys with whom they share some common practices.$^{54}$ They provoked quarrels in the streets to relieve the victims of their money during the confusion before escaping. They developed collective strategies with shared responsibilities to minimize the risk of being arrested by the police. They generally extorted money from easy targets (strangers, farmers, women) and their main places of activities were streets, markets and crowded public places such as motor parks, train and bus stations.

During the Second World War, the expression *Boma* Boys appeared in the Lagos press. The term was probably brought to the West Coast of Africa from America where a ‘bum’ was a vagrant, a good-for-nothing.$^{55}$ The term referred to young boys who acted as unlicensed guides for the thousands of African and European soldiers who stopped in Lagos during the war. Originally, *Boma* Boys guided them from the port to various places in Lagos such as canteens, bars, night clubs and brothels.$^{56}$ Because *Boma* Boys shared the same public space and often the same practices as the *Jaguda* Boys they were often considered as an identical menace during that period. A more comprehensive enquiry into these groups was conducted by Donald Faulkner, the first Welfare Officer of the colony, in 1941. According to him, there were two groups of boys: ‘The under twelve group was composed of children left stranded in Lagos, orphans, runaways from home and boys brought to Lagos by older people. They live by begging, petty theft and are in poor physical conditions’.\(^5\) The over-12 group fell into three

\[\text{\textsuperscript{50}}\] ‘Assault on a girl’, *Nigerian Pioneer*, 29 Jan. 1926.
\[\text{\textsuperscript{53}}\] Fourchard, ‘Urban poverty’, 298.
\[\text{\textsuperscript{55}}\] ‘Boma Boys’, *West African Pilot*, 16 Nov. 1940.
\[\text{\textsuperscript{56}}\] NAI, Comcol 1, 2600, A Report on social welfare on the Colony and Protectorate by Alexander Patterson, Mar. 1944.
\[\text{\textsuperscript{57}}\] NAI, Comcol 1, 2471, Juvenile delinquency in Lagos by Donald Faulkner, 1941.
sub-groups: inexperienced and destitute boys, delinquent adolescents and *Boma* Boys. The difference among the three groups is probably the level or organization. *Boma* Boys are considered here to act as a collective group which could be involved in serious crime:

At the first stage, he is a simple unsophisticated out-of-work, introduced to the trade by a friend, a casual guide without an arrangement with a particular house. He has not the experience to make the work very remunerative, so he still sleeps outside and leads rather a meagre existence. When he becomes more experienced and by his glib tongue and polite manner, can get more customers, he lives in a house, dresses well and feeds well. He may earn upwards of £2 per month. He probably has a definite arrangement with special harlots or a particular house. He is gradually deteriorating morally and eventually becomes a sophisticated cynical youth, up to all the tricks of the trade, lazy and immoral, perhaps acting as a master to a group of younger *Boma* boys. He may now be working on a percentage basis as an important partner of an organised trade.\(^{58}\)

In the 1950s, the terms ‘thugs’ and ‘touts’ seem to have partly replaced the former *Jaguda* and *Boma* Boys in the press.\(^{59}\) Unemployed youth, motor park touts and youth criminals were also further used as bodyguards and bullies by political parties to intimidate opponents in the 1950s and the 1960s, especially in south-western Nigerian cities.\(^{60}\)

The third and last feature of the period is the development of a network of juvenile prostitution that became a subject of concern when it was discovered by Faulkner during the war. He found that young prostitutes around the age of 12 were coming mainly from Owerri and Calabar Provinces (Ibos, Efiks, Sobos, Urhobos).\(^{61}\) We know little about the first province except that some girls had been kidnapped, pawned or sold to patrons under the guise of marriage, or disposed of at Oguta Abaziem in Owerri in the 1920s.\(^{62}\)

It is highly probable that such practices continued in the 1930s and the 1940s. According to social workers, a dowry was paid to the parents of young girls who were sent to Lagos, for permission not to marry them but to introduce them to the life of prostitution.\(^{63}\) Prostitution networks from Calabar Province were developing from the 1920s with the migration of women from the Upper Cross River Basin.\(^{64}\) By the 1920s, prostitution had become a substantially developed trade in the region and most of the prostitutes migrated to other parts of Nigeria and to West African ports, mainly Calabar, Lagos, Port Harcourt, Accra and Sekondi-Takoradi in the Gold Coast.\(^{65}\) Juvenile prostitutes coming from the same areas were soon

---

\(^{58}\) *Ibid.*

\(^{59}\) The term *Jaguda* and *Boma* Boys disappeared from newspapers both in Ibadan and Lagos.


\(^{63}\) NAI, Comcol 1, 2844, Child Welfare.


\(^{65}\) *Ibid.*, 60.
involved in that trade and by 1940 the juvenile prostitution of Efik girls was considered to be as much of a nuisance in Lagos as it was in Calabar.\textsuperscript{66} The number of soldiers stationed in Lagos during the war increased and diversified forms of prostitution as it did in many other African cities like Addis Ababa and Nairobi where troops were stationed.\textsuperscript{67} In 1946, the Seven Seas Hotel, the Traveller’s Inn and the Crystal Garden Club were known in Lagos Island as brothels patronized by Europeans and managed by elderly women in charge of several young girls between 12 and 15 years old.\textsuperscript{68} Boma Boys looked out for drunken seamen whom they conveyed to these places. Taxis opposite the Tinubu Police Station offered to take Europeans to girls, either in brothels or in private rooms, and, if the client especially asked for young girls, the prostitute provided a young relative and kept the money for herself.\textsuperscript{69}  

THE EMERGENCE OF THE ‘JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PROBLEM’

The colonial state had begun to take care of children and youth offenders before the Second World War. Colonial governments opened reformatories for children in the 1880s in South Africa, in 1888 in Senegal, in 1904 in Guinea, in 1909 in Kenya.\textsuperscript{70} However, the low numbers involved showed how few juvenile offenders appeared before the courts and reflected how little concern for such questions was expressed by colonial administrations.\textsuperscript{71} As Florence Bernault notes, ‘political and penal inertia, plus the deficiency of material and intellectual resources among colonizers, prevented colonial regimes from implementing any project of grand renfermement in Africa, with arguably, the exception of South Africa’.\textsuperscript{72} South Africa soon raised the issue of juvenile offenders. From the 1930s onwards, the African elite, welfarists, the Johannesburg Non-European Affairs Department and the central government all saw ‘juvenile delinquency’ as a social and moral crisis that had to be headed off.\textsuperscript{73} But public debate on this issue was probably more the exception than the rule in African colonies.\textsuperscript{74} Nigeria was typical: there were no government social welfare organizations and social problems were either ignored or, to a very limited extent, dealt with by the Christian missions and

\textsuperscript{66} NAI, Comcol 1, 2844, Calabar Council Office, Child prostitution in Lagos, 17 Jan. 1943.  
\textsuperscript{68} NAI, Comcol 1, 2844, A. Izzett, Child Prostitution in Lagos, 15 May 1946.  
\textsuperscript{69} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{71} Between 15 and 20 inmates in the pénitencer agricole of Bombey (Senegal) or Kindia (Guinea) in the 1920s. Thioub, ‘Marginalité’, 216–17.  
\textsuperscript{73} Glaser, Bo-Tsotsi, 21.  
\textsuperscript{74} In Dar es Salaam, the ‘problem of urban youth’ became a serious concern by the late 1930s. Burton, ‘Urchins’, 200. In French West Africa, it was not considered an important issue before the 1950s.
a very few voluntary organizations. Major problems of destitution were dealt with by the people themselves through ‘family and tribal customs’.

At the beginning of the 1930s, the Colonial Office set up a committee to consider what special arrangements were in force in the Empire for the trial and punishment of young offenders. A draft bill proposed by an advisory committee recommended the introduction in the various colonies of provisions, such as special detention facilities, probation officers and juvenile courts, to separate juveniles from adults and prevent them from becoming hardened criminals. Since the 1850s, British courts had been allowed to sentence any child convicted of an offence to a reformatory for between two and five years and to send children found begging or ‘without means of subsistence’ to an industrial school for an indefinite period. Probation officers and juveniles courts were introduced later through the Probation of Young Offenders Act of 1907 and the Children’s Act of 1908 because reformers considered that juveniles were less responsible than adults for their actions and should not be subjected to the full weight of the law. A specially selected panel of magistrates to hear juvenile cases was appointed and magistrates were asked to take primary account of the ‘welfare of the child’.

Top officials in the administrative system in Nigeria reacted vigorously against the introduction of such measures in the colony. Three main arguments were advanced. First, the measures would be useless because of the very limited number of young offenders in the colony. Second, some guarantees were already given to young offenders through existing legislation that had established specific institutions for children (Native Children Ordinance of 1928) and segregation in prisons for juveniles under 14 years (Prison Ordinance of 1917). Third, the Governor-General of Nigeria, Sir Donald Cameron (1931–5), considered that the provision of reformatories and the appointment of probation officers would require considerable expenditure.

In fact, legislation against young offenders in Nigeria was inadequate in the 1930s. The Native Children Ordinance applied mainly to children who were orphans, deserted by their relatives or who had been sold as slaves, and not to children or young persons who had committed offences. There was only one alternative for juvenile offenders: either they were sent to prison without being segregated from adults because there was no other provision, or they were given corporal punishment. As a comprehensive

---

75 NAK, Ministry of Social Welfare and Community (MSWC), 1226, Report by Mr Chinn, social welfare adviser to the Secretary of State, Feb. 1950.
76 CO 859/73/13, Juvenile delinquency sub-committee, Draft report, n.d. (around 1942).
77 CPO, 1681, 1, Governor-General to the Secretary of State, 26 Feb. 1931.
79 Ibid. 66.
80 CPO, 1681, 1, Senior Resident to the Secretary Southern Provinces, 15 Apr. 1931.
81 CPO, 1681, 1, Secretary Southern Provinces to Chief Secretary to the government, 19 Jan. 1932.
82 CPO, 1681, 1, Governor-General to the Secretary of State, 3 July 1931.
83 CPO, 1681, 1 Director of Prisons Southern Provinces to Secretary Southern Provinces, 9 Jan. 1931.
report on Nigerian prisons in 1944 stated, ‘a certain number (of youth) are admonished and sent away, a very large number are beaten and returned to the very environment that caused their offence, a very few are indeed sent to a training school’.  

By 1930, the only institution dealing with young offenders in Southern Nigeria was run by the Salvation Army, a private body that was making a considerable effort to enter tropical Africa between the wars. The Industrial Army Home in Yaba (a few miles from Lagos Island) was a reformatory built in 1926 to accommodate forty boys convicted in the colony of Lagos. The school was considered inefficient in a 1944 report, however. ‘Yaba school has no clear purpose or method, its staff is inadequate and unsuitable, its expense inexplicable’.

Moved by the need to take into consideration some of the recommendations of the Colonial Office, the government consented to establish a new ‘approved school’, in Enugu, headquarters of the Eastern Provinces, in 1933. Administered and financed by the Prisons Department, the establishment was not very different from what was then in existence in some other colonial territories: industrial training (carpentry, blacksmithing, tailoring, shoemaking and gardening) was provided by five teachers while more formal schooling tried to give the pupils an elementary level of English. Donald Faulkner, a Home Prison Service official specializing in social welfare in the United Kingdom was appointed as the first professional director of the school (1937–41). By 1940, the Lagos and Enugu schools were only housing around 100 boys (40 in Lagos, 64 in Enugu) from the colony and the Southern region. Nobody within the colonial administration in Nigeria considered juvenile offending as a problem in the 1930s. Indeed, it was largely ignored and the existing ordinances were considered to be comprehensive enough to deal with the few cases.

If the issue of youth offenders was largely ignored by the local administration it was covered by African newspapers in Lagos which soon protested against criminal activities as well as youth offenders. The Nigerian Pioneer (founded in 1914) and the Nigerian Daily Times (1926) were undoubtedly more conservative and less critical of the administration than the Lagos Daily News inaugurated in 1925 by Herbert Macaulay and the West African Pilot funded in 1937 by Nnamdi Azikiwe. However on the issue of youth criminal activities, all these newspapers represented the voice of an educated elite of journalists, lawyers and civil servants against a group invariably described as ‘ruffians’, ‘young scoundrels’, ‘undesirable pests’, ‘hooligans’ and more generally considered as a ‘menace’ to the
Yet the issue did not catch the attention of the major nationalist leaders in Lagos who were involved in increasing political competition from the 1920s onwards. They did not write any of the articles concerned, which did not generally appear on the front page. However, many victims did report their experiences to Lagos newspapers which then affirmed them as representing the voice of ‘peaceful tax payers and law abiding citizens’. Most of the newspapers – even the more conservative ones – were critical of government handling of crime. They recommended increasing the number of policemen in specific hot spots and denounced the lack of police presence on the mainland to protect an emerging African working class against armed robbers. However, welfare policies implemented during and after the Second World War provoked more adverse reactions from different political parties, especially when it concerned juvenile prostitution and hawking by young girls (see below).

During the 1930s, repeated complaints against Jaguda apparently did not modify the administration’s perception of youth. Its position probably changed when the Governor-General declared, in November 1940, that the ‘activities of the Boma Boys were becoming something very much worse than a mere nuisance’. This was followed in December by the promulgation of the Unlicensed Guides (Prohibition) Ordinance that punished severely the harassment of European and African soldiers by Boma Boys. This local ordinance should be seen as a reaction against a group that was considered unpatriotic in wartime. Within two months, seven articles on Boma Boys had appeared in the Nigerian Daily Times and the West African Pilot congratulating the government’s initiative. If the ordinance had little effect, it did show, for the first time, that the colonial administration and the educated elite shared a common determination to fight young offenders.

This interest was not totally new in the Colonial Office. A colonial Penal Administration Committee was appointed in 1937 to advise the Secretary of State on penal matters in general and on ‘various problems affecting juvenile delinquents’ in particular. By the end of the 1930s, riots in the West Indies, where destitution was widespread, had given rise to a new strategy of development and welfare for the whole colonial empire. It was, however, the local situation in Lagos that forced the Colonial Office to readdress the issue of juvenile delinquency. Just after the promulgation of the Unlicensed Guide
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Ordinance, the Governor of Nigeria asked Donald Faulkner, then Director of the Approved School at Enugu, to investigate vagrant boys in Lagos. After submitting Faulkner’s report, the Governor-General asked the Colonial Office to appoint an officer responsible for juvenile welfare in Lagos.100 This request, combined with the willingness of the Governor of Sierra Leone to build a reformatory school in Freetown, led the Colonial Penal Administration Committee to set up a Juvenile Delinquency sub-committee with the task of considering ‘the question of juvenile delinquency in the Colonies and the Dependencies with a view to its prevention and proper treatment’.101 Alexander Patterson, Commissioner of Prisons for England and Wales and chairman of the committee, decided to appoint Donald Faulkner as the first Social Welfare Officer in the British Empire.102 The Juvenile Delinquency sub-committee, renamed the Child and Youth Welfare sub-committee after the War, was composed of top colonial advisers and welfare officers and had the task of advising the Colonial Office on the best way to deal with youth and children in the colonies. It was largely influenced by the way British children and youth had been dealt with by reformers.

From 1941 to 1943, Faulkner produced various reports based on his Lagos fieldwork.103 He initially considered poverty, the breakdown of the ‘traditional African family’ and urbanization as the main causes of the rise of juvenile destitution and youth offences, but the sociological data tended to show that lack of parental control was central. Among the 229 boys who passed through the Green Triangle Hostel between February 1942 and August 1943, only 78 had parents living in Lagos. Faulkner came to the conclusion that ‘the lack of a permanent and stable home is the main factor’.

Most of the activities identified as dangerous for children and youth took place in the street: working, hawking, trading, prostitution. Consequently, most of Faulkner’s recommendations were oriented towards one main objective: to remove children and youth from the criminal influence of the street. Prevention and repression constituted the two sides of his recommendation. In order to keep boys and girls off the street, Faulkner advocated the development of youth clubs and playing facilities (playing fields for football, swimming pools and so on). At the same time, hostels could be used to keep children from delinquency as paupers, street traders, beggars and school boy drop-outs, to assist those in need of ‘care and protection’ (handicapped, orphans, juvenile prostitutes) and to provide a safe haven separated from adults for children awaiting trial or repatriation.105 He also proposed to ban female child hawking because girls ‘were criminally assaulted and seduced at a very tender age’, i.e. ‘at 13 years of age or under’.106

100 CO, 859/73/13, Colonial Penal Administration Committee, minutes of the 19th meeting of 12 Feb. 1942. 101 CO 859/73/13, Juvenile delinquency sub-committee.
102 NAI, Comcol 2600, Patterson, Crime, 1944.
103 NAI, Comcol 1, 2471, Juvenile delinquency, 1941; NAI, Comcol 1, 2844, Hawking by children in Lagos by Donald Faulkner, Sept. 1942; NAI, Comcol 1, 2600, Donald Faulkner, Report on the scheme for dealing with juvenile delinquency in Lagos from Feb. 1942 to Aug. 1943, 23 Aug. 1943, Donald Faulkner, Report on Juvenile Welfare in the Colonies, 15 July 1943.
104 NAI, Comcol 1, 2600, Faulkner, Report on the scheme, 1943. 105 Ibid.
106 NAI, Comcol 1, 2844, Faulkner to the President of Lagos Town Council, Hawking by children in Lagos, Sept. 1942.
Most of these responses could be seen as a transfer of British reform philosophy and practices to the colonies. Since the nineteenth century, city streets had been perceived as potentially criminal spaces and criminal youth behaviour had become firmly associated with working-class family life. The proper place for women was the home rather than the street. Boys and girls’ clubs had a similar objective of providing discipline, regulation, guidance and improvement.\(^\text{107}\) The colonial state wanted to intervene in African family life just as the British state had done in working-class family life in order to ensure that children were ‘properly’ educated, disciplined and given moral guidance. Yet, if the philosophy was quite similar, policy was different, and lack of finance denied the colonial state the power to control African urban life.

THE 'TREATMENT OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY' \(^\text{131}\)

The first problem was the law. The Childrens’ Ordinance and market regulations made no provision for the prosecution of youths between 14 and 18 or for controlling juvenile activities. In 1942, a resolution banning street trading for girls under 14 was passed in the City Council of Lagos,\(^\text{108}\) but, without social service staff, it was not enforced. In 1943, Faulkner convinced the government to promulgate the CYPO, a colonial adaptation of the 1933 Children and Young Persons Act in Britain. Again, without a welfare service, it was impossible to implement. Consequently, the creation of a specific welfare department was strongly advocated by Patterson, who visited Nigerian prisons in 1944: ‘Social welfare in Lagos will take the field against poverty, overcrowding and cruelty. It will help to operate the new Ordinance dealing with Juvenile and Young persons. It will plead for the abolition of child hawkers’.\(^\text{109}\) He concluded that the new social welfare service in Lagos was the appropriate means of preventing juvenile delinquency in Nigeria. Most of the Faulkner and Patterson recommendations were implemented just after the war.

Welfare services set up during or after the Second World War in British colonies strongly focused on juvenile delinquency probably because of the remit originally given to the Colonial Office Child and Youth Welfare sub-committee. This initial focus gradually changed in the 1950s when welfare services implemented community development policies in many colonies.\(^\text{110}\) In Nigeria, however, juvenile delinquency remained the main task of the welfare service till the end of the colonial period. Here a whole set of social workers, probation and police officers had clearly identified a recurrent ‘youth crime problem’ and implemented new policies that dramatically changed the perception of youth. By 1945, a Juvenile Court was set up, soon to be followed by a Juvenile Court Police Force consisting of one Inspector and twelve other ranks in charge of escorting, enquiries, court work and repatriation to the country.\(^\text{111}\)

\(^{107}\) Muncie, *Youth*, 60, 74.


\(^{109}\) NAI, Comcol 1, 2600, A report on social welfare on the Colony and Protectorate by Alexander Patterson, Mar. 1944.


\(^{111}\) NAI, Comcol 1, 2796, Commissioner of the Colony to the Superintendent of Police, 3 July 1946.
sub-committee within the Colonial Office, considered this juvenile police force as unique in the British Empire.\textsuperscript{112} The welfare service mainly concentrated on Lagos: in 1950, 8 European officers and 33 African assistants worked in the capital whereas only 3 European officers operated in the three other regions together.\textsuperscript{113} Lagos’s welfare service now had the means to implement the CYPO.

One of the central issues for an effective implementation of the ordinance was the protection of young girls. The appointment of the first female Welfare Officer, Alison Izzett, in 1946, was another key step in the implementation of the law. After a three-month observation of girls passing through the girls’ hostel, she offered new evidence of the criminal effects of street trading. Young prostitutes of 14 and 15 years old were working in brothels. Girls brought to Lagos with the promise of marriage were generally being used as prostitutes, and among the 35 girls between 7 and 16 years old admitted to the hostel, only 12 were still virgins.\textsuperscript{114} Her report convinced the Commissioner of the Colony to suppress child hawking.\textsuperscript{115} Section 25 of the CYPO became the central clause and reinforced the resolution proposed by Faulkner in 1942 for raising the limit age for female street trading to 16 years:

No boys or girls under the age of 14 (can) sell or hawk ... in the streets. This applies also to any girl between the ages of 14 and 16, unless she is employed by her father or her mother or by the guardian appointed by a court. These laws do not apply to any boy over the age 14 or to any girl over the age of 16. Even if employed by her parents no girl under 16 may hawk or sell after 6.30 pm at night or before 6 am.\textsuperscript{116}

The welfare service failed to appreciate the historical roots of juvenile street hawking in Lagos. The sexual division of labour was a long-established tradition in Yoruba cities: men were mainly farmers and craftsmen while women were engaged in food processing and trading. Many of these activities, especially hawking cooked food, took place outside the marketplace, and female children as young as six years old were sent around the neighbourhood for a small profit generally given to their parents.\textsuperscript{117} The law also forbade girls under 16 to come alone to Lagos unaccompanied in order to limit the employment of juvenile girls by ‘immoral’ guardians. However, being accommodated by a family member was often the only way for young girls from the country to attend secondary school in Lagos or to work and collect savings before returning home to look for a husband.\textsuperscript{118} While it is
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obvious that some children were sexually abused, the ordinance considered
the entire system of hosting girls by guardians as a key feature of child prosti-
tution. Rather than fighting prostitution networks, which was more the task
of the police than the welfare service, the ordinance gave the means to control
girls’ movements.

The welfare service found some support from women in the educated
elite, especially the Lagos Women’s League funded in 1923 by Charlotte
Olajumoke Obasa, who wanted to improve education and employment
for women in Lagos.119 Mrs. Obasa was regarded as a member of
the ‘collaborating elite’ who enjoyed social acceptance in the white
community.120 After a meeting with Faulkner in 1942, the League formed
a Women’s Welfare Council which lobbied the Lagos Town Council to
prohibit the employment of girls under 14 as hawkers.121 The service also
benefited from the support of tribal unions since prostitution was supposedly
spoiling the reputation of their cultural traditions in Lagos. As early as 1943,
‘local unions representing tribes involved in prostitution in Lagos’ pressed
the Welfare Officer to stop the business.122 The Urhobo Union agreed to
find accommodation for Urhobo girls recruited into prostitution,123 and the
Calabar Native Authority agreed with the welfare officer’s suggestion that
black lists of ‘procurists’ (sic) and prostitutes be compiled and published.124
Within this framework, these unions were ready to restrict their girls’
freedom.

The ordinance in force from June 1946 enabled the government to bring in
more girls suspected of being in ‘moral danger’ and to make the registration
of girls entering or leaving Lagos compulsory. The Criminal Investigation
Department was asked to assist the Welfare Office in arresting girls, and to
pursue the organizers of child hawkers and touts while tribal union members
kept trains arriving from the country under surveillance.125

Lagos market women, however, soon opposed the ordinance. A delegation
of the Women’s Party, an exclusively women’s organization funded in 1944
by Mrs Oyinkan Abayomi, officially protested against the detention of girls
by the welfare service and especially the medical examination of a girl’s
virginity.126 Throughout the 1940s, the NNDP, which had supported the
interests of market women since the 1920s, organized a number of meetings
to protest against the arrests of child hawkers.127 Most of the newspapers
invoked against abuse of freedom, indiscriminate arrests, violation of
private life, heavy penalties (offenders were fined £5) and pointed out that
innocent hawkers were obliged to stay in a hostel with real delinquents and

119 G. O. Olusanya, ‘Charlotte Olajumoke Obasa’, in B. Awe, Nigerian Women: A
Historical Perspective (Ibadan, 1992), 123–39.
120 Nina Emma Mba, Nigerian Women Mobilized: Women’s Political Activity in
Southern Nigeria, 1900–1965 (Berkeley, 1982), 214.
121 Ibid. 218.
122 NAI, Comcol 1, 2844, Faulkner, Child Prostitution, 1943.
123 NAI, Comcol 1, 2844, Memorandum from the Colony Welfare Officer, 7 Aug. 1943.
124 NAI, Comcol 1, 2844, Calabar Council Office to District Officer Calabar, 17 Jan.
1944.
125 NAI, Comcol 1, 2844, Acting Commissioner of the Colony to the Chief Secretary to
the government, Lagos, 21 May 1946.
126 NAI, Comcol 1, 2786, Letter from A. Izzett on the petition of the women’s party,
23 Oct. 1946.
127 Mba, Nigerian Women, 200.
Despite the protest, the Welfare Office and the Commissioner of the Colony kept the ordinance in force until the end of the colonial period. The immediate effect was a sharp increase in the number of female hawkers forced to pass through the girls' hostel (Table 3) and of female hawkers brought before the juvenile court (Table 4).

The criminalization of young girls was predictable. Both the 1933 British and the 1943 Nigerian ordinances encouraged greater intervention into the lives of young women and very young children on the grounds of 'moral danger'. The changing definition of the law had doubled the number of juvenile offenders in Nigeria in the 1940s. Alan Milner, referring to Lagos in the early 1960s, also considers that ‘there was for many years a preponderance of female offenders, as the largest single group of offences involved illegal street trading by young girls – virtually three-quarters of all juvenile crime dealt with’. As noticed by John Muncie, ‘welfarism is just as capable of drawing more young people into the net of juvenile justice as it is of affording them care and protection’. The ordinance also made

---

Table 3. *Number of children passed through the hostels*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Girls hostel</th>
<th>Boys hostel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1943</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1947</td>
<td>838 (369 child hawkers)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948</td>
<td>716 (363 child hawkers)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>340 (40 child hawkers)</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>743</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 4. *Cases brought before Juvenile Court of Lagos*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Convicted of hawking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1947</td>
<td>1,062</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948</td>
<td>1,352</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>1,436</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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considerable work for welfare officers. Moreover, the diversity of the functions of the girls’ hostel, particularly the examination and investigation of hundreds of street hawkers, weakened its main aim of rehabilitating ‘maladjusted adolescents’, as was noted in a latter report.\(^{131}\) ‘Protection’ lasted until the very end of the colonial period, and, by 1958, the Social Welfare Department was dealing with over a thousand children a year.\(^{132}\) Yet, in criminalizing their activities, the social welfare service was missing its main target: the fight against organized prostitution and juvenile gangs.

Reducing hawking did not affect delinquency, as far as Nigerian newspapers were concerned. Denunciation of Jaguda activities was still very strong after the war.\(^{133}\) Jaguda Boys escaped the effects of the Ordinance because they were mainly males over 14 years old. Moreover, market women, who witnessed the commission of thefts by Jaguda, did not dare inform the police for fear of retaliation.\(^{134}\) By 1946, the women even had to pay protection money to Jaguda Boys, a system of racketeering that is still organized today by Area Boys against traders in Lagos Island.\(^{135}\) In focusing on the wrong target (street traders rather than organized gangs), the welfare service had saddled itself with the impossible task of controlling an increasing number of young people.

Youths placed in hostels were dealt with by welfare officers. Convicted juvenile offenders were often either put on probation (33 per cent of cases in the second half of the 1940s) or committed to an institution, generally an Approved School (15 per cent).\(^{136}\) In 1956, the three Approved Schools (one for senior boys, one for junior boys and one for girls) accommodated 238 boys and 24 girls, all convicted by the Lagos Juvenile Court. All the children attended outside schools and were trained as artisans before their release.\(^{137}\)

But for all the others, the central solution was repatriation to the family and generally to the countryside. It represented the introduction in West African colonies of measures of control already in existence in eastern and southern Africa. Faulkner started issuing repatriation orders during the war, but the creation of the Welfare Service and the Juvenile Court Police Force represented a real change in the policy of repatriation.\(^{138}\) Although there are no statistical data, indirect sources suggest that hundreds of boys and girls were repatriated yearly from Lagos\(^{139}\) ‘with a ruthlessness which suggested
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the English outdated settlement laws'.

However, such a policy was probably not very effective in reducing the number of juvenile offenders for three reasons. First, a large number of boys returned to their home districts outside Lagos where there was no social welfare organization. Since little development had so far been undertaken in the neighbouring countryside, for young people there were few alternatives to migration to Lagos. Second, repatriation orders were not effective for hardened criminals who returned ‘in disguise by changing their names, to the place where they have been refused residence’. Third, the policy proposed in Lagos was not followed by the other provinces, as Chinn pointed out on his visit to Nigeria in 1949:

It is impossible to deal with the large number of young immigrants, who, from time to time, become destitute in Lagos and any attempt to do so raises the question of how far it is possible for a Colony Welfare Service to deal with the problems affecting other areas unless and until similar services are available in those areas.

CONCLUSION

Juvenile crime and widespread juvenile street trading existed before the creation of the Welfare Service but were ignored or neglected. Juvenile offenders were not even an issue for a judicial and penal system that provided almost nothing specific for youth. What was new in the 1940s was the idea that ‘juvenile delinquency’ had become an urgent problem to be dealt with and that the root causes of the problem could be eliminated in controlling the work and movements of young people. In proposing specific legislation, generally based on the British experience, social services and colonial officers intended to protect youth against the criminal influence of the street. However, by conflating real offences with an urban way of life (working and playing in the street), the Welfare Service included very different behaviours in the same ‘delinquent’ category. Thus, after having almost totally neglected the phenomenon in the 1930s, the colonial administration passed to an overestimation of juvenile delinquency in including what would later be called the ‘informal sector’.

The impact of such a policy towards youth should not be underestimated, for at least three reasons. First, it missed its main target by criminalizing poor children rather than dismantling juvenile gangs, even if Approved Schools prevented a minority of those dealt with by the Welfare Service from becoming hardened criminals. Second, colonial welfare policy influenced the
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first generation of African welfare officers, probation and police officers as well as the first generation of political leaders. Post-independence welfare services kept intact juvenile hawking regulations, the remand home system and repatriation orders, and new welfare services in Southern cities (Ibadan, Enugu, Aba, Onitsha) put similar institutions (juvenile courts, remand homes) and similar policies into place during the 1960s.\textsuperscript{145} Third, such policies have contributed to the vulgarization of the nebulous concept of ‘juvenile delinquency’ even though changes in practices and legislation make it difficult to produce a consistent definition of what has actually constituted delinquency in Africa. International conferences organized in the 1950s – largely based on knowledge compiled by colonial officers, social welfare workers and sociologists since the 1940s – popularized ‘juvenile delinquency’ as a specific problem for social policy in Africa. Notions of the ‘pre-delinquent child’\textsuperscript{146} and the maladjusted youth (\textit{jeunesse inadaptée}),\textsuperscript{147} which included any youth likely to become delinquent, i.e. street traders, touts, beggars or the handicapped, arrived simultaneously (from the 1950s to the 1980s) and constituted another important element in the colonial heritage of criminalizing youth. Juvenile delinquency remains one of the idiomatic expressions of the \textit{Bibliothèque coloniale} and, as far as the literature on youth and street children is concerned, it has not yet been decolonized.

\textsuperscript{145} NAE, x 245, Council of social service report, Aba Zone, 1965; Progress report on Onitsha Council of Social Service, 1965. Offences against street trading regulations remained the most common cases dealt with by the Juvenile Court of Ibadan between 1960 and 1970 (39 per cent). Simple thefts accounted for only 28 per cent of cases. O. Oloruntimehin, ‘Ibadan Juvenile Court Record Study (1960–1969)’, University of Ibadan: Behavioural Science Unit, 1971.
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